If You Give A Gay Their Way

Enough Is Never Enough

Joel F. Carberry

Copyright 2022 Joel Carberry

This document is treated as open-source material

This book is to be distributed for free, not to be sold

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PREFACE

PART ONE: A SHORT CHRONICLE OF DEGENERACY

PART TWO: IT WASN'T ALWAYS THIS WAY

PART THREE: LGB

PART FOUR: T

PART FIVE: THE VICTIMS

CONCLUSION

ENDNOTES

ABOUT THE AUTHOR

NOTICE OF DISTRIBUTION

"The masses have never thirsted after truth. They turn aside from evidence that is not to their taste, preferring to deify error, if error seduce them. Whoever can supply them with illusions is easily their master; whoever attempts to destroy their illusions is always their victim."

- Gustave Le Bon

PREFACE

Some people think that homophobia and transphobia are both rooted in ignorance. For me, it was the total opposite. I've come to realize that it was my tolerance which was lacking in understanding. Blindly, I tolerated the LGBT gang. Blindly, I tolerated their sexual deviancies, their psychosis, their crime. Now I realize that by staying silent, I wasn't an ally. I was an accessory. Today we stop staring at the phallic figures projected on the wall of Plato's Cave, and the truth shall set you free.

The LGBT hordes have become a problem; A problem that, unlike their victims, has gone unmolested. The rainbow elephant in the room to which civilization turns a blind eye is about to trample us to death. I offer you the forbidden knowledge surrounding this problem, but it will cost you. Not your money, not your dignity, not your soul. The price you will pay is having to come to terms with uncomfortable truths that are easier to disregard, to ignore. This payment is irreversible. Once you know the truth, you can never go back.

In the interest of fairness, I provide this warning well in advance: This probably soonto-be-banned publication contains explicit text, felonious stories, and the naked truth about the LGBT community. This book will hurt. It will be blunt, honest, and entirely politically incorrect. I make frequent use of ad hominems throughout this book and I offer absolutely no apology for this. I hate my political opponents. I hate their warped minds and diseased bodies, and I will never apologize for expressing that righteous hatred. I do not care about your feelings. I do not care about social justice. I do not care what names people might call me for saying what I have to say. I care about the truth, and the following chapters are what I know to be true.

Read on at your own risk or close this book now. However, if you decide to close this book now, know that your ignorance has outweighed your thirst for understanding. I have pulled no punches in my writings, and so I do not expect any of my critics to pull theirs, either. What I do expect, if you intend to criticize *If You Give A Gay Their Way*, is that you read the entire thing first.

"The life of catamites—is not that awful, shameful, and wretched? Or will you dare to assert that these are happy if they can freely indulge their wants?"

- Socrates

PART ONE: A SHORT CHRONICLE OF DEGENERACY

The situation is dire, and I fear that many people simply have no clue just how dire it really is. Well, I won't leave anything to your imagination. I'll spell it right out. The writing has been on the wall for some time, and it has been there in no uncertain terms. For years, these libertines have openly stated the victory condition of their culture war. In 2011, Daniel Villarreal wrote an article titled *Can We Please Just Start Admitting That We Do Actually Want To Indoctrinate Kids?* In his own words:

They accuse us of exploiting children and in response we say, "NOOO! We're not gonna make kids learn about homosexuality, we swear! It's not like we're trying to recruit your children or anything." But let's face it—that's a lie. We want educators to teach future generations of children to accept queer sexuality. In fact, our very future depends on it. . . . Recruiting children? You bet we are. Why would we push anti-bullying programs or social studies classes that teach kids about the historical contributions of famous queers unless we wanted to deliberately educate children to accept queer sexuality as normal? . . . I would very much like for many of these young boys to grow up and start fucking men. . . . I and a lot of other people want to indoctrinate, recruit, teach, and expose children to queer sexuality AND THERE'S NOTHING WRONG WITH THAT.¹

This article is not satire. Villarreal is not joking around. He said it just like it is. These predators want your children to become homosexuals, lesbians, or some other such sexual deviant and they make no secret about it. Brace yourself. I am about to hit you with a shotgun blast of information that most people never even consider. The reason I am doing this is two-fold. First, I am documenting these stories for posterity, assuming there are any straight couples left to make kids. Second, I have to show you the state of this fallen world.

It's 2021, Brett Blomme, a Milwaukee judge and former president of an LGBTQ organization that sponsored "Drag Queen Story Hour," is arrested and charged with seven counts of child pornography possession. Fox News writes that "he is accused of uploading 27 videos and pictures of child sexual abuse to the messaging app Kik under the name 'DomMasterBB.'"² Pay attention. You'll start to notice a pattern.

It's 2019, Multnomah County Library shares pictures of children laying on crossdresser Anthony Hudson during "Drag Queen Story Hour."³ The library removes the photos after receiving backlash, but Hudson does not meet with any legal repercussions.

It's 1837, *The Emperor's New Clothes* is published. Its teachings have apparently been lost to time, as just one hundred and eighty-four years later a biological male wins the Miss Nevada USA pageant.⁴

It's 2019, a library in Houston, Texas, hosts "Drag Queen Story Hour" events. An activist group called Houston MassResistance, a chapter of MassResistance formed by Tracy Shannon, protests these events. MassResistance writes that "At one of the recent Houston City Council meetings, Mike Laster, an openly 'gay' City Council member, mocked this concern about the Drag Queens by Tracy and the others, asking them, 'What are you afraid of?'"⁵ It's later discovered that two of the drag queens in the story hour events, Alberto Garza and William Travis Dees, are convicted child sex offenders. MassResistance reports that Garza "was convicted in 2008 for sexually assaulting an 8-year-old boy." They also say that "Dees was convicted in 2004 of sex crimes against four young children (ages 4, 5, 6, and 8), was jailed, and is listed as a 'high risk sex offender.'"⁶ Some people have decried the efforts that Houston MassResistance put forth in exposing these pedophiles, as if turning a blind eye and letting these degenerates close to your children is somehow the preferable course of action. In fact, socialist propaganda hub Wikipedia lists MassResistance as "a hate group which promotes anti-LGBT and socially conservative positions."⁷

It's 2014, Fallon Fox, a biological male and transgender mixed martial arts (MMA) fighter, beats the living shit out of Tamikka Brents in a sanctioned fight. Brents is beaten so badly that the MMA referee is forced to stop the fight after just two minutes. Sportswriter Bhavesh Purohit reports that Brents "received seven staples to her head, and also suffered a concussion. She was overpowered by Fox to an extent that even the orbital bone inside her skull was fractured."⁸ After the fight, Brents said, "I've fought a lot of women and have never felt the strength that I felt in a fight as I did that night. . . . I've never felt so overpowered ever in my life and I am an abnormally strong female in my own right." You got your women's rights, now enjoy your "women's" lefts.

It's 2018, Dennis Mintun, in collaboration with The Marshall Project and Vice Media, publishes an article from inside prison titled *My Gay Prison Gang Fights Neo-Nazis*. Mintun writes, "I don't care anymore what anyone thinks. When one person is persecuted or abused, and there is something I can do about it, especially if that person is my gay or trans brother or sister, it is my problem."⁹ A true poet and hero. Well, except for the fact that this child toucher is "serving a 45-year sentence for three counts of sexual abuse of a child under 16."

It's 2021, following the approval of Senate Bill 132, the state of California allows biological males to be imprisoned at all-female prisons if they claim to be transgender. The Daily Wire writes that "One inmate told The Los Angeles Times that staffers have been preparing for the reality of pregnancies following the law's passage: 'They say we're going to need a facility that's going to be like a maternity ward. They say we're going to have an inmate program where inmates become nannies.'"¹⁰ If you think that sounds bad,

the article also reports that the state of California is "reportedly offering contraceptives — including Plan B — to female inmates forced to stay with men identifying as 'transgender."

It's 2010, Margaret Sanger's negro death camp Planned Parenthood states that "Anyone who has sex with another person is at risk of getting a sexually transmitted infection. A gay person's chance of infection is no different from a straight person's."¹¹ If you say so.

It's 2016, the CDC (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention) is quoted as saying that "In 2014, gay, bisexual, and other men who have sex with men accounted for 83% of primary and secondary syphilis cases where sex of sex partner was known in the United States."¹² Wait, didn't Planned Parenthood say that a gay person's chance of infection is no different from a straight person's?

It's 2012, a study titled *Estimating the Population Size of Men Who Have Sex with Men in the United States to Obtain HIV and Syphilis Rates* finds that "Data in the U.S. show that [men who have sex with men] make up approximately half of all persons living with HIV infection (whether diagnosed or undiagnosed) and that in 2009, [men who have sex with men] accounted for 61% of new infections."¹³ Now hold on just a second. Wasn't I told that gays have the same chance of infection as straight people?

It's 2021, a U.S. government website hosts a guide for foster parents that says, "Youth who identify as lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, questioning, or other diverse identity (LGBTQ+) are overrepresented in foster care, with at least three studies estimating about 30 percent of youth in foster care identify as LGBTQ+".¹⁴ Do not read into this alarming statistic, bigot. Or else.

It's 2010, a study titled *Gay Men and Lesbian Women with Molestation History: Impact on Sexual Orientation and Experience of Pleasure* finds that "68.0% of the gay men and 66.7% of the lesbian women who had been homosexually molested maintained it had an impact on their sexual orientation."¹⁵ Still, the notion that being homosexually molested increases the odds of the victim becoming a homosexual is considered homophobic. Why is that?

It's 2021, Prentiss Madden, a 40-year-old veterinarian in Florida, pleads guilty to sexually abusing dogs in his care, possession of more than 1,600 files of child porn, and making "crush videos." A Daily Mail article says that the crush videos Madden made are when "animals are trampled, stepped on or smashed to death for sexual gratification."¹⁶ And I thought my parties were bad.

It's 2021, Delphine Lecompte, a poet in Belgium employed by the city of Bruges, writes a letter condemning the demonization of pedophiles. An article reports that "Lecompte argued pedophiles are 'a marginalized, vulnerable group that has been treated as sickening scum by the decent moralistic community for years.' Despite massive backlash for her remarks, she still remains employed by Bruges."¹⁷ Yeah, you hadn't heard? Advocating for the normalization of touching children is now socially acceptable.

It's 2010, a segment titled *Two Dads Are Better Than One* is run by the Australian Broadcasting Corporation.¹⁸ The completely unbiased journalist behind the segment, Ginger Gorman, showcases the story of two homosexuals, Mark Newton and Peter Truong, who became co-parents. The eccentric couple describe how they had paid a young Russian woman to become a surrogate mother on their behalf. Gorman's production portrays the gays as model fathers whose adopted son was a thriving, happy, and well-adjusted child, despite the absence of a mother. Had it ended there, it would be a touching story showing that homosexuals make lovely parents. Unfortunately, it becomes a touching story two years later when Newton and Truong are arrested for victimizing the boy from an early age and prostituting him to a group of criminal pedophiles known as the Boy Lover's Network. After being charged with these crimes, Newton is sentenced to 40 years in prison and Truong is sentenced to 30 years in prison.¹⁹ Model fathers, indeed.

It's 2021, Jesuit disinformation wurlitzer CNN, in an article titled *Attacks on trans people are also attacks on science itself*, reports that "Though some conservatives have a strange obsession with focusing on other elements of biology (i.e., genitals and chromosomes), it doesn't make scientific or medical sense to give these unconscious parts of our bodies primacy over our conscious brains, where gender identity resides. As any trans person could tell you, we don't need these biological data to justify our humanity, but they do empirically defang anti-trans ideology."²⁰ Ah yes, the "science" proves me wrong yet again. Don't you worry, I have plenty to say about "science" in part four of this book.

It's 2018, Mirjam Heine, a German medical student at the University of Würzburg, gives an unironic TED Talk titled *Why our perception of pedophilia has to change*. An article reports that she "discussed how pedophiles should be accepted by society" and "declared that pedophilia is a 'sexual orientation' and should be considered equal to heterosexuality."²¹ As I mentioned earlier, this is socially acceptable now.

It's 2019, a U.K. woman is arrested in front of her three children during a police raid on her home after she misgendered a transgender person on the internet.²² All cops are bastards, except when they agree with the Tavistock Institute. We can only assume that while in jail she learned to love Big Brother. It's 2021, Ohio State University, a federally funded university, hosts a seminar for students on how to get started in Great Depression-style prostitution by selling puss under digital pimp OnlyFans.²³ Whores, whores, everywhere, and not a tit to touch. Almost makes you wish for a nuclear winter.

It's 2018, a ten-year-old drag queen founds the "first and only drag club for kids." The Daily Mail reports that the child, Desmond, started asking his parents for princess costumes and dresses at the prepubescent age of six: "Desmond's parents consulted a therapist for advice on what to do. The therapist advised them that the best course of action was to do nothing, and let Desmond develop naturally and explore his own tastes in clothing, toys, and activities."²⁴ Should you also let your child choose whether or not to brush their teeth? Should we let them decide to eat candy for every meal? How about we let them decide what time to go to bed while we're at it? Like women, children do not know what is best for themselves. Your job as a parent is to decide what's best for them, as Zeus bellows commands from Mount Olympus, but that's not something you'll hear from these deranged coomers.

It's 2016, Vetea Joseph Bunton, a lolicon-addicted eunuch, is caught with images of child porn on his phone. Three years later, Bunton has his child pornography conviction overturned when Justice Philip Morrison argues that he has not been shown to be sexually attracted to children, to have abused children, or to be a risk to children.²⁵ Yeah, you heard that right: **Jacking off to child porn does NOT mean that you're sexually attracted to children.**

It's 2020, an article details the story of how Joseph Gobrick, a forty-five-year-old sex offender, tried to declare that child porn was an expression of free speech. The article says, "Kent County Assistant Prosecutor Daniel Helmer said Gobrick is 'a danger to the public.' 'Even during the trial, the defendant continued to draw, talking about raping babies in the Kent County Jail and making other statements about how this is his constitutional right to continue to do so,' Helmer said."²⁶ You always hear people saying that freedom of speech doesn't mean freedom from consequences. Unless they're pedophiles, of course.

It's 2017, Karl Amati, an Australian transgender "woman," attacks people with a logging axe in Wales.²⁷ Two years later, Amati is incarcerated at an all-female prison and gets into a fight with a biological female inmate after the woman tells him that he should be in a male prison.²⁸ Deranged would-be axe murderer goes to prison to beat up even more women, more at eleven!

It's 2019, Pippa Monroe, author of the article *First Transgender Family Reveals Son, 5, is Undergoing Sex Change*, reports that the "father" of this kid says, "They say it's cruel we let her wear a dress but is it not more cruel to do nothing when you've got a kid who's

so adamant she's a girl she's ripping her hair off and banging her head off the walls?"²⁹ The cult of Cybele were never known for subtlety.

It's 2021, the term "breastfeeding" is not inclusive. The Academy of Breastfeeding Medicine, after recognizing that not all bipeds who give birth and lactate identify as female, issues guidelines that suggest employing inclusive terms such as "chestfeeding," "human milk feeding," and "parent's milk."³⁰ To quote future two-gunshots-to-the-back-of-the-head suicide Chris Hedges, "We now live in a nation where doctors destroy health, lawyers destroy justice, universities destroy knowledge, governments destroy freedom, the press destroys information, religion destroys morals, and our banks destroy the economy."³¹

It's 2021, transgender "father" Sav Butler refuses to reveal the sex of her baby so it can discover its own gender. An article remarks, "Sav's older child Wesley, three, has already expressed that he is male and Sav expects currently 'genderless' newborn baby Eden to tell him their gender by the time they are three as well."³² Do you think you had the wherewithal at that age to be making any decisions at all about your gender? Three-year-olds have no concept of what gender even means. Yet, this flagrant child abuse somehow eludes CPS (Child Protective Services) and related agencies.

It's 2017, the Islamic Caliphate of the United Kingdom's most senior child protection officer says pedophiles should not face jail for looking at pornographic images of children, unless they are a physical threat to children.³³ According to Samuel Francis, anarcho-tyranny is when the state criminalizes the innocent and ignores the guilty. Makes one think.

It's 2021, BBC's *Woman's Hour* asks, "What's the best way to inform teenagers about porn? Should there be age-appropriate porn as has been suggested so they can learn about consent and what's respectful and what's not? What do you think?"³⁴ Would it be okay if we filmed some child porn? Just for children, of course.

It's 2017, leaked training slides from the U.S. Army say that female soldiers must give "dignity and respect" to transgender "females" who join them in their shared shower rooms.³⁵ We'll cover transgender people in the military in part four of this book.

It's 2021, Lauren Rowello writes an article titled *Yes, kink belongs at Pride. And I want my kids to see it*. She describes bringing her kids to a pagan ritual and why that's a good thing:

Just as we got settled, our elementary-schooler pointed in the direction of oncoming floats, raising an eyebrow at a bare-chested man in dark sunglasses whose black suspenders clipped into a leather thong. The man paused to be spanked playfully by a partner with a flog. "What are they doing?" my curious kid asked as our toddler cheered them on. The pair was the first of a few dozen kinksters who danced down the street, laughing together as they twirled their whips and batons, some leading companions by leashes. At the time, my children were too young to understand the nuance of the situation, but I told them the truth: That these folks were members of our community celebrating who they are and what they like to do.³⁶

Openly admitting that you exposed children who were "too young to understand the nuance of the situation" to this degeneracy is a bad look, but you're not going to see CPS investigate Rowello for this one.

It's 2019, a Forbes article titled *Study: Transgender Children Recognize Their Authentic Gender At Early Age, Just Like Other Kids* says, "Now comes actual scientific evidence that these parents of trans children are, in fact, heroes, because they did something essential to every child's health and wellness: they accepted them and loved them for who they are."³⁷ Heroism used to be defined by helping others, not mutilating yourself.

It's 2015, an article glorifies the story of a five-year-old transgender kid: "When Jacob was born, his name was Mia. But by the time he was two, he was telling his parents, 'I'm a boy."³⁸ Incredible! Most two-year-olds are just learning to recognize themselves in the mirror—well, most of them are—but this kid is a straight up genius in comparison!

It's 2016, an article showcases the practice of "pup play," a form of MKUltra-induced post-traumatic stress disorder in which humans pretend to be dogs. It says, "It's easy to laugh at a grown man in a rubber dog suit chewing on a squeaky toy. Maybe too easy, in fact, because to laugh is to dismiss it, denigrate it – ignore the fact that many of us have found comfort and joy in pretending to be animals at some point in our lives."³⁹ Maybe these people would prefer to live in a real house instead of a doghouse, but BlackRock buying up all the property seems to have taken its toll.

It's 2018, Jack Chapman, a gay, dies after injecting silicone into his testicles. An article details that Chapman signed a contract that made him a sub to maladjusted Dylan Hafertepen. The bondage contract stated that Chapman was a "pup" and Hafertepen was a "master." The author writes, "The contract also said the pup's body and mind were the exclusive property of the master and that the pup had no identity outside that given to him by the master. As part of this contract Jack was required to take Dylan's surname, sign over his salary, wear a chain around his neck and cut off all contact with anyone who existed outside the circle."⁴⁰ 100% normal. In fact, many of us have found comfort and

joy in pretending to be a slave at some point in our lives. Just ask the African American community.

It's 2017, doctors are giving hormones to eight-year-old "trans children."⁴¹ These hormones have a laundry list of potential negative side effects that the medical institutions openly admit can cause permanent damage to children. I don't recall the Hippocratic Oath ending with "Not my problem."

It's 2016, Jamie Shupe becomes the first American to have his gender legally recognized as "non-binary." Three years later, Shupe regrets transitioning and decides to take a public position against sacrificing your genitals to Cronus.⁴² He is then shunned by the LGBT community. After all, the church of LGBT only cares about you as long as you unquestioningly support their causes.

It's 2015, Evan Hempel, a transgender "man," gets pregnant after stopping testosterone injections and trying artificial insemination with donor sperm. One year later, an article details that Hempel "had trouble getting his medical insurance to cover things like pregnancy tests because he was registered as male on all his documents, repeatedly explaining, 'My sex is female, and my gender is male.' After having to call the representatives at each checkup, Evan eventually gave in and changed his gender to female with his insurance company."⁴³ Denying a pregnant "man" access to pregnancy tests? What a world.

It's 2018, primary school teacher Sarah Hopson tells the BBC that she conditions school children as young as six to accept LGBTQ ideology while they're young and impressionable. One year later, an article says, "One method Hopson employs is requiring her young pupils to write a gay love letter from one male character to another male character."⁴⁴ Jimmy, just imagine that you are gay for a minute. Okay, now write down how you'd suck cock if you were gay.

It's 2014, HuffPost publishes an article titled *Sexualized Culture Is Creating Mental Health Issues in Our Youth.*⁴⁵ Four years later, HuffPost publishes an article titled *The Future Is Queer.*⁴⁶ "The future" was supposed to have hoverboards and replicators. Whatever this is, it's not the future, but it sure is queer.

It's 2018, an article titled *Is Three Too Young for Children to Know They're a Different Gender? Transgender Researchers Disagree* says, "Therapists at UCSF's Child and Adolescent Gender Center Clinic in San Francisco told me they've socially transitioned kids as young as three."⁴⁷ See? It's okay. You can trust the experts. Never mind that these kids have no chance in life and they're being set up for lives of failure and incontinence by

professionals who will assume no liability after they are discovered hanging from the ceiling fan.

It's 2016, a three-year-old boy tries to cut off his penis with a pair of scissors in an attempt to become a real woman. Even more concerning is the fact that this boy's mother went ahead and helped the kid transition afterwards: "That day made me realise the severity of the situation. It wasn't just a phase; my little boy really did want to be a girl."⁴⁸

It's 2019, Declan Armstrong, a British teenager with Asperger's, asked, "Is it a boy or is it a girl?" twice upon seeing a female-to-male transgender police officer who was out on foot patrol. Armstrong was placed under house arrest, fined \$767 (\$260 of which was paid directly to the transgender officer), and was convicted of "using abusive or insulting words with intent to cause harassment".⁴⁹ Oh, you're mentally retarded? Welcome to London, you'll fit right in!

This degeneracy has been going on for some time since the fall of Atlantis, but never has it been so all-encompassing. For example, under the Weimar Republic, the German people were demoralized and humiliated by international banks. Magnus Hirschfeld, a gay Jewish physician, formed the first LGBT rights organization in history.⁵⁰ Yes, they had transgender people even back then. In fact, one of the first sex reassignment surgeries ever performed took place in the Weimar Republic.⁵¹ 1920s Berlin had a serious problem with hyperinflation, illegal pornography, and child prostitution.⁵² There were even accounts of bestiality:

I saw pimps offering anything to anybody, little boys, little girls, robust young men, libidinous women, animals. The story went around that a male goose of which one cut the neck at the ecstatic moment would give you the most delicious, economical, and time-saving frisson of all, as it allowed you to enjoy sodomy, bestiality, homosexuality, necrophilia and sadism at one stroke. Gastronomy too, as one could eat the goose afterwards.⁵³

This antediluvian decadence was not sustainable. The Weimar Republic in Germany came to power after World War I and lasted until 1933. Care to guess what happened when people had finally had enough in 1933? Today, we are living in a time of rampant sexual degeneracy, and it makes one wonder: Are we going down the same path as Weimar?

I could continue listing story after story showing how bad things have gotten, but we can no longer afford the ink. This anthology of erectile dysfunction you just read is merely the very tip of the iceberg, the start of the rabbit hole, the ground zeros of your descent into the madness. A rainbow flag here, a raped child there. What happened? How did it get this bad?

"Tolerating evil leads only to more evil. And when good people stand by and do nothing while wickedness reigns, their communities will be consumed."

- Bob Riley

PART TWO: IT WASN'T ALWAYS THIS WAY

Degeneracy, *n*. Moral standards that have fallen to a level that is very low and unacceptable to most people. Maybe we should stop degenerating and start generating something: The generative principle, the lost word. Our forefathers built castles and skyscrapers and pyramids in the image of the stars above because they wanted one day for their children to go where no man had ever gone before.

I hate gays. I hate gays because I love my fellow man. Not like a sodomite, but as a brother-in-arms, a comrade, a friend. I hate gays because I love what humanity can achieve when it isn't distracted by the fallacies of flesh.

There was a question asked years ago, one that I started hearing sometime around 2009: "Why would you care about what someone does in the privacy of their own bedroom?" It seemed like a fair enough question. I thought about it for a minute, and then I decided that there wasn't a reason why it should matter to me. So, I did not think any less of LGBT people. More than that, being that I was a believer in personal freedoms, I supported them.

At the time, I would've considered myself an egalitarian. "Gays should be able to get married," the queers cried. Being sympathetic to my fellow man, I agreed. Why shouldn't they be married? Why is the church discriminating against these people? Why does some old religion get to decide that modern people can't live a certain way? I was only a teenager at the time and didn't understand the value of tradition or the pressing concerns of some people. Some people claimed that these gays would subvert the church if they were allowed in. Some claimed that tolerating homosexuality in private would lead to it becoming a public problem. Some others just didn't care one way or the other, as long as they were left alone.

When I was fifteen or sixteen I uploaded a YouTube video in which I said that there's no reason anyone should deny gays the same rights that humans enjoy. I said that they should be able to be married, in spite of what my religion taught at the time. The Bible was just a dusty old tome and couldn't keep up with the times. The video received almost no views, but there was one comment. It said, "Thanks for your support." That felt good. It felt good to say something that I thought was morally right and have it affirmed by a stranger online. Such was the case for years going forward. I would say something in favor of gay rights, and there would be someone there to tell me I was doing the right thing by supporting them.

I went through the fedora-tipping phase of atheism, and it was due, in part, to my belief in gay rights that opposed the teachings of Christianity. My religion was less important than my moral beliefs. It was less important than the rights of other people. I strayed from the path I was on to support people that the church would not. I believed, as I had heard many times from gays, that being gay was not a choice. I believed that more than I believed in God. I just didn't understand why anyone could possibly have any sort of moral opposition to homosexuality. And then I turned twenty.

I fell off my high horse. I began to get annoyed with some gay people. When I was in the Army, there was a guy in my company in AIT (Advanced Individual Training) who was gay. And when I say gay, I mean a flaming homosexual. He talked with the gay voice, you know the one, and he was always making jokes about "faggots." "Faggot" this and "faggot" that. "God, I'm such a fucking faggot," he'd say, and then laugh. Yet, when anyone else made a joke about gays in any way, even in completely non-offensive ways, this guy would run to the platoon sergeant and cry about it. "T-t-they, they m-made fun of me because I'm g-gay," he'd stammer. I can't recall exactly how many complaints this guy made about people, but some soldiers got in trouble for it. There's no place for homophobia in America's Army, as evidenced by their monthly anti-discrimination classes. Seeing what was happening, I simply made the decision to avoid talking to that guy. There was no point in risking whether or not he might be offended by something I'd say, and there were clear consequences for offending him. Still, I did not let that guy impact my overall impression of gays. Why would I let one queer queer infect my opinion of a million others?

When I was on deployment in Kosovo, there was a gay lieutenant in my troop. This guy was a flaming homosexual. He talked with the gay voice, you know the one, and he was always making jokes about being gay. A common phrase heard around the smoke pit was: "Are you fucking with me?" As in, "Are you pulling my leg?" This gay lieutenant, however, couldn't be seen using the same phrase. No, no, no. You see, gay people think themselves non-conformists. They must be different. If he used the same phrase that everyone else used, then how would we know he was gay? No, instead he would say, "Are you fucking my mouth right now? Are you fucking my mouth?" He would say it in the gay voice. This lieutenant would make gay jokes all the time, so much so that it was like his whole personality revolved around the fact that he was gay. Can you guess how he reacted when anyone else made a joke about gays?

I can't remember how many times I was subjected to anti-discrimination classes in the Army, but after a while I stopped paying attention. Yeah, I get it. Don't walk around saying faggot or nigger. Roger. It didn't matter that I got the point. The classes were held monthly, sometimes even twice a month. They gave examples of discrimination that had the subtlety of a schoolyard bully in an eighties movie. "If someone says or does one of these discriminatory actions, here's how to report them. Remember: See something, say something," was the gist of the classes. The presenters never showed a gay person as

anything other than a victim, but my experience has been that gays are some of the biggest bullies out there, second only to African Americans. These anti-discrimination classes essentially painted every race as a protected class except Whites, unless, of course, the White in question was part of the LGBT crowd. What happened was that certain people were given diplomatic immunity. Black soldiers were always saying nigger, LGBT soldiers were always saying faggot, but any straight son of Hellas who uttered one of the forbidden words was instantly crucified. I began to feel a tinge of ill will seep into my skin.

While I was still in Kosovo, some gay occasion came around on the calendar (probably pride month, but I don't know because I'm not a sinner). They made us gather in an empty bay and sit down for a lecture on gay pride. The NCO (non-commissioned officer) in charge of presenting this information told us to get into groups of about five and come up with something positive a gay person in our lives had accomplished to share with the class. I turned to a couple of my friends and said that I never thought of my "gay friends" as anything other than "friends." They agreed with that sentiment and that was the only discussion we had on the matter at that time. After all of this, they played some movie on a projector that featured a gay main character. I was forced to be there but not forced to watch, so I spent the duration of the film smoking outside. Looking back, I'm angry the event occurred in the first place. It just exposes the soft bigotry of low expectations. You ever see somebody make a big deal about a POC (person of crime) reading a book? You ever wonder why people do that? Being gay is such a natural and positive thing that we must come up with highlights of how natural and positive it is, and we must then focus on those highlights and never speak of any negative aspect of homosexuality. Great men are great because of what they do, not who they screw.

By this point, I had had many negative interactions with gay people. Still, I did not hate them. I didn't even have a problem with transgender people at the time, if you could believe. I came back home from the military at age twenty-five and moved back in with my parents. Then, I had a change in my social circle. One of my friends, a bug-eved commie with a transgender "sister," slighted a good friend of mine. After this slight, he was no longer part of our friend group. I no longer had any connection to trannies, no longer had to pretend. What happened next was all too simple: I stopped lying to myself. That's it. I just stopped lying to myself about how I felt about certain people. Do you have any idea how much cognitive dissonance it requires to pretend like everything is fine when the reality is the opposite? Do you have the faintest notion of how ignorant you have to be to maintain the illusion that we're all decent people? Do you know that the illusion is dependent on your unquestioning nature? I began to ponder dangerous questions that had no righteous answers. Why does it feel like gay people are always being weird around children? Why is there footage from a pride parade of a kid seductively dancing for a group of LGBT people? Why are kids being shown these sexual deviants at pride parades? Why is there a toddler on the news who's transgender? Why are there so many child sex

offenders in the LGBT crowd? Gay rights? What rights are these people asking for? What more could they possibly want? Why are gays so overrepresented in media? Why are we giving kids puberty blockers? Who is behind all of this? Is this some ploy from the government? The media? Big Pharma? Who owns these entities? Why is it that when I just typed "George Soros," my computer shut off? Why isn't anyone saying anything?

I could scarcely bear the emotions overcoming me, and chief among them was hatred. I felt hatred as I had never felt before. I was twenty-six, and I had no more delusions about the truth. The truth is that these people are evil, and that the tolerance of evil will not get you anywhere good. Tolerating evil will only ensure that evil eventually finds its way into your home. What good had my tolerance of LGBT people brought? How many times did I write off the various crimes of LGBT people as "just an isolated incident"? How many kids had to be raped for me to acknowledge that a pattern was forming? How many new laws had to be passed before I noticed what was happening? How many times did I have to hear about trans people trying to kill themselves before I came to the conclusion that something was wrong with them?

Suddenly, it was obvious.

It was painfully obvious. After much thought, I realized that there is nothing in life so important as procreation. Gays must come to the same realization. They must feel the innate desire to procreate, the need to breed, and that is why they target young minds: They cannot procreate. Instead, gays reproduce by touching children. They touch either their minds or their adolescent bodies, both ending in the same result: They spawn forth another sexual deviant.

Faced with this truth, I resented how tolerant I had been of their plights. I resented myself for being so blind to the reality; The reality that there is simply no appeasing these people. Why would I care about what someone does in the privacy of their own bedroom? Perhaps I wouldn't, but the question is asked under the false presumption that sexual deviancy is something that's kept private. It isn't. Sexual deviancy and degeneracy is flung directly in the face of every man, woman, and child in this country. Every television show depicts gays in a positive light. Every school teaches children about gays and how it's okay to be gay. Companies are more likely to hire you if you're gay or trans. Being in the LGBT crowd shields you from criticism. Support groups on websites like Facebook and Reddit are full of sexual deviants that are ready and all too willing to be advocates for your children turning gay. Being a sexual deviant is incentivized by society.

What started as a simple request, "Don't discriminate against me for being different from you," has become an endless string of forceful demands. If you give a mouse a cookie. If you give a pig a pancake. If you give a gay their way.

"We just want the same benefits as marriage, give us civil unions!"

"We just want the right to be married!"

"We just don't want to be bullied in school!"

"We hate the church and everything it stands for, but we want your pastors to perform sacrilegious marriages for us."

"You will perform the marriages, or else."

"You will bake the cake, or else."

"Staying silent is not an option. Your company will openly support us, or else."

"Your children will attend drag queen story time in the library, or else."

"You will teach your kids that gender is a made-up social construct, or else."

No. Not anymore. You'll receive no more tolerance from me.

LGBT people simultaneously scream about their freedom to express themselves whilst also being blatant enemies of freedom. "Freedom of speech does not mean freedom from consequences," they say as you get fired for voicing your opinion that underage children shouldn't be taking body-altering hormones from strangers off the internet. If you've ever said that freedom of speech does not mean freedom from consequences, fuck you. If there's a consequence for saying the wrong things then you're not exactly free to say them, are you? You can and will be fired for saying something anti-homosexual at work, but will a homosexual be fired for saying something anti-Christian? No, because there is no consequence to free speech so long as you say the "right" things. There is no consequence so long as you belong to the "right" demographic. A Jew will not face consequences for hateful statements about goyim. A negro will not face consequences for hateful statements about Hellenes. A gay will not face consequences for hateful statements about Hellenes. A is the say in the say of the statements about straights. It's all so tiresome.

A moderate position to take would be to say, "I should be free to say whatever I want, just the same as my opponents should be free to say whatever they want," but these sexual deviants could not content themselves with that. They cannot content themselves with that because they cannot win open and honest debates. They hate freedom of speech because they are mentally incapable of dealing with dissenting opinions. If you don't agree with their positions, then they want you silenced, canceled, and removed from society. That alone would be bad enough, but they do not stop there. They want you beaten and killed. They express this by labeling you as evil, and upon doing so attempt to deprive you of humanity. They will label you anything under the sun to achieve this. They will nitpick your premises, purposefully misinterpret your examples, or otherwise simply fabricate evidence to label you as a racist, misogynist, virgin, transphobe, Nazi, or some other thought-terminating cliché. Once you have been labeled, they will call for you to be strung up in the streets, sucker-punched in back alleys, clubbed to death in a mostly peaceful protest. Once you have been otherized, all arguments you make are null and void. After all, by this point you are nothing more than an enemy, a reactionary bearing the title of their boogeyman opponents.

"Evil preaches tolerance until it is dominant, then it tries to silence good."

- Charles J. Chaput

PART THREE: LGB

Let me start with lesbians, gays, bisexuals, and anyone else who falls under the LGB portion of the LGBT umbrella. There are two kinds of LGB people: Those who are "actually gay," and those who were socially conditioned or otherwise incentivized to be gay. I am largely talking about the latter, but I have criticisms of the former, as well. I am aware that most people do not like to seek out confrontation and would rather just let these people be, but I argue that avoiding this inevitable confrontation is no longer an option. At the very least, there must be an honest discussion about these sexual deviants and how they are destabilizing our society. We can't keep pretending it's not a problem.

Most people do not hate the act of homosexuality itself. Instead, they hate everything that comes with it: The gay voice, the smug attitude, the inability to handle dissenting opinions, the thirst for constant attention, and the relentless attempt to convert children to their way of thinking. Those are the things people hate. Is it any mystery as to why it was acceptable to bully gays in the past? Anti-bullying campaigns have made it so that the most harmful and embarrassing behaviors in our society are no longer actively ridiculed, and what has been the end result of that? What has been the result of the mountains of anti-bullying campaigns, anti-discrimination laws, and the steady societal acceptance of deviant sexualities? The result is probably no surprise to you unless you live under a rock. Despite society catering to them at every turn, the rectal parasites possessing these people are more easily offended now than at any point ever before. Now, with much of the overt hate being absent, they must continually search for increasingly more and more obscure and anemic reasons to justify their victim status. Now, they complain about microaggressions and misgendering, or some other such Jedi mind trick. These are not strong people. They don't have the balls, the mettle to be strong individuals. They are the absolute weakest members of our society.

They can't fight wars. They can't handle hard science. They can't lift heavy objects. They can't recite Hesiod from memory. All they can do is consume products and support the current thing and cry about homophobia. The word "homophobia" is entirely inaccurate. I don't like the "phobia" component of the word. A phobia is an irrational fear, and the term "homophobia" would mean that people have an irrational fear of the gays. Fearing a culture or group of people that is far less morally advanced than your own is not irrational, and I can assure you that the gays are not as morally advanced as they claim to be. Furthermore, I hate that the term implies that people are afraid of the gays at all. People do not fear the gays. No, people are just tired of them.

Why are people tired of the gays? Why do they suffer from a chronic case of gay fatigue? There is no singular reason. Perhaps people are tired of their incessant whining, their attack on children, or their false claims of moral superiority. Where do I even begin? Most of us just want to be left alone. Most people just want to make it through their lives of quiet desperation in peace. Most people simply hold a "live and let live" mentality, in that they tolerate what other people do and in return expect that other people will tolerate what they do. This proverb, however, does nothing but weaken the people that follow it, and that's because other people rarely have your best interest in mind. They'll take advantage of you. Other people don't give a damn about your freedoms to do things that they disapprove of.

Homosexuals, in particular, are not satisfied with tolerance. Merely tolerating their existence is not enough. They expect you to openly support their interests, and their interests will eventually find themselves in conflict with yours. Some people, and by "some people" I mean the gays, need attention like they need food and water. Often, such people find that the easiest way to garner this attention is to be an "outlier," and not in the Gladwellian sense.

Imagine someone who desires the attention of others but is average and mundane in every respect. They have no special talents, features, characteristics, or experience. Such a person can easily fabricate a persona to fit in with a group of "deviants" in order to gain some attention. Imagine the potential benefits that an attention-whore claiming that they're some denomination of the LGBT crowd would get. Overnight, they would go from being entirely unexceptional to suddenly having a staggering amount of "pride" days in a year that they can participate in and be celebrated for. Do you know how many such days are in a calendar year in the United States? Here's a list of both internationally recognized LGBT days and LGBT days recognized by the U.S.:

Agender Pride Day, Aromantic Spectrum Awareness Week, Asexual Awareness Week, Bisexual Awareness Week, Bisexual Health Awareness Month, Celebrate Bisexuality Day, Day of Silence, Drag Day, Harvey Milk Day, HIV Long-Term Survivors Awareness Day, International Asexuality Day, International Day Against Homophobia, Transphobia and Biphobia, Intersex Awareness Day, Intersex Day of Remembrance, LGBT History Month, LGBT Pride Month, Lesbian Day, Lesbian Visibility Day, National Coming Out Day, Non-Binary Awareness Week, Non-Binary People's Day, Pansexual & Panromantic Awareness Day, Pronouns Day, Pulse Night of Remembrance, Spirit Day, Stonewall Riots Anniversary, Trans Awareness Month, Trans Awareness Week, Trans Day of Visibility, Trans Parent Day, Transgender Day of Remembrance, and Zero Discrimination Day.⁵⁴

Add all that up. That's **180 days** worth of "gay pride" we recognize in the United States. "Wait," you interject in your estrogenized vocal fry, "Because some of the dates of those pride days overlap, it's only like 151 calendar days." That's about **41% of the year** dedicated specifically to the LGBT crowd, and it's still just not enough. It's clearly not enough because they aren't content to show their "pride" for only 41% of the year. They wear rainbow colors every day. Not satisfied with 41% of the year dedicated to shoving these sexualities into the limelight, LGBT people must put themselves on display every day. They must make their gayness their personality, like non-player characters in a game of The Sims played by Buffalo Bill. Imagine if your life was so pathetic and empty that all you could bring to a conversation was your sexuality: "H-hey, guys. I-I'm straight. I just wanted you to know." First of all, NOBODY FUCKING CARES. And even if people did care, which they don't, after you've announced it once, why would you feel the need to repeat it to the same people again? These people announce their gayness everywhere they go, every day of their lives, to anyone who'll listen. They are never content to announce it just once. No, they need constant attention and validation. If they have no new pool of people to "come out" to, then they will "come out" repeatedly to the same people. Buddy, I didn't care the first time you came out, and I sure don't care now. They need this attention so badly that they will seek affirmation from any source that will provide it, and they fear dissent so much that they will cut out anyone from their lives who offers even the smallest criticism of their behavior.

This "deviant" shit is all just for attention, a cry for help. Although, being LGBT alone isn't enough. Not content with just being bisexual, they must be pansexual. Not fulfilled by just being gay, they must be disabled and gay. Instead of just being trans, they must be a queer, disabled, BIPOC, MI6 trans "woman." It is a complete joke. These asylum escapees compete daily in the Victimhood Olympics. Have you ever overheard a conversation between multiple LGBT fans? They actively compete to be the most persecuted in the group. "Someone called me a faggot today," Todd says. "Oh yeah, well my dad kicked me out of his house when he caught me trying on my mom's clothes," Brent responds. "That's pretty bad, but it's got nothing on the fact that my parents deadname me all the time," Dylan chimes in. It's just pathetic. The fact is, these defectives simply have nothing else. They have no special talents, no interesting personalities, nothing of individual substance. The only thing they have, the only thing they can ever hope to have, is their group identity. Insect-like, they throw themselves entirely into whatever alien hivemind will accept them, and it just so happens that all you have to do to be accepted into the LGBT group is say, "Me too."

Many people try to say that being gay isn't a choice, perhaps in some attempt to legitimize their homosexuality to themselves or otherwise to try and say that the LGBT club isn't something that you decide to join. "Being gay isn't a choice," they choke while deepthroating the nearest cock. They screamed for years that they were born this way and vehemently opposed any anti-parasitics that could cure their debilitating mental illness.

Years passed. Then, when transgenderism was being pushed, the tune changed. Now, your kids can choose their gender. The social engineers shout that gender is a social

construct, that you can be born in the wrong body. So, the soul doesn't exist, but you were born in the wrong body? These lunatics prey upon children online in the hopes of converting them like a buzzard eyes a dead mouse. Now, they claim children must be taught about different sexualities so that they can choose one for themselves. This is an obvious contradiction.

Claim A: Homosexuality is innate from birth.

Claim B: Children must be taught about the existence of different sexualities so that they may choose one which suits them.

Which is it? Being gay isn't a choice? Patently false, in most cases. I believe that there is an infinitesimal fraction of the population that is actually homosexual. I mean "actually homosexual" in the sense that they are not just sucking up for attention. I think this is evidenced by historical accounts of gays existing in past eras, and proven by the existence of self-hating homosexuals who resent their sexuality today. The vast majority of gays are just lonely and willing to do anything to fit in, if you know what I mean. I'm reminded of an excellent quote on the subject by Edward R. Fields, a political extremist with ties to both White supremacist and National Socialist movements:

If homosexuality is comparable to infertility, then it is a disability. If homosexuality is comparable to straight people engaging in oral sex, then it is a fetish. If homosexuality is comparable to friendships with the same gender, why do they have sex? If homosexuality is safe, why do homosexuals spread the most STDs and literally tear apart each others' sphincters? If homosexuality is a valid means of romantic bonding, why does nature disallow them reproduction? If homosexual "love" is as pure as natural love, why are gays so much more promiscuous? If there is nothing inherently wrong with being a homosexual, why would an entirely homosexual society cease to exist beyond a single generation? Degenerates and liberals will take you on a wild chase through fallacious logic and appeals to emotion, but at the end of the day, the truth has always been our very first thought as children when we saw gays.

ERROR.

Errors occur in nature all the time, and homosexuality is the perfect example of error. Somewhere in the brain of every homosexual a critical error has occurred. In what other situation is an error encouraged or rewarded as it is in homosexuality in today's media? You may ask yourself how this error affects you. The answer is simple. Homosexuality, despite what you are told to believe, can be indoctrinated. Why do you think the rate of children who "turn out" gay skyrockets in the presence of gay "parents"?⁵⁵

There's an error in humanity every second that goes by. Infants are born with conditions that impede mental development. Otherwise healthy people suddenly have cancer growing within them. These things are known to be errors. Yet, God's error of homosexuality cannot be acknowledged. What is the root cause of this homosexuality? Abuse? Toxoplasmosis? Birth control in the water supply? Is this particular error just this naturally prevalent, or is this error being manufactured? Nature versus nurture. Biological imperative versus parental indoctrination. Don't pretend that this isn't an obvious issue. Sexual deviants that sink their claws into children will do everything in their power to ensure that their child becomes a deviant as well. "Source? Source?!" I can already hear the muffled Redditors cry. You shouldn't need a source for something that is plain as day, but all you would need to do to verify this is to open your eyes and ears to what these deviants are actively doing and actively saying. Take, for example, the description of a video titled *We're Raising Our Kids With No Gender*:

A THROUPLE are bringing up their two-year-old baby as 'theyby', a term that refers to gender neutral parenting where the baby isn't outwardly identified by its parents as either a boy or a girl. Baby Sparrow follows in the [footsteps] of their 10-year-old sibling, Hazel, who was initially brought up as a girl but came out themselves as non-binary aged just four. Hazel and Sparrow are looked after by three parent figures: Ari, Gwendolyn and Brynnifer, who themselves are either non-binary and/or transgender.⁵⁶

Those kids are on the fast-track to the end of a noose. Hazel came out as non-binary at age four? Do you actually believe that a child even knows what that means? No, of course not. My friend has a two-year-old daughter. One day, when it was windy outside and the wind was making a lot of noise, she asked, "What's making that noise?" He jokingly said, "Monsters, sweetie." She got scared and asked if there were really monsters outside. A child's perception of reality is only what they're told, but eventually a child grows up and has natural inclinations that don't need to be taught. LGBT fetishists say that people only think in binary and heteronormative terms because that's how we were raised. Oh, really? Do you think hunter-gatherer tribes without spoken languages raised their kids to think in binary terms? Did prehistoric cave paintings preach the glories of heteronormativity to the Neanderthals? The entirety of human existence, except for the hit 1972 single Rocket Man, has been created and furthered by straight people. That's the case because being straight is normal, it's the natural state of being, and this is obvious because human procreation cannot naturally occur outside the parameters of man plus woman equals child. Gays hate that fact because it shows that homosexuality is unnatural. They know damn well that it is, in fact, these sexual deviancies which must be indoctrinated.

You might still be hung up on the fact that a four-year-old came out as non-binary. It really does blow your mind, doesn't it? It should, but we're glossing over the fact that these

people are raising a baby with "no gender." That baby never even had a chance. Baby Sparrow is destined for a lifetime of therapy, and, undoubtedly, an eventual suicide. We need to call this what it is: Child abuse.

Yeah, yeah. I know, I cheated. I used a transgender person's crimes against nature as an example when I was supposed to be talking about gays. So, are gays any better about this stuff? Sally Kohn, a lesbian and CNN co-conspirator, published an article talking about how she wants her kid to be gay. Get a load of this:

If we went to college, we want our kids to go to college. If we like sports, we want our kids to like sports. If we vote Democrat, of course we want our kids to vote Democrat. I'm gay. And I want my kid to be gay, too. . . . I've never for a single second regretted being gay, nor saw it as anything other than an asset and a gift. My parents were ridiculously supportive from Day One, and I had a great community of friends and mentors who made me feel unconditionally accepted. By the time my daughter comes of age, she'll have even more of a support network, including two moms, for crying out loud.... I want my daughter to know that being gay is equally desirable to being straight. The problem is not the idea that homosexuality could be a choice but the idea that heterosexuality should be compulsory. In my house it's plainly, evidently not. We've bought every picture book featuring gay families, even the not-very-good ones, and we have most of the nontraditional-gender-role books as well - about the princess who likes to fight dragons and the boy who likes to wear dresses. When my daughter plays house with her stuffed koala bears as the mom and dad, we gently remind her that they could be a dad and dad. Sometimes she changes her narrative. Sometimes she doesn't. It's her choice. All I ultimately care about is that she has the choice and that whatever choice she makes is enthusiastically embraced and celebrated.57

Well, there you have it: Being gay is a choice. A choice that Sally Kohn hopes her daughter makes, no less. She wants her daughter to be gay so badly that she lines their household with gay propaganda books, and this is all but outright stated as being done with the intent to convert her child into a lesbian. Even when her daughter is playing house with stuffed animals, she feels the need to interject with anti-natalist alternatives. Near the end of the article, Kohn says that her six-year-old daughter has a crush on a boy from school. Kohn asks her friend for advice, and her friend says, "Bet it wouldn't bother you so much if her crush was on a girl." Surprise, surprise, Kohn admits as much by saying, "She was right. I'm a slightly overbearing pro-gay gay mom." **YEAH, NO SHIT YOU'RE AN OVERBEARNG FAGGOT.** These fags are always doing this shit. They try to say that being gay isn't a choice if their kid is gay, but if their kid is straight, then they try to indoctrinate xer into faggotry while hiding behind the excuse that it's the kid's choice. Yet, they make every attempt to influence that "choice." These gays control what media their kids are exposed to, and they choose to expose them to gay propaganda. They

accuse people of pushing heteronormativity on kids when they themselves are always pushing homosexuality on their kids. This is no different from any other form of indoctrination.

There is a name for this conditioning: Munchausen syndrome by proxy (MSBP). The University of Michigan Health defines MSBP as "a mental health problem in which a caregiver makes up or causes an illness or injury in a person under his or her care, such as a child, an elderly adult, or a person who has a disability. Because vulnerable people are the victims, MSBP is a form of child abuse or elder abuse."58 What's happening is that these sexually deviant parents are conditioning these children to be sexual deviants. Look at any pride parade and you will see what I'm talking about. If you remember from part one of this book, I quoted the article Yes, kink belongs at Pride. And I want my kids to see it by Lauren Rowello. Rowello makes it sound like these pride parades are no big deal, like a man being flogged right in front of her children is not concerning, but that is just the beginning of what goes on at these public orgies. There are fully naked men that jack off at these parades. There are videos online of men being fisted in the ass in public at these parades. There are videos of child drag queens dancing for money at these parades. There are photographs of adult men kissing children on the lips at these parades. There are unclothed people on leashes crawling on all fours at these parades. These parades are gatherings of fucking degenerates, and I mean that in both a figurative and literal sense.

These parents are going out of their way to make sure that their kid ends up being a paying customer of the LGBT crowd. They do this by dressing them a certain way, buying them certain toys, and exposing them to these ideas all at a young and vulnerable age. Go online right now and search "transgender child," "gay child," or some similar term. Just be careful not to phrase it too enthusiastically, or you'll get a knock on the door. You will find articles in which these parents are openly admitting to conditioning these kids to behave this way. Why would they do this? Gays and their crowd do this because they cannot naturally reproduce. They know that they would die out if they did not indoctrinate their replacements. Another reason some people do this might be to gain some social "oppression points," by which I mean that their kid being gay gives them some sense of being oppressed or being special. I have noticed this even happens with single moms who aren't open sexual deviants themselves, where the mom is trying to turn her child gay, and I believe they are doing this for nefarious purposes. In other cases, I would go so far as to accuse these parents of grooming their own children to accept and adopt these sexual deviances because they want to molest them.

Gay people hate straight individuals because we have something that cannot be given to them. They complain that society caters to straight people at every turn, and that society actively oppresses gays. The truth is the exact opposite. And even if it were true that society favored straight people, that would be a normal thing. Being straight, despite what LGBT advocates would have you believe, is normal. You do realize that the entire human race would already be dead and gone if not for the natural straight order of things, right? These people are the products of a straight society, a straight people. Were it not for straight people procreating, they wouldn't even be alive today. Yet, there is no thanks to be had for giving them life. There is only resentment. Gays resent straight people because straight people have something they will never have: The ability to naturally procreate. That's why they aggressively target children. That's why they try to adopt children.

Paul Cameron, an American psychologist, published a study titled *Are over a third of foster parent molestations homosexual?* In the abstract, he states that "50% of foster parent abuse in a general population survey and 34% of abuse as determined by the Illinois DCFS was homosexual."⁵⁹ Carl Bialik, a Wall Street Journal collaborator, wrote an article "exposing" this data as false, in which he said, "Dr. Cameron had no data about the makeup of homes in which the Illinois children were abused; indeed, a state DCFS spokeswoman told me the agency doesn't record whether households are same-sex. It's possible that much of what Dr. Cameron calls homosexual abuse occurred in what would be considered heterosexual homes."⁶⁰ If a man rapes his adopted son and his wife isn't around to hear it, is it straight?

What evidence, exactly, does Bialik have to oppose Dr. Cameron's findings? None. He merely dismisses Cameron's claims on the basis that he is biased and that he cannot prove that these homosexual acts were committed in homosexual households. **The children were still homosexually abused.** Bialik states as much when he quotes Walter Schumm, a professor at Kansas State, as saying, "Since the state didn't provide him with any data on whether parents were heterosexual or gay, it's hard to make any definitive statements other than that much of the abuse seems to be same-gendered," and "For all we know, that could all be by heterosexual parents." Yeah, the best argument Bialik can produce is that maybe **heterosexual foster parents homosexually abused their adopted kids.** Sounds pretty gay, if you ask me.

So, what's the big deal? Why am I up in arms over the fact that a third of these kids are being homosexually abused? Wouldn't that mean that the other two-thirds of these kids are being abused by heterosexuals? The difference is that the gay population is committing these crimes at an extremely disproportionate rate when you factor in their population size. Dr. Cameron's study was published in 2005. Gary J. Gates, a supposed expert on the geography and demography of the LGBT population, published a study six years later titled *How many people are lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender?* The study found that "An estimated 3.5% of adults in the United States identify as lesbian, gay, or bisexual and an estimated 0.3% of adults are transgender."⁶¹ **That means that approximately 3.5% of the population was committing approximately 34% of**

the foster care sexual abuse that Dr. Cameron observed at the time. Do you remember earlier, in part one of this book, when I quoted the study that said that men who have sex with men accounted for half of all persons living with HIV in 2009? Approximately 3.5% of the population accounted for approximately half of all persons living with HIV at the time. Do you recall when I quoted the CDC as saying that men who have sex with men accounted for 83% of primary and secondary syphilis cases in 2014? Approximately 3.5% of the population accounted for approximately 83% of primary and secondary syphilis cases at the time.

How could it possibly be the case that such a small percentage of the population could account for such a high number of sexually transmitted diseases? It's because these people have obscene amounts of sex with a great number of strangers. Homos are extremely promiscuous. A study from 2012, titled A comparison of sexual behavior patterns among men who have sex with men and heterosexual men and women, found that the mean number of lifetime partners among homosexual men was 45.62 I think that this is an incredibly conservative estimate, and this should be absolutely no surprise to anyone who has actually been around and talked with gays before. Like Agent 47 at a hotel bar, gays like to openly brag about their ridiculously high body-counts. They see nothing wrong with the fact that they screw way more people than the average straight person, yet it is a readily observable fact that gays account for a huge, throbbing portion of people with venereal diseases. Despite this obvious fact, some people pretend that there is nothing disgusting about this psychotic level of promiscuity. I would say that the fact that gays are so promiscuous clearly demonstrates that they have no capacity for prolonged monogamous relationships, and that really makes you wonder if gays even have a solid grasp of the concept of love in the first place. Gays are always talking about love, about how you can love anyone you want, but they themselves do not exhibit any sort of sustainable and prolonged love in their relationships. It seems to me that their love is always superficial and fake. Their idea of love always boils down to sex and public displays of their sexuality. I once heard a man say, "Homosexual relationships are more akin to cooperative narcissism than love."

The fact that gays account for such a small portion of the population is really the crux of the issue, when you come right down to it. A poll in 2020 found that an estimated 5.6% of the United States adult population identifies as LGBT.⁶³ Let's just round up for the sake of argument and say that it was 6%. Why should 94% of the population be making concessions for the minority of the population? In what world does that make sense? Society should be molded around the majority, but instead we're expected to constantly make exceptions, excuses, and form our laws around the minority. Why? Are these the people building our infrastructure, the ones fighting our wars, the ones birthing our future generations? No. In fact, the most ardent supporters of the LGBT movement don't care about gays at all, since the initialism has subsumed everything from black people to

demons, insofar as those are different things. All it represents is a coalition of useful idiots trying to collapse civilization and rule over the ashes.

Assuming that LGBT people make up 6% of this country, ask yourself whether or not that vocal minority is accurately represented in our disgusting society. There's a fag in every kid's show these days, a gay pictured every day in advertisements, a drag queen in every inner-city library. There are pride flags everywhere you look, trans kids in every class, and a surfeit of "pride days" on the calendar. Gays are so completely overrepresented in society that you'd be forgiven for thinking that they account for half of the population, but that just isn't the case. Even though their group is the fringe minority, we have still made more than reasonable accommodations for them. It gets to the point where you have to ask, "What more could these people possibly ask for?"

They are already allowed to get married. Their doctrines are already taught in public schools all around the country. They are already allowed to publicly display gay affection to those around them. They are not only equal in the eyes of the law, but also regarded as helpless victims that must be protected by extra laws. After all, some animals are more equal than others. What exactly do they want when they speak about "gay rights"? What rights are not already afforded to them that are afforded to straight people? What spaces are gays forcefully excluded from? What businesses are these people prohibited from patronizing? What major websites are they banned from? Any way you look at the equation, their fight should be finished. There are no laws I could name that are exclusive to straight people and no rights I could name that gays do not also enjoy. For people who call themselves gay, they sure don't seem very happy.

Society has already accepted these people's dysfunctional lifestyles. They are not often ridiculed in public without some other person standing up for them, and it is often a straight person who defends them. They are already featured in your literature, your movies, your television shows, your radio broadcasts, your podcasts, your video games, your workplaces, your clubs, your schools, your colleges, and your prisons. They are in the advertisements you see on billboards, the internet, and on television. The way they are depicted in all of these places is always positive. They do not run ads or televise shows that portray gays as being the disgusting creatures they are, but this is not how normal people perceive gays. In fact, an article titled Straight men's physiological stress response to seeing two men kissing is the same as seeing maggots says, "In heterosexual men, pictures of rotting flesh, maggots and spoiled food induce the same physiological stress response as pictures of two men kissing each other. That is the surprising finding that was recently published in the peer-reviewed scientific journal Psychology & Sexuality."64 It might well be argued that this constant exposure to the gays, something which apparently induces the physiological stress response of looking at maggots and rotting flesh, could be considered a form of psychological torture, communist demoralization aimed at overthrowing our constitutional system of limited government and replacing it with amoral despotism.

Even with all of that being the case, they do not seem content with these endless legal protections and our widespread societal acceptance of their risky lifestyles. No, they still fight for "gay rights" even today. What rights? What is it that they want? Everything. There is no end except to our patience. These people only seek the "rebellious" and "righteous" fight. They are the malcontents, the instigators, the "rebels" without a true cause. The cause itself does not matter and it never has. Nothing will ever be enough because it has always been about the means and not the ends. It has forever been about finding a fight where there is people are the complete opposite of rebels. They still want to be the noble revolutionary, even though all evidence is to the contrary. Often, these "rebels" find something that society has already accepted and then they accuse society of not accepting it enough. In other words, they merely double down on causes that are already mainstream.

It's mainstream to hate capitalism, a defunct economic system that hasn't existed since before 1913. It's mainstream to be anti-racist, unless you're chiseling noses off the statues of the races you don't like. It's mainstream to be pro-LGBT, unless the purity spiral accelerates faster than you can keep up. They are rebels in name only. They hate capitalism, but they are the best customers any corporation could ever hope to have. These people will gladly lick the boots of any corporation that "supports" their cause.

All an international bank has to do is put a rainbow sticker on their subprime mortgages and these fags and fag-lovers will form an orderly line to indenture themselves. Sordid sodomite viewpoints are not censored by FAGMAN because corporations love the free advertising that these mindless consumers spew forth. The money trust loves LGBT people as dumb cattle ripe for the monetary harvest. Whether paying for medically unnecessary surgery, taking expensive pharmaceuticals, or buying makeup in an attempt to turn beauty into a commodity, homosexuals aren't an oppressed minority; They're consumers.

If your beliefs are backed by almost every major corporation and media outlet in the country, you are not a rebel. Do you know what "astroturfing" is? It's when a group creates a false consensus through shilling and propaganda. Don't you find it odd that all these corporations, lobbyists, and "non-profit" organizations seem to take such an interest in LGBT rights? Are they really backing a grassroots movement out of the kindness of their hearts, or are they manufacturing this movement in an attempt to generate a profit?

You can, with a great deal of accuracy, often tell someone's sexuality based solely on how they carry themselves. Being around gays is like being at a circus. They're like clowns. Don't know what I'm talking about? I'll illustrate their clown-like mannerisms in three main points.

Number one: The costumes. Their shirts are, almost always, centered around weakness (i.e., pride colors, anime characters, etc.) instead of strength. You do not often see the average gay person wearing clothes devoid of a message (e.g., plain clothes that don't advertise anything), as they feel the need to advertise their gayness and consumerism around the clock. Their knees are buckled, which is very apparent through their skinny jeans, and their toes being pointed inwards is accentuated by their tight-fitting skater shoes. You can often identify a gay just by looking at them. Conversely, lesbian women often dress in clothes that advertise working out, drinking, or some other such "manly" interests. They distance themselves from anything "girly." They cut their hair to try and imitate a man. They wear binders and flatten their breasts to try and resemble a man. They make themselves as unattractive as they can with tattoos, piercings, hair dye, and poor fashion-sense because they associate attractiveness with femininity. You can often identify a lesbian just by looking at them.

Number two: The props. Their bookshelves are commonly adorned with popular works of fiction to project a sense of being "in with it." Not only that, but I have also personally noticed that sometimes these people have shelves full of books that they haven't even read. In this case, the books are not there to be read. They are just a prop designed to characterize them as scholars, just like their homosexuality is a prop to characterize them as interesting. I do not often see gays fawning over any sort of traditional art. Instead, I see their walls adorned with modern and postmodern art projecting the sense of being hip and cool. Their cars are covered in bumper stickers, as they feel the need to advertise their love of assholes to strangers on the road. There are numerous instances you can look up online of gays baking cupcakes or some such, decorating them with the fag flag colors, and then bringing them into their schools or workplaces. This is not done as a gesture of good will, but as an advertisement of their sexuality. These props extend right down to the company they keep. They make a point to have token friends of different races and sexualities, not because they care about them, but because it looks good on their résumé.

Number three: The theatrics. The gay voice, that insufferable lisp, is a fabrication. These gays are not raised to talk like that. They just emulate gay people that they see in media, like Koko the gorilla imitating human language. They make sure to have their voice come across as meek and unsure to project an air of weakness. Worse still, they just can't fucking speak normally. Instead of saying, "I gotta take a piss," they have to say it in some effeminate manner such as, "I gotta tinkle, hehehe!" Gays tend to hunch over when

standing to make themselves look as physically weak and unthreatening as they can, whereas lesbians make sure to stand up straight and tall. Gay men act the way they perceive women: Weak and powerless. Lesbians act the way they perceive men: Strong and powerful. This is a perversion of the Hermetic principle of gender. The male and female aspects should be harmonized in accordance with natural law, not turned into a parody of themselves.

These people are extremely insecure about their shortcomings. They mask their insecurities behind their sexuality and come off like clowns when they try to publicize and advertise their bedroom mannerisms. Gay men are insecure about their lack of masculinity. Lesbians are insecure about their lack of femininity. They cope with these deficiencies by pretending to be something they're not. This is not how normal people act, and they are well aware of that. They are intentionally doing these things to be different from normal people. You can immediately sense this weakness from a mile away, and this is what they want. They are so insecure about their own failings that they revel in weakness. People with self-esteem and self-respect do not feel the need to justify themselves to the public. They do not have the burning lust for the approval of others, the need to announce who they are constantly, or the need to project a fake image of themselves because they are content with who they are. Gays are rarely so secure.

The gays are so insecure about their sexuality that they feel like everyone is always talking about them. Overt hatred of gays is simply a thing of the past in the United States. Overt homophobia is not allowed in most places: Not major internet websites, not schools, not private businesses. Don't believe me? Go onto a major website like Facebook right now and publicly call someone a faggot. See how long it takes for you to get banned. Go into your school right now and call a tranny a walking freakshow. See how long it takes for you to get expelled. Walk into any public place whatsoever and proclaim your support for the Westboro Baptist Church. See how long it takes for the police to kick you out. Maybe all cops are bastards after all.

Say the wrong thing, at the wrong time, in the wrong place and watch your life be destroyed. And because the boundaries of acceptable belief are constantly shifting, you have to keep updating your programming, lest your milquetoast leftist mindset from last year suddenly become counter-revolutionary. One would think that all of this might be considered a victory for gays, that overt homophobia being extremely discouraged or outright censored would be a great triumph. But the culture wars, like the wars in the Middle East, were never intended to be won.

Homos need to feel they're fighting off homophobes. What is a movement without enemies? That is why they begrudge statements that are not inherently homophobic, misinterpret neutrality as homophobia, or fabricate homophobia wholesale. The demand for gay-haters vastly outstrips the supply. These queers could find homophobia in a bowl of rice.

Newsflash, pal: Nobody cares that you're gay. They just don't. Most people are not sitting around frothing at the mouth waiting for the chance to yell "FAGGOT" at the next gay person they see. This absence of homophobia has led to homosexuals somehow being even more easily offended. Now, as they cannot find much overt homophobia to complain about, they find instances of covert homophobia, thought-crime.

They claim that people are even subconsciously homophobic—that they have an unconscious bias, that lurking within every man's skull is a stormtrooper waiting to fix bayonets. It's not enough to be consciously tolerant of homosexuals because they know that deep down, underneath your altruism and tolerance, you are secretly and subconsciously being a bigot. And miraculously, bigotry is always defined as any opposition to Anglo-American foreign policy.

Unlike the brief lives of most speedrunners, there's no end to it. We have already made the concessions, and it was not enough. Enough will never be enough because they are not interested in winning the fight against homophobia. They are only interested in fighting the fight, any fight.

I'm sick and tired of people trying to dismiss arguments against homosexuality with the canard that all gays are not like that. I'm making statements that apply to the general population—an extremely accurate term—of gays. Imagine that I am holding a white piece of paper in my hand. This piece of paper has a small black dot on it but is otherwise blank. I ask you what color the piece of paper is. You answer that the paper is white, but the smug grin on my face tells you that you've guessed wrong. There is a black dot on the paper, so your generalization is false.

This is an example of the NAXALT (not all X are like that) fallacy. The gay rights movement deliberately distorts reality just as Black Lives Matter misrepresents crime statistics in order to fool the *volk* into fighting each other instead of their alien oppressors.

I'm not saying that all gays are guilty of what this book claims. I'm just saying that the overwhelming vast majority of gays fit the profile. If that sounds like stop-and-frisk, most gays would enjoy it. I have known a couple of gays that were gay without any of the additional nonsense—literally a couple, and they shortly broke up with each other to return to their former glory holes—and you know what? If they could just act like normal people, maybe I wouldn't mind that much. However, my experience has been that the overwhelming vast majority of gays that I've ever known, that I've ever seen in media, and that I've ever had the misfortune to meet in public have been **total fucking faggots**.

Not unlike America's controlled opposition Republican party, we caved on just about every issue. Gay marriage? Legalized. Anti-discrimination laws? On the books. Societal acceptance of gays? Check. Gay representation in media, company hierarchies, and public office? Done. Boy Scouts of America letting gays be scout leaders? Allowed. Don't ask, don't tell? Repealed. Yet, it's not enough. Every concession we've made has always been met with some generic line from the gay leaders furthering the fight, such as, "This is a huge victory for the LGBT community, but there is still much work to do!" Is there? I look around at our crumbling streets and collapsing bridges and bankrupt businesses and agree there is much work to do, but it's not the kind you're thinking of.

Speaking of bankrupting businesses, Masterpiece Cakeshop is a small business in Lakewood, Colorado. In 2012, two homosexuals, Charlie Craig and David Mullins, walked into the shop and tried to place an order for a custom wedding cake for their own wedding. The shop's owner, Jack Phillips, declined their request on the basis that his Christian beliefs wouldn't allow him to create a cake for a homosexual wedding. Masterpiece Cakeshop, however, does not only bake wedding cakes. Jack Phillips refused to make a custom wedding cake for the couple on the basis that the cake was for a homosexual wedding, <u>but he did not refuse them service</u>. Phillips said that he would be happy to make and sell them any other baked goods.⁶⁵ This was apparently not satisfactory to the homosexuals, as they turned and left the shop to return to their lives of debauchery.

Craig and Mullins filed a complaint to the Colorado Civil Rights Commission, alleging that Jack Phillips violated the Colorado Anti-Discrimination Act (CADA). The complaint became a lawsuit, *Craig v. Masterpiece Cakeshop*. In 2014, the Colorado Civil Rights Commission gave their Final Agency Order on the matter: They ruled in favor of the complainant. In this order, they said that the respondent, Jack Phillips, would have to do the following: Cease and desist from discriminating against same-sex couples, provide comprehensive training to the Masterpiece Cakeshop staff on the *Public Accommodations* section of CADA, provide quarterly "compliance reports" to the Colorado Civil Rights Division for two years, and document any and all future instances of refusal of service to any patrons and the reasons for such refusal.⁶⁶

Jack Phillips refused to comply with the state's orders and simply stopped selling wedding cakes, which he alleged cost him 40% of his business.⁶⁷ He appealed the decision to the Colorado Court of Appeals, but the court upheld the ruling of the Colorado Civil Rights Commission.⁶⁸ After that, Philips had to take his case higher up the chain. The case went to the Supreme Court of the United States in 2017 under the name *Masterpiece Cakeshop v. Colorado Civil Rights Commission*. Finally, on June 4th, 2018, the Supreme Court ordered a reversal of the decision.⁶⁹

Here's the thing that always buggered me about this whole case: Jack Phillips never refused service to those homosexuals. He didn't refuse them service across the board; He just refused a specific service. He offered to sell them something else besides a custom wedding cake. He didn't say, "Get out of my store, fags," or try to exorcise the demons possessing their nervous system. Exactly how much more does Jack Phillips owe these exhibitionists? He allows them to shop at his store. He does not use any sort of derogatory language towards them. That was not enough. What these gays wanted was the ability to force him to create something specific, a monument to their sins.

Isn't cake decorating an art? How would this apply to other forms of art? Imagine that a Mohammedan enters the art studio of an infidel. He asks the *kaffir* to paint a portrait of a homosexual being stoned to death by a group of Muslims. The artist refuses, saying that he doesn't want to use his artistic talents to create such an image because it goes against his beliefs, but he offers to paint a different painting for the British robber. This is obviously discrimination, as it is both the cultural and religious belief of Muslims that homosexuals should be stoned to death. So, should we then force this homosexual artist to spill his paints? Does anyone have a right to the artistic talents of another?

Gays don't give a fuck about your personal rights. They want to be able to force you to do things that you don't want to do. In a free market, a business should be free to discriminate against anyone for any reason. Still, you don't need to agree with that to see that those queers were screwing Jack Phillips over.

What is refusal of service in the context that most people understand it? It's when somebody says, "Get the fuck out of this store," which Jack Phillips, peace be upon him, never did. At least Jack was able to finally relax after the Supreme Court ruled in his favor. This simple incident took about six years to resolve. That court case was finally behind him, and that was the end of the trouble that he faced. Although it was a protracted struggle, like the brave Mujahideen fighters of Afghanistan, Jack Phillips had won. "The very notion of transgender entails a reality altogether foreign to the senses and alien to reason. It is that reality, amorphous and chimerical, that is the object of enforcement, a hedonistic and superstitious ideology more familiar to primitive cults than the sensibilities of modernity, and this is no accident. It, being such, must be rejected wholesale, as we would with any other metaphysics imposed by the state."

- Anonymous

PART FOUR: T

I lied. You didn't actually believe what I said about Jack Phillips' jihad being over, did you? The LGBT crowd will never let go of a grudge. Within twenty-four hours of the Supreme Court announcing it would hear Jack Phillips' case, a transgender "woman" named Autumn Scardina phoned Masterpiece Cakeshop. Scardina tried to place an order for a custom birthday cake. The cake would've been pink on the inside and blue on the outside to represent Scardina's gender transition, but the cakeshop refused to make it based on the owner's religious beliefs. If this sounds familiar, it's because this is the exact same thing that happened to Jack the first time. Scardina filed a complaint with the Colorado Division of Civil Rights. In 2018, the Colorado Division of Civil Rights ruled that Masterpiece Cakeshop had discriminated against Scardina. Phillips filed a lawsuit against the state in response. In 2019, both the suit and countersuit between Phillips and the state were dropped like soap. Scardina went on to file a civil suit against Jack Phillips in 2019. Then, one year later, Scardina filed a second lawsuit against Phillips. In 2021, Denver District Judge A. Bruce Jones ruled that Jack Phillips had violated the state's antidiscrimination laws and ordered him to pay a cruel and unusual \$500 fine. Phillips' went on to appeal that ruling, but Judge A. Bruce Jones said that "Scardina said she wanted to 'challenge the veracity' of Phillips statements that he would serve LGBT customers, but her attempt to get a cake was not a 'set up' intended to file a lawsuit".70 So, Scardina knew ahead of time that this would cause an issue, intentionally tried to place an order to cause that issue, filed a lawsuit over the issue, but somehow denies that any of this was a set up? Not likely.

Even with all of that, it's not enough to satiate the righteous bloodlust of the LGBT assembly. These people can't let this shit go. It's not enough that Jack Phillips has been in and out, in and out of court cases for a decade. No, they still feel the need to attack Phillips' livelihood. To this day, sodomites still leave negative reviews on the Google page for Masterpiece Cakeshop in an attempt to drive away his potential customers.

Amanda Potter, one-star review: "The cake was riddled with these weird, rocklike chunks of bigotry. I asked for a cake with a lemon filling and got what tasted like discrimination. Also, the general aroma was that of religious condescension. Would never recommend."⁷¹

April Nelson, one-star review: "Bitter taste of discrimination left in my mouth. I did get some cupcakes there before I knew about the owner. They looked amazing... But they tasted just okay. Not worth it."⁷²

Scoop Diehl, one-star review: "It seems like they're trying to sugar coat bigotry. The thought of this bakery leaves a bad taste in my mouth."⁷³ **Matthew Donnelly, one-star review:** "I would give zero stars if possible. Discrimination and Bigotry never are palatable no matter how you bake and decorate it".⁷⁴

Erin Hamilton, one-star review: "The proprietor will absolutely refuse to make a cake with anything he 'does not agree with' be it a religious symbol, or pride colors. Disgusting. There's a really good cake shop just across the street FYI."⁷⁵

Kristin Young, one-star review: "Nothing sweet about Bigotry Cakes".76

Kevin Kitzman, one-star review: "This place reeks of bigotry".77

Holy shit. Can a guy catch a fucking break? Can a guy sell cake in peace? I bet you that half of these fags didn't even go to Jack's shop, and if that's the case, he should sue them for libel.

Is it possible for a human being to transition to the opposite sex with publicly disclosed technology? Let's unsheathe Occam's razor. Many summarize this principle by saying, "The simplest explanation is usually the best one." The exact text states that "Entities should not be multiplied without necessity."⁷⁸ What does that mean? Keep it simple, stupid.

So, what does this thought process look like in practice? Imagine that you are a detective trying to solve a murder. A woman was killed in room 401 at the C.S. Hotel. The woman checked into her room just before 1700 on the 2nd of April. The body was found at 1900 that evening when room service noticed that the woman's door was ajar, and you arrived on-scene two hours later. The cause of death was apparent murder by strangulation. All guests on the fourth floor have stayed in their rooms since the police were originally called. There are no cameras in the halls of the fourth floor. Upon receiving the surveillance tapes from the stairwells and elevators, you see that nobody has entered the fourth floor since 1700 except for room service and the police. You also note that nobody has left the fourth floor in that time. All windows on the floor are locked shut. With this being the case, is there any reason to suspect that the perpetrator is not still on the fourth floor? Is there a need to interview every person on the first, second, and third floors to make this determination? Viewing this at the simplest level, using the principle of Occam's razor, we can say the following: Nobody has left the fourth floor since the woman has been killed, therefore the perp is still on the fourth floor.

I use this thought process with most all things, and transgenderism is no different. What is a man? I would say that it's someone with XY chromosomes and the ability to produce sperm. What is a woman? I would say that it's someone with XX chromosomes and the ability to produce eggs. *Ceteris paribus*, we can answer the transgender question quite simply. If a man has his penis turned into a non-functioning "vagina," does he become a woman? No. The chromosomes did not change to XX. The reproductive system did not become that of a woman. If a woman has skin grafted off of her leg or arm to form a Frankenstein "penis," does she become a man? No. The chromosomes did not change to XY. The reproductive system did not become that of a man.

What happened? I considered the most base form of the question, "Is it possible for a human being to transition to the opposite sex without classified technology?" and I found that the answer is no. Why are there so many people who claim that "science" has proven that transgender people can change their sex? The answer is simple: Delusion. Transgender advocates are unable to refute what I stated at the base level, that a person cannot change their sex. Therefore, they confound the equation with jargon and sophistry to arrive at their predetermined conclusion. That's why they've all but abandoned the label "transsexual." That's why you see them tripping over themselves struggling to rationalize different metaphysical concepts. That's why you see these odd claims such as, "My sex is female, but my gender is male." Having barricaded themselves from reality in their own safe space, they cannot acknowledge the truth, because they don't even believe such a thing exists. Once you believe in moral relativism, what won't you believe?

I have no problem with science, but I **hate** "science." I think any intellectually honest person knows what I'm talking about here. Science explains density, electromagnetism, and Newton's laws of motion. An experimenter begins with data and draws a conclusion from his observations. Gender nonconformity, systemic racism, and dysgenics are promoted with "science." A pseudo-scientist begins with his conclusion and works backwards towards the data.

The scientific method works. Ask a question, formulate a hypothesis, test the hypothesis with an experiment, analyze the results, and then report the findings. Unfortunately, real science is bigoted. When one honestly interprets these data, he'll find results that are inconvenient for the ruling class, whose dictates must go unquestioned, lest they lose their seats in the halls of power. Some species having larger brains than others, or being more prone to violence than others, or having less empathy than others endangers the belief that all men are created equal. Do all men act as though they were created equal? God imparted us with the free will to do good or evil. Could certain genetic groups be predisposed to such evil? No, we can't have anyone forming any dangerous conclusions.

Academics no longer follow the scientific method. They follow sociology. Choose a lens like feminism, Marxism, or racism and justify your own unhinged beliefs with arbitrary anecdotes. Is that trusting the science? No. These lab-coated clergymen wouldn't know science if it told them that 13% of the population commits 50% of the crime. Some might recognize this as the *Procrustean bed*, the practice in which a man forces something to fit into an unnatural scheme or pattern by altering facts or ignoring information that opposes his viewpoint. Scientists can't do what they do for free, and so they accept money from people that sponsor their research. Corporations and NGOs aren't funding research out of the kindness of their hearts. They sponsor it because they want results: Their results.

For example, a pharmaceutical company might sponsor a think tank to produce a study about a new drug they're selling. Do you think the pharmaceutical company is going to pay for someone to conduct research that paints their new drug in a negative light? Not likely. They're going to hire a scientist who will find the "right" results, one who will find that their new drug is "safe and effective." Science is fake and gay. Science is bought and paid for, like a politician who hasn't been shot. You should be extremely skeptical of any and all mainstream "science" that wasn't conducted in your backyard. If you want a good example of this "science" I'm talking about, read the article *Stop Using Phony Science to Justify Transphobia*. It says...

Wait. That can't be right... The author says that "the science is clear and conclusive: sex is not binary, transgender people are real. It is time that we acknowledge this."⁷⁹ It can't be. "Science" has proven us wrong again. Apparently, "science" has declared that millions of years of human history, sprawling empires rising and falling, glorious warfare for the gods of our ancestors, heroic sacrifices to slay dragons and rescue damsels in distress, were all bigoted. Our very existence is a mistake, a cosmic accident, a footnote in the pages of a Herodotus textbook that is probably being burned as we speak.

If what they claim were true, they wouldn't need complicated science to explain it. Like pi equaling the ratio of a circle's circumference to its diameter or two plus two equaling four, the truth fits on an index card. Transgenderism requires complicated science to be explained because basic human biology shows that humans cannot change sex. Were these sociologists to acknowledge the reality of the spiritual dimension, then perhaps they could argue the Platonic ideal of a man versus that of a woman. But these serpents have deliberately locked us in their black box of materialism and thrown away the key. Basic human biology doesn't require complicated science. Penis-in-vagina does not require complicated science to be explained.

Do you need hard science to be able to determine that men and women look different from each other? Is the difference between a penis and a vagina not obvious to you? Is it not also obvious that these differences occur naturally, that women naturally have a vagina and breasts whereas a man naturally has a penis and a flat chest? Those facts are obvious. What is **not obvious** is that people changing their sex via surgical operations and hormone injections is "normal." Transgenderism is not obvious, not natural, and certainly not normal.

I hate people who "believe" in science, wherein I mean that they believe whatever the popular science has to say. It used to be the case that geocentrism was an indisputable scientific fact, and anyone who said otherwise was harshly ridiculed as a heretic. Now, heliocentric theory is an indisputable scientific fact, and anyone who says otherwise is declared a fundamentalist. (The author is not choosing sides, but he will acknowledge that nobody ever had to refund an astrolabe.)

Unlike the shape of the Earth, science changes over time, and not necessarily for the better. New information changes our understanding of nature. One of the biggest driving forces behind these changes in science, however, has nothing to do with information. Instead, it centers around public opinion, which of course is molded by the ruling bloodlines into whatever they desire. The unthinking masses only like science when it agrees with their programming, and that programming changes on a daily basis.

Take, for example, eugenics. Eugenics, the practice of trying to selectively breed the various species wrongly generalized as the human race, is widely considered to not only be morally wrong but even the precursor to genocide. Selective breeding? Trying to mold a species a certain way? Certain traits being desirable and others undesirable? If this sounds familiar, it's because we already use this process on other species. We selectively breed dogs for military and police bomb detection squads. We selectively breed horses to be the fastest on the racetrack. We selectively breed chickens to grow fast, get fat, and make their way into the slaughterhouse. The science of eugenics has not only been proven to be true, but it's also self-evident. Farmers have known for years that it's unwise to use diseased and sickly cows for breeding because they will produce unhealthy offspring, and I think we're all aware that the same thing applies to humans. Do you know what happens when the average person breeds with someone who's intellectually disabled? Usually, their offspring will be intellectually disabled, too. Do you know what happens when two intellectually disabled people breed together? Their offspring is almost guaranteed to suffer the same fate. This is all common knowledge, so why don't we ever hear about it? Is it because the science of eugenics has been disproven, or is it because the medical papacy has deemed this science bigoted?

Popular "science" has deemed transgenderism normal. Public opinion maintains that any evidence to the contrary is bigoted, and therefore wrong. The "science" will tell you that these things are normal in spite of everything your base instincts know to be true. There are many things that are known to be true that "science" will tell you are false, and there are many falsehoods that "science" would have you believe are true. There will always be conflicting scientific reports, results, and studies. Somehow, we are asked to believe that transgenderism is absolute and infallible. Real science, however, is anything but infallible. Have you ever heard of the replication crisis?

The replication crisis is a known phenomenon in the scientific community in which the results of a study, for whatever reason, cannot be replicated when the study is repeated. Why is this? Two reasons. The first is that these studies are conducted improperly, with bad controls, bad models, bad observations. The second is that many of these studies are totally fake. What proof do you have that any study you've ever read was actually conducted? Who is to say that those who conducted a study did their work properly, or did it at all?

The fact that a study is peer reviewed doesn't mean jack shit, either. Peer review is only meaningful insofar as your peers also believe in the scientific method. Peer review is a euphemism for the tyranny of the majority, the very thing science was invented to prevent. People respond to incentives. What incentive does our society give them to tell the truth? A peer review is just one so-called authority validating the work of another so-called authority, and if there were a mutual interest in the results of a study being validated then who is to say that these so-called authorities are actually being truthful in their validations?

I hand you a petition aiming to exempt me and me alone from taxation. It has a thousand signatures on it. What proof do you have that a thousand different people actually signed the petition? For all you know, I forged all of those signatures because I want to be free of taxes so badly that I'm willing to commit fraud. What if I had a few of my friends, friends that have official titles, friends who are card-carrying members of the LGBT community, vouch for me and tell you that all of my signatures were legitimate? For all you know, I paid them off with the money that is no longer going to be stolen from me once the petition exempts me from taxation. You don't think we'd conspire together and lie about something like that, do you? Some might say that the notion that these studies are faked is ludicrous, but there have been documented and proven instances of scientific fraud.

Exhibit A: The Sokal affair. In 1996, physics professor Alan Sokal submitted a completely fake article to the academic journal Social Text. Social Text published the article without fact-checking. Janny Scott, a journo who decided to tell the truth for once, reported that the article "was read by several editors at the journal before it was published".⁸⁰ Scott's article quoted Sokal as saying, "I structured the article around the silliest quotes about mathematics and physics from the most prominent academics, and I invented an argument praising them and linking them together," and "All this was very easy to carry off because my argument wasn't obliged to respect any standards of evidence or logic." After Sokal's fake article was published by Social Text, he called them out on

their poor intellectual standards in a separate article published in Lingua Franca magazine. In the magazine's article, Sokal stated what he was trying to find out by staging the hoax:

I decided to try a modest (though admittedly uncontrolled) experiment: Would a leading North American journal of cultural studies--whose editorial collective includes such luminaries as Fredric Jameson and Andrew Ross--publish an article liberally salted with nonsense if (a) it sounded good and (b) it flattered the editors' ideological preconceptions?⁸¹

Exhibit B: The Grievance studies. Starting in 2017, Helen Pluckrose, James A. Lindsay, and Peter Boghossian began to write a series of completely fake studies. Over the course of about a year, they had come up with twenty fake studies in total and submitted them to various scientific journals. Of the twenty, four were published, three were accepted but not yet published, seven were pending review, and six had been rejected. Pluckrose, Lindsay, and Boghossian had to cut the experiment short in 2018 when media outlets started getting wise to what was going on, on which they commented in an Areo Magazine article: "This deserved incredulity led to small and then larger journalistic publications investigating our fictitious author, Helen Wilson, and our non-existent institution, the Portland Ungendering Research Initiative (PURI) and finding no credible history of either."⁸² To give you an idea of just how fake these studies were, let's take a look at what Jennifer Schuessler, a journalist for the obscure communist newsletter The New York Times, wrote about the outrageous nature of these articles:

One paper, published in a journal called Sex Roles, said that the author had conducted a two-year study involving "thematic analysis of table dialogue" to uncover the mystery of why heterosexual men like to eat at Hooters. Another, from a journal of feminist geography, parsed "human reactions to rape culture and queer performativity" at dog parks in Portland, Ore., while a third paper, published in a journal of feminist social work and titled "Our Struggle Is My Struggle," simply scattered some up-to-date jargon into passages lifted from Hitler's "Mein Kampf."⁸3

Exhibit C: The Piltdown Man. Between 1910 and 1912, Charles Dawson, an English lawyer and amateur geologist, dug up fossilized remains of a skull, a jawbone, and some teeth near Piltdown in East Sussex. The skull appeared to be that of an early human. In 1912, Dawson took the fossils to Arthur Smith Woodward, Keeper of Geology at the Natural History Museum. Woodward theorized that the fossils belonged to a human ancestor that was approximately five hundred thousand years old. He announced the find at a meeting of the Geological Society of London on December 18th, 1912. He claimed that the "Piltdown Man" could be the alleged missing link between apes and early humans. Encyclopædia Britannica reports that Woodward's claims were "eagerly and uncritically endorsed by some prominent English scientists, perhaps because the Piltdown fossils

suggested that the British Isles had been an important site of early human evolution."⁸⁴ Encyclopædia Britannica also reports that the Piltdown Man "was one of the most successful hoaxes in the history of science." The whole thing was completely fake. In 1953, after forty-one years of this hoax being widely accepted as true, Time Magazine published an article titled *End As a Man* that exposed the hoax:

The skull fragments may be 50,000 years old, the age of many other human bones found throughout Europe. The jawbone, according to the scientists' report in the British Museum Bulletin, fared even worse: it proved to be the jaw of a modern ape, probably an orangutan, which died at the age of ten. It had been artificially colored with potassium bichromate and an iron salt to make it look old, and its teeth had been pared to make them look more or less human.⁸⁵

The examples I just provided for you were fraudulent, hoaxes from the get-go, but they were not initially known to be faked. Is it so hard to imagine that there are other such fake scientific studies and findings that are not yet known? You can research all of this yourself and see that many scientific institutions acknowledge that the replication crisis is not just a problem but an epidemic. The question arises, "Why would someone fake a study?" To answer that, consider two things:

1. Corporations that sell "gender affirming care" services have their business ventures justified by "science."

2. "Scientific" studies that justify "gender affirming care" are sponsored by the corporations that sell those services.

Suddenly, the answer seems quite obvious. Studies are faked because they further monetary interests. This is true not just in the case of transgenderism, but true in all instances of "science" wherein the research is sponsored by entities that stand to gain from the veneer of academic approval.

Science is not the end-all be-all. It isn't absolute. Many people will simply believe something so long as a "scientific" source is provided, but few investigate a source's legitimacy unless it has a politically inconvenient conclusion. You should not be reliant on a single source to prove or disprove an argument. That's something that people who can't think for themselves use a source for. You should triangulate the truth from a variety of eclectic sources by looking for patterns in the data. During this search, never assume that information from an external authority (or so-called authority) is true at face value.

So, what are these dictators trying to mandate? They are trying to make you believe that transgenderism is normal, but are they trying to actually outright say that a person can change sex? Some would say that they are just talking about gender, not sex, but that is a distinction without a difference. This book uses the terms interchangeably, though most people use the term "transgenderism" because the long march through the institutions has rendered the term "transsexualism" offensive. The main argument is that gender is a separate concept from sex altogether, and that someone can change their gender, hence "transgenderism." It is entirely irrelevant, however, what name they ascribe to all this. Whether they are trying to say that they can change sex by calling it "transsexualism" or otherwise trying to say that they can change gender by calling it "transgenderism," in either case, they are attempting to surgically change their biological sex. So, it seems largely pointless to me to try and change the terminology surrounding this in an attempt to change what it is, because the surgeries are the same. **Transgenderism** is achieved through **sex reassignment surgery**.

You might ask, "What the hell is gender?" Who knows? Words mean whatever you feel like they mean, man. Any objective meaning they used to carry can be changed instantaneously by online dictionaries. The term "gender" has changed entirely ever since sexologist John Money, who will be discussed in part five of this book, made the distinction between gender and sex in 1955. Gender now refers to the "socially constructed" differences between males and females, whereas sex refers to the "biologically determined" differences between males and females.

Socially constructed? Transgender advocates and feminist theorists will tell you that all masculine attributes and all feminine attributes are not the result of natural occurrences. Instead, they say that these attributes are socially constructed. From their perspective, there are no naturally occurring differences in mental states or natural inclinations between men and women. This is obviously false. Consider the fact that there have been a great many societies that have existed throughout human history, and that many of these ancient societies had no contact at all with foreigners. Despite the fact that there have been a great many different societies throughout history, despite the fact that many of these societies did not have any contact with or influence from other societies, it has been almost universal throughout these societies that men had certain roles and women had different roles. In almost every society that has ever existed, men have been the hunters, the builders, the thinkers, and the soldiers. In almost every society that has ever existed, women have been the nurturers of the young, the rearers of future generations, and the ones who did the housework. If we are to believe that these roles are just socially constructed, then how would it possibly be the case that the overwhelming vast majority of all human societies has just somehow happened to socially construct the same gender roles? How would it possibly be the case that all of these societies would just somehow happen to socially construct the same ideas of masculine and feminine attributes? Was there perhaps a worldwide civilization that shared one language and belief system that succumbed to a sudden cataclysm? The transgender advocates and feminists know that this couldn't have come down to random chance, which is why they point the finger at the nebulous "patriarchy."

The way these delusional Atlanteans talk about the patriarchy, one would think the gods of old still walked the Earth. These patriarchal systems supposedly invent separate gender roles for men and women in order to oppress the fairer sex. Those who believe that gender roles are socially constructed say that these historical societies I am talking about are all the result of patriarchal systems, but how would that be possible? If you believe that patriarchal systems are the reason that all of these societies socially constructed these gender roles, then how would it possibly be the case that the vast majority of all historical societies somehow came up with the same patriarchal systems? In between shifts at Starbucks, these sociologists are trying to find some excuse, some reason, to explain away the fact that the vast majority of all human societies have had the same gender roles, as though an unsung group of heroes were traveling from nation to nation to share the light of civilization. What's easier to believe? Is it easier to believe that men and women are inherently different and have different natural gender roles, or that the vast majority of all human societies just so happened to socially construct the same gender roles?

The differences in natural inclinations between men and women are both self-evident and readily apparent, but we are being told instead that they are socially constructed. Why would transgenders want you to believe that these things are social constructs? By brushing aside the fact that men and women have natural differences, it becomes easier to believe that you can artificially cross the line that differentiates men from women. Soon transgenderism will become transhumanism, and transhumanism will become horrors beyond your wildest nightmares.

The article I quoted just earlier, *Stop Using Phony Science to Justify Transphobia*, acknowledges the sky-high rate of suicide attempts by transgender individuals. Would not acknowledging that these people suffer from a mental illness allow us to take measures to help them? Cure their disease? You cannot treat an illness you don't believe exists. Misguided tolerance is actively contributing to the deaths of these innocent young men. Do you know how many of them attempt suicide? An article from broken-clock-is-right-twice-a-day NBC News outlines the numbers behind this:

Transgender adolescents are far more likely to attempt suicide than teens whose identity matches their sex assigned at birth, and trans male youth are especially at risk, a U.S. study suggests. Roughly half of transgender teens who identify as male but were assigned a female gender at birth have attempted suicide at least once, the study found. And 42 percent of adolescents who don't identify exclusively as male or female have at least one prior suicide attempt. . . . In contrast to all of these groups of transgender teens, just 18 percent of females and 10 percent of males

who are cisgender — meaning their gender identity matches what it says on their birth certificate — have attempted suicide.⁸⁶

Could there be a correlation between permanently mutilating your body and wanting to kill yourself? Overnight, top researchers in the CDC notice an unprecedented spike in suicides. The President of the United States is briefed the following morning by government intelligence agencies. Every scientific mind in the country is put on the case. Before long, a correlation is made between the use of prescribed opioids and suicide. New research shows that an astonishing half of all people on prescription opioids attempt to commit suicide. Would the public just let that slide and continue downing these pills? People would be protesting in the streets, the government would make an official address, and scientists would spend years studying these suicide enhancers. Snap back to reality. Now remember that there actually is a correlation between suicide attempts and sexual deviancy. What do you think is going on?

Let's look at this problem from a different perspective. Consider a similar mental disorder: Body integrity identity disorder (BIID). The study *Is the amputation of healthy limbs ethically justified?* describes the term as "the extremely rare phenomenon of persons who desire the amputation of one or more healthy limbs or who desire a paralysis. Some of these persons mutilate themselves; others ask surgeons for an amputation or for the transection of their spinal cord."⁸⁷ Wikipedia adds that "The person sometimes has a sense of sexual arousal connected with the desire for loss of a limb or sense."⁸⁸ Do you need a coadjutor to tell you that something is wrong with that? Do you need a rabbinical tractate to tell you that amputating a healthy limb for "psychological reasons" is insane? The parallels between transgenderism and BIID are clear as day, yet we deem BIID a mental disorder and pretend that transgenderism is not only normal but desirable. How accepting of this would you be if your firstborn son came up to you and said he wanted to chop off his right hand? Any sane and rational parent, I would argue, would immediately shut that idea down. Why should your reaction be any different if your son requested that his penis be permanently mutilated with sex reassignment surgery?

Some people try to argue that in cases of both transgenderism and BIID the decision to undergo unnecessary surgery should ultimately be up to the individual. But what if these individuals are not of sound mind to make such a decision? Consider that society supports the idea of "transgender children." As I mentioned in part one of this book, therapists in San Francisco have socially transitioned kids as young as three, and doctors will prescribe hormones to eight-year-old "trans children." Do you think that a child can truly comprehend the ramifications of these decisions? Do you think that an eight-yearold kid, someone who hasn't even hit puberty, understands what they're giving up in life by undergoing this butchery? It's as easy as taking foreskin from a baby. Imagine that a fourteen-year-old with Down syndrome inherits a valuable classic car when his dad dies. The car is valuable, but this teenager has no idea what it's worth. Someone walks up to this kid and uses his high charisma score to offer him a candy bar in exchange for his classic car. The teenager, both mentally impaired and ignorant of the car's value, doesn't know he is being completely ripped off and is manipulated into accepting the offer. Is that morally wrong? If so, why? I would say that it is wrong because someone is taking something from him while knowing full well that he doesn't have the capacity to understand just how bad he's being scammed. These "transgender kids" do not have the capacity to understand the degree to which they are being scammed. Even adults with BIID should be held back from surgery to remove their functional limbs. After all, someone of sound mind would not wish to harm themselves. Why is this any different with transgenderism? Is the mutilation of a person's genitals not also a form of self-harm? Is it not an act that a person of sound mind would avoid? Where does thrill-seeking end and crime begin?

Many psychologists believe it unwise to feed the delusions of a schizophrenic. I worked in the medical field first as a lowly EMT (Emergency Medical Technician) and later as a Combat Medic, so I have some basic knowledge of medicine. I remember back in EMT training how I was instructed explicitly NEVER to feed into the delusions of a patient. This training and these guidelines are in place for obvious reasons. It can be tempting to try and humor a patient in an attempt to de-escalate a situation, but this causes more harm than good.

One time while on the ambulance, I had to pick up a patient with schizophrenia, a dysfunction of the pineal gland. This man was convinced that the Russian mafia had put a hit on him, and he thought everyone around him was a Russian agent just waiting for a chance to kill him. No, he was not a contributor to CNN. If I had fed into his delusion, it might well have caused him to violently lash out at the next "Russian assassin" he saw. On another occasion, I transported a lady to a psychiatric facility after she went on and on with insane ramblings about how God had told her that the world was going to end next Thursday. This was back in 2014, and the world did not end that Thursday. People who believe the end is nigh are liable to snap at a moment's notice. If I had fed into her delusion, who knows what she may have done?

So why does society treat the delusions of a trans person differently? We affirm gender dysphoria, but we do not affirm other mental disorders. Transgenderism is the only mental disorder I am aware of in which we completely disregard these commonsense guidelines. Why? We're being so disingenuous about the situation that we don't call gender dysphoria a mental illness or even a disorder in the first place. It used to be called "gender identity disorder" but was renamed in 2013 to "gender dysphoria" because we wouldn't want to imply that there's something mentally wrong with these people. Constant activist whining, media campaigns, and an endless stream of propaganda have made every attempt to normalize this mental illness. The media are attempting to normalize the mutilation of children just like the dark occultists of old. The fact remains that mutilation of a healthy body part is not normal. There is nothing normal about permanently destroying a person's reproductive system. In fact, it is the polar opposite of normal. It is a twisted and evil practice perpetuated by capitalistic profiteers.

A common argument made for homosexual rights years ago was that homosexuality occurs in nature. Darwinian evolutionists, taking a break from their fruitless efforts to find a missing link between pre-Adamites and modern humans, argue that certain animals express homosexual tendencies and that somehow means it's natural. You know what else animals do? Animals eat their own feces, rape other animals, cannibalize their own kind. Should we then conclude that those things are also natural? That there's nothing abnormal about the consumption of feces, the rape of other humans, or the eating of human flesh? Humans are not animals. On one fact all classical religions agree: Man was fashioned in the image of God. It's up to us to live up to that blueprint.

Hypothetically, if one compares human nature to animal nature in an attempt to justify some savage or abnormal actions, would there be any case for transgenderism being normal? A disingenuous professor could proffer many instances of sequential hermaphroditism in nature as examples of natural transgenderism. As you know, sequential hermaphroditism is when a living thing changes its sex at some point in its life. Certain fish, gastropods, and plants change from one sex to another. Consequently, sex changes do naturally occur in nature. But there is one critical difference between sequential hermaphroditism and transgenderism: Sequential hermaphroditism occurs **naturally**. No surgical operation, no injection of foreign substances, no therapist, no gender specialist, no ulterior motive, no normalization propaganda, no profits for the medical industry.

Transgenderism in humans is unnatural because it requires operations that do not occur naturally. There is a very small percentage of people who are born as hermaphrodites, but that is an incredibly rare birth defect. These people are not representative at all of transgenderism. If you wanted to use the example of a human hermaphrodite that could self-impregnate, it would have still occurred naturally. Such theoretical offspring would still be born naturally. This does not change my position that transgenderism in humans is unnatural. A naturally born hermaphrodite is in no way comparable to a regular human undergoing surgical operations in an attempt to change sex.

Despite this, doctors still perform sex reassignment surgeries. Physicians prescribe hormones to adolescents. Therapists affirm gender dysphoria. That isn't curing a disorder, that's being an enabler. The APA (American Psychological Association) is often cited as an authority on these matters, but have you ever actually read the papers they put out? Get a load of what this TLA is broadcasting:

A nonbinary understanding of gender is fundamental to the provision of affirmative care for [Transgender and Gender Nonconforming] people. Psychologists are encouraged to adapt or modify their understanding of gender, . . . Psychologists may assist TGNC people in challenging gender norms and stereotypes, and in exploring their unique gender identity and gender expression. . . . To facilitate TGNC people's learning, psychologists may introduce some of the narratives written by TGNC people that reflect a range of outcomes and developmental processes in exploring and affirming gender identity.⁸⁹

"Therapist" is a blanket term that encompasses multiple different titles of self-styled "mental health professionals." For instance, psychotherapists, psychologists, and counselors could all be considered therapists. APA guidelines apply to the psychotherapists who treat people with gender dysphoria. Speaking of therapists, most people have no business seeing a therapist, but therapists sure have a business seeing people.

Medicine is a service. People expect something to be done when they pay for a service. If you hired an electrician to fix some broken wiring in your gay nightclub and the electrician just threw his hands up and walked out, would you be satisfied with that service? No. You expect the electrician to fix the wiring, because that's what you paid him to do. If you walked into a hospital complaining of stomach pain, how would you react if the doctor gave you a clean bill of health and hit the golf course?

Doctors know that they're expected to perform a service, and so they will rarely, if ever, throw up their hands and do nothing. Even if they're not really sure what your problem is, they will prescribe you something. If not that, then they'll refer you to someone who will. People expect a service, and they provide it.

With that in mind, would a therapist ever tell paying customers that they're fine, that there's nothing wrong with them, that they should have a nice day? If you believe that, maybe you should see a therapist after all. A shrink's job is to find something wrong with you, find some reason for you to come back for another session.

The medical industry would cease to exist if they fixed problems instead of creating them. Why sell a cure when you can sell a disease? Do you think that a therapist, someone who gets paid per session, is going to try and dissuade a tranny from being a walking pocketbook? Transgender people are the perfect customers. Their only identity is apophatic, derived from the body parts they chose to cut off. Almost all of these trannies are in therapy. That's their only answer to any difficult situation: Go to therapy. Take the blue pill. They openly admit to being in therapy all the time, but they are unwilling to admit they are mentally ill.

Therapy is just one medical service of which trannies make frequent use. Otherwise healthy people condemn themselves to a lifetime of prescription drug addiction, therapy, surgeries, aftercare, and hormones. Some tout the doctors and surgeons that provide gender affirming care as healthcare heroes, but these healthcare heroes don't work for free. They're employed by corporations, corporations that want to sell you surgeries and procedures like hellbound used car salesmen. Hey, kid, you wanna become a woman? Just sign on the dotted line, in blood.

Do corporations and businesses have your best interest in mind? No, they have your money in mind. Corporations and businesses are not charities. Even charities aren't charities. Are you aware that in 2018 analysts from Goldman Sachs contemplated the question "Is curing patients a sustainable business model?" Continued: "The potential to deliver 'one shot cures' is one of the most attractive aspects of gene therapy, genetically-engineered cell therapy and gene editing. However, such treatments offer a very different outlook with regard to recurring revenue versus chronic therapies," and "While this proposition carries tremendous value for patients and society, it could represent a challenge for genome medicine developers looking for sustained cash flow."⁹⁰ Ah yes, we wouldn't want to interrupt anyone's <u>sustained cash flow</u>. Corporations don't hide the fact that they are in the medical business for money.

In 2019, an app called Solace was released that acted as a guide on how to transition for people who are criminally insane. An article from Forbes quotes Robbi Katherine Anthony, trans "woman" and founder of Solace, saying, "Our estimates place the average cost of transition at \$150,000 per person. Multiply that by an estimated population of 1.4 million transgender people, we're [talking] about a market in excess of \$200B. That is significant. That's larger than the entire film industry."⁹¹ **They are outright stating that they view transgenderism as a source of profit.**

Does the average trans person spend hundreds of thousands of dollars over the course of their extremely brief lives? Some do. It is a fact that almost all of them spend **at least** tens of thousands of dollars on these medical procedures. Some estimate that a tracheal shave is around \$4,000, that a vaginoplasty or phalloplasty can cost about \$25,000, that a breast augmentation or a double mastectomy can cost around \$10,000, that facial feminization surgery or facial masculinization surgery can cost upwards of \$50,000, and those are just some of the one-time costs.

Hormone therapy can cost hundreds of dollars per month, and trans people stay on hormone therapy indefinitely. As all good drug dealers say, "The first hit is free." Gender therapists can cost hundreds of dollars per session, and trans people who need therapy are in no short supply. We're already talking about a lot of money, and this isn't even a comprehensive list of expenses. When you start adding everything up, you can see why the medical industry is happy to provide these services. They pay, and they pay well. Are trans people unaware of this fact? Are they blissfully ignorant?

THE MEDICAL INDUSTRY VIEWS YOU AS A SOURCE OF CASH AND NOTHING MORE. THE MEDICAL INDUSTRY DOES NOT CARE ABOUT YOUR WELL-BEING OR YOUR PERSONAL STRUGGLES. THE ONLY THING THE MEDICAL INDUSTRY CARES ABOUT IS TURNING A PROFIT. ALL OTHER CONSIDERATIONS ARE SECONDARY, IF THEY ARE EVEN CONSIDERED AT ALL. YOU DO NOT MATTER TO THESE CORPORATIONS. YOUR LIFE, YOUR STORY, AND YOUR EXPERIENCES ARE WORTHLESS IN THEIR EYES. THEY DO NOT CARE IF YOU ARE KILLED DURING THESE MEDICAL PROCEDURES BY MALPRACTICE OR IF YOU KILL YOURSELF AFTER THE FACT, SO LONG AS THE PROCEDURES ARE PAID FOR.

It's important that you hear some of the insanity straight from the non-player character's mouth. Reddit, a social engineering campaign definitely not run by Eglin Air Force Base, has a board called *mypartneristrans*. That page is reserved for Redditors who are in relationships with transgender people. RubyStarz, a twenty-two-year-old female, writes about her troubles with her boyfriend:

[We] have been together for 2 years and he just told me he is questioning his gender identity. I'm pretty sure I'm completely straight and I don't know what to do. He is the love of my life and we were planning on getting married. . . . He has been experimenting with his gender (buying girls clothes, getting hair and nails done) and it's making me so sad. I'm really happy to see him so happy but I know his happiness in presenting female means he likely is trans so it makes me want to cry. We live together. We are financially dependent on each other. I feel like my whole life is falling apart. I don't know what to do.⁹²

It is unreasonable to upend your life and expect someone to stay in a relationship with you, but even more concerning is that this nonsense has been normalized to the point that Ruby feels as if she has to tolerate it in the first place. Not long ago, back when society was worth living in, people like this would have been locked up in a nuthouse. Another user, Butterfly_Princess79, has some issues with her drugged-out husband:

My spouse of 7 years who has struggled with drug abuse since his 20's and during our marriage recently told me he is non-binary. Our marriage has had a lot of ups and downs due to his drug abuse. Early in our marriage his doctor told him he had to go on female hormones due to prostate issues or it could turn to cancer. He was only on them for about 6 months. He said he has had gender dysphoria since around 10 years old and when he had to be on hormones for his prostate he realized he liked it which freaked him out and led to a year of back and forth drug use . . . he explained he still identifies as male but would consider himself a butch lesbian. He still wants to use he/him terms, he doesn't want anyone to know about it except for us and our therapists. His dysphoria is generalized to his chest. He just wants [female breasts] (waxing his chest included) but nothing else would change.⁹³

Hilarious. **"He still identifies as male but would consider himself a butch lesbian."** Both of these people are in therapy. Needless to say, it does not seem to be working. Not only is this guy a cracked-out degenerate, but he is also completely delusional. Butterfly, in all honesty, brought this upon herself by getting married to someone she knew was a chronic drug abuser. I find it comical that this dope fiend gets a rush off of using hormones, almost as if the hormones themselves are some addictive drug. Maybe they are. If you think this is bad, get a load of what Fishtankoverlord has to say:

So I (mtf 31) came out and started transitioning a year and a half ago and my wife (30 cis f) is starting to come to terms with it and be more ok now. Yay couples therapy. A really big hang up for her at the moment as I pass more and more is that she is afraid strangers or friends will see her romantically involved with a woman and assume she is a lesbian. Something she strongly does not want people to think of her as.⁹⁴

Complete insanity. First of all, this guy does not "pass." He shared an image of himself in another post, and the first comment on that post really sums it up: "Fuck, I hate giving feedback like this but your jawline is pretty masculine."⁹⁵ Second, if we are to believe that trans "women" are real women, **then that would make this dude's wife a fucking lesbian.** That's how that would work, right? A woman that dates women is a lesbian. What happened to the "science" that proved that they're real women? Their own logic goes right out the window when it becomes inconvenient. I also want to call attention to the fact that this bastard had to drag his wife to couples therapy in order for her to deal with this nonsense, yet he seems to think it's all good because couples therapy conditioned his wife to put up with this. Having a negative reaction to psychosis is a perfectly normal response. Another user, ValerieMaybie, is about to destroy the lives of his wife and child. He details how he sent his wife a letter announcing himself as both genderfluid and a crossdresser, specifically says in the letter that he has been secretly trying on his wife's clothes behind her back, and then he goes on to write:

Overall, she took it okay, but some of the language I used in the letter made her worry. I said something like "I think I'm genderfluid or nonbinary or something, which apparently fits under the transgender umbrella, so I guess I'm trans?". And she was like "wait, are you saying that you want to be a woman?" and I firmly said "no, I don't want to transition or anything like that". And she basically said "well I

love the idea of doing more girly things together, as long as you're not trying to become a woman, because I don't know if I could handle that".⁹⁶

This guy lucked out, big time. He's lucky this woman didn't slap him, take his kid, and file for divorce the next day. End of story, right? No. These people cannot be appeased. Enough is never enough. Despite the fact that his wife explicitly stated that she didn't know if she could handle him becoming a "woman" (which is code for "I'll leave you if it comes to that"), this guy goes on to say, "Right now, I think my general plan is to tell her that I'm trans and want to pursue hormone therapy, and then ask her what questions she has." Ask what questions she has? What is this guy even expecting? As Marco Rubio famously remarked, he knows exactly what he's doing. He's pushing the envelope, poking the bear, stoking the fire. He is digging a pus-oozing trench between himself and his wife for the sake of his twisted sexual fetish. This guy is married to an extremely unfortunate woman and is a parent to a baby girl. He is willing to cast them aside, and for what?

Some might accuse me of seeking out these posts just to confirm my biases or unfairly paint trans people in a bad light, but that's not the case. I found all of those posts in less than an hour, performed a quick check on their profiles to make sure they weren't trolling, and quoted them. Those are, if you could believe, not even the worst posts from that hive of scum and villainy. Go look. On any given day of this Greek tragedy, you'll find posts that are even worse. Pay close attention to the comments. They're virtually always positive and encouraging, since dissenting comments are deleted. You'll notice that there's almost always somebody recommending therapy to the original poster. If therapy worked, of course, there would be no transgender movement, because all of its sufferers would have been cured.

Reddit is a Maoist echo chamber run by sectoids. Since wrongthink is deluged with downvotes and original thinkers are unpersoned, what's left are the opinions of the U.S. State Department.

There is one final post I'd like to recount. My friend Mark Jules shared this one to me, from a board called *changemyview*. In 2020, user Phill_Hermouth created a post titled *Gender Dysphoria is a cureable mental illness, we've stopped looking for the cure because society is now forced into accepting transgenders*. At one point, Phill says, "Every time I see people on television interacting with transgenders, they seem very disingenuous to me. Patronizing, almost. *Wow, you're so brave and stunning*. Thoughts that come to mind are: For gods sake, stop playing along, this person is suffering and needs serious mental help, not to be put on a pedestal."⁹⁷ That's a perfectly reasonable position. It's not malicious in any way, it's not derogatory, and it's clearly a thought born out of genuine concern. Phill felt that his thoughts were wrong and needed to be corrected, but more interesting is the top comment from user mikeman7918. This comment is so

long that I think it'd be best to break it down into the relevant sections and examine them individually. Here we go:

There is something known as the social model of disability that applies here. Being deaf for instance is generally considered a disability, but if society were set up such that we didn't use sound as our primary means of communication [then] being deaf would not have any negative impacts on a person's life and it would no longer be classified as a disability. This applies with mental illness as well, something is only a mental illness if it causes significant distress in a person's life by definition. What is and isn't a mental illness is a rather arbitrary line to draw and some of it is dependent on what society is willing to accept and accommodate. This means that one could eradicate a mental illness by changing society, [which] is entirely possible.

This is illogical. If society were different, then the situation would be different? Yeah, no kidding. If everyone lived down the block from every place they'd ever need to visit, then not having a car wouldn't be such a big deal. The vast majority of people don't live down the block from every place they need to go, and they never will. This is something that only makes sense on paper. It's not a goal; It's a lucid dream. Mike says that something is only a mental illness if it causes significant distress in a person's life. You know something that causes a significant amount of distress? Gender dysphoria.

The problem with leftists, other than psionic mind control, is a constant need to place the burden or fault of any given problem at the feet of society. It's never an individual problem. An individual is never at fault, and even if he is wrong in some way, it's society's fault for failing him. He goes on:

Mental illness treatment is a rather tricky thing in general. It usually involves a lifetime of medication and . . . various forms of therapy that can only ever lessen the problems while only occasionally producing anything resembling a cure in a minority of people. That is the current level that mental illness treatment is at. If you consider gender dysphoria a mental illness though, compare that to what happens when people transition. It cuts suicide attempts by an order of magnitude. Post-op trans people still have a higher suicide rate than the general population by a couple percent, but that's still an order of magnitude better than the nearly 50% pre-op suicide rate. As mental illness treatments go, transitioning has insanely good almost perfect results. People would kill to have something even half that effective for anxiety and depression. The higher post-op suicide rate than the general population is fully explainable as a result of people not accepting them including often their own family. Transitioning saves lives, that's just an objective fact. Trans acceptance is suicide prevention. The only reason to not do it would be if it also has consequences that are somehow worse than the thing it prevents. I

can't even think of a single negative consequence though, let alone one worse than avoiding a proven suicide prevention measure.

This is one of the main arguments people use in favor of transgender surgeries, that it "saves lives." Sounds pretty righteous and noble. Who doesn't want to save lives? Entire bloodlines have met their end at the hands of these surgeries, perhaps rightly so. How many children have been denied the chance to have been born in this satanic clown world because of the normalization of genital mutilation? How many botched surgeries have permanently disfigured or even outright killed the patients who undergo them?

Earlier, Mike said that "something is only a mental illness if it causes significant distress in a person's life," so what about the distress these surgeries inflict on the families of those who undergo them? Is their distress irrelevant because of their heteronormativity? How many people have regretted transitioning after being encouraged to do so by other sex addicts? How many people have been repeatedly told that they might kill themselves and become a statistic if they don't transition? How many people have made a life-altering decision due to coercion?

Mike writes that the only reason not to do it would be if there were a worse consequence than what the surgeries supposedly prevent, but who decides what's truly worse? Not only that, but this scum-on-top playboy is trying to say that he can't think of a single negative consequence associated with transgenderism. Really, *amigo*? You can't think of one single negative aspect?

Mike casually throws out the line, "Transitioning saves lives, that's just an objective fact," as if his comma splice is completely indisputable. Mike cited his sources on this one.⁹⁸ Would you believe that his own sources disagree with his conclusions? He lists a study titled *Targeted Victimization and Suicidality Among Trans People: A Web-Based Survey* as proof that transitioning saves lives, but listen to the following quote from that very study:

A series of hypothesized contributors were found to be insignificant in the multivariable analysis; legal gender recognition and access to gender-affirming healthcare, surprisingly, were insignificant to suicidality. This finding contradicts Bauer et al.'s findings that having any personal identification documents changed to the appropriate sex and completing a medical transition are associated with reduced suicide risk.⁹⁹

How embarrassing, hoisted with his own petard. Who could have guessed that mutilating your body is not associated with reduced suicide risk? This is one of the great lies about transgenderism, that affirmation saves lives. It's not true. Mike was free to cherry pick any sources to arrive at his conclusions, and he picks something that disagrees with his own point.

A study titled *Suicide Thoughts and Attempts Among Transgender Adults in the US* found that of people surveyed that want hormone therapy but have not had it, 84.4% had suicidal thoughts and 41.1% attempted suicide in their lifetimes; Conversely, of people surveyed that did have hormone therapy, 81.9% had suicidal thoughts and 42.4% attempted suicide in their lifetimes.¹⁰⁰ The same study found that of people surveyed who wanted gender affirming surgery but had not had it, 83.9% had suicidal thoughts and 41.5% attempted suicide in their lifetimes; Conversely, of people surveyed that did have gender affirming surgery, 79.0% had suicidal thoughts and 39.5% attempted suicide in their lifetimes.

All of this gender affirming care barely puts a dent in the overall lifetime suicide rate for trans people. This is nowhere near what Mike was trying to say when he said that transitioning "cuts suicide attempts by an order of magnitude." Mike is using the hypothesis that transitioning saves lives to trivialize any negative repercussions that follow these sex reassignment surgeries. From what I gather, his position is that something worse than death would have to happen for him to admit he's wrong. Perhaps nothing is worse than death, but living the remainder of your natural life with amputated genitalia probably comes close. It's a moot point anyway, as the study he cited says the complete opposite of what he claims.

Notice how Mike preempts the argument that trans folx still kill themselves after getting surgery by saying, "The higher post-op suicide rate than the general population is fully explainable as a result of people not accepting them including often their own family." Does that fully explain these suicides? Are we sure that it has absolutely nothing to do with personal regret, unresolved mental problems, an extreme decrease in sexual marketplace value? Mike cannot even admit that these things might be part of the problem. To him, the problem is just those damn transphobes, the profane, the unbelievers. Big Mike's conclusion:

Calling sex reassignment surgery "mutilation" is misleading at best. It's a cosmetic operation done in a [sterile] hospital room under anesthetic by a trained surgeon, not a schizophrenic castrating himself with a rusty knife. If that's the standard for calling something "mutilation" [then] a hip replacement is "bone mutilation" and open heart surgery is "chest mutilation". If you are worried about children transitioning, people have thought of that. Although transphobes will often call it "chemical castration" in their usual fear mongering way, puberty blockers only postpone puberty for as long as a person is on them and the moment they stop taking them things resume as normal. Nobody is seriously suggesting doing anything irreversible to anyone under 18.

Cosmetic? **COSMETIC?** This hedonist is seriously trying to say that **the permanent loss of one's ability to procreate is cosmetic**. If you take your bitch down to the veterinarian to get spayed, is that a cosmetic surgery? You ever hear someone make the argument that circumcision is just the removal of skin, as if it's not a big deal? Is that a cosmetic surgery? Your eyelids are just pieces of skin, but would you want those surgically removed? Do you know why these people are able to say with a straight face that sex reassignment is a cosmetic surgery? Because to these shallow psychopaths, all that matters is cosmetics. That's what they care about: Appearances. Their arguments, like their bodies, fall apart upon closer inspection.

Mike somehow compares open-heart surgery to the permanent loss of the ability to procreate. Open-heart surgery is performed to repair a heart defect. A hip replacement is performed to repair a joint defect. What does transgender surgery repair? Certainly not the lost minds of its victims. If transgender surgery cured anything, the medical establishment would make it illegal.

Mike goes on to trivialize the use of puberty blockers in minors. In what way is inhibiting the natural process of puberty in adolescents not a big deal? Don't you think that there might be some negative repercussions for taking biology into your own hands like a mad scientist? Then, to top it off, he says that they only block puberty as long as you're on them. Puberty, unlike unemployed transgender forum moderators, is on a time clock. Mike's comment has 8000 upvotes. Phill, the original poster, responded to Mike's comment by saying that he successfully changed his view. On an unrelated note, Phill and Mike both claim they've been diagnosed with autism.

Planned Parenthood says puberty blockers are "medicines that prevent puberty from happening. They work by blocking the hormones — testosterone and estrogen — that lead to puberty-related changes in your body. This stops things like periods and breast growth, or voice-deepening and facial hair growth."¹⁰¹ Apparently, more sane forms of depopulation, like war, are too benighted for our new age of living in the pod and eating the bugs. Puberty is a natural thing, a pivotal part of life, and I don't care what any phony "experts" or "scientists" have to say. I don't need an "expert" to tell me that this is unnatural. I don't need a thirty-three-page research paper from a "scientist" to know that this is wrong. Delaying puberty directly contravenes human development and it can have serious negative effects on the body. Violating natural law, like violating man's law, has consequences. Are these Dr. Kevorkians prepared?

According to the St. Louis Children's Hospital, "Most experts, including our team, believe that puberty blockers are safe".¹⁰² Well, they're certainly safe for the people pushing the pills. They say that the Endocrine Society and the World Professional

Association for Transgender Health approve of puberty blockers, and they say that even the U.S. Food and Drug Administration has approved puberty blockers for children. The gangster government cronies down at the FDA lost all credibility when they lied about raw milk being poisonous. St. Louis Children's Hospital remarks that possible long-term side effects of these puberty blockers are: Lower bone density, delayed growth plate closure, "less development of genital tissue, which may limit options for gender affirming surgery (bottom surgery) later in life," and "other possible long-term side effects that are not yet known." Not yet known is a sufficient sentence for these crimes against humanity.

They also say that short-term side effects can include such things as: Headaches, fatigue, insomnia, muscle aches, changes in weight, changes in mood, changes in breast tissue, and "spotting or irregular periods." In a wanton display of hubris, the hospital notes, "Puberty blockers alone should not affect your child's fertility, but hormone therapy can." It should not? You're not sure? I think we need a second opinion. A young child of either sex is incapable of reproducing until they hit puberty. If you take drugs that impede the natural growth cycle and prevent puberty, it stands to reason that fertility would most certainly be affected.

Trans people are the communist revolution's unpaid interns. As such, it's extremely rare to encounter someone with gender dysphoria who doesn't also suffer from other mental problems. This is such a well-known phenomenon that even (((Wikipedia))) admits it:

A widely held view among clinicians is that there is an over-representation of neurodevelopmental conditions amongst individuals with [gender dysphoria], although this view has been questioned. Studies on children and adolescents with gender dysphoria have found a high prevalence of autism spectrum disorder (ASD) traits or a confirmed diagnosis of ASD. Adults with gender dysphoria attending specialist gender clinics have also been shown to have high rates of ASD traits or an autism diagnosis as well. It has been estimated that children with ASD were over four times as likely to be diagnosed with [gender dysphoria], with ASD being reported from 6% to over 20% of teens referring to gender identity services.¹⁰³

So just off of that, it seems that these people commonly have autism and similar vaccine injuries. If you've ever talked with people from the LGBT crowd before, then this is no surprise. LGBT people commonly self-admit, publicly, to having autism, anxiety, depression, mood disorders, eating disorders, alcoholic tendencies, drug abuse problems, schizophrenia, and persecutory delusions. In short, these hollow men have other issues besides just gender dysphoria. What's insane is that the medical-industrial complex limply pretends that there is no link between these conditions, and that the sane individuals who protest this madness are in fact the ones who are mentally ill. Trans people are not well-adjusted members of society. Years ago, sometime around 2012, I walked into Subway to buy a footlong, back when they were still five dollars. Yeah, I know, my first mistake was going to Subway. There was a kid behind the counter, he was about sixteen or so. I walked up and said, "Hey, man. Let me get a—" Suddenly, this kid broke down crying. No exaggeration, this kid started bawling his eyes out. Between sobs, he pointed to his Subway name tag and said, "See this? I'm not a man." I looked at his name tag, and beside his name was some drawing in sharpie that resembled an Unown Pokémon. Having already caught that one, I continued, "Alright, dude. Anyway, let me get a—"

I didn't stride into the store and yell, **"HEY, FAGGOT. MAKE MY FUCKING SANDWICH!"** I might as well have, seeing how he reacted to what I said. Is breaking down crying because someone "misgendered" you a sign of a mentally sound mind? What do these people expect? This kid did not remotely resemble a woman, and that's something that really gets me: Many autogynephiles make no active attempt to present as the opposite gender at all.

In 2019, while my friend Jake Richards was working at a pharmacy, a man dressed as a woman walked into the drugstore. He was wearing a yellow blouse, feminine jeans, and a brown wig. This guy had a five o'clock shadow on his face, no makeup, and nothing besides the outfit to try and imitate a female appearance. He looked extremely odd and out of place, especially because this guy had to be at least sixty. He brought whatever he was buying up to the counter, and my friend Jake said, "How's your day going?" This tranny then launched into a screed about how this was his first day presenting as female in public, and he explained that he had wanted to do this for the past forty years. Thank you, sir, but this is a Wendy's.

The point is that transgender people don't want to "pass," except into the next life. There are two kinds of transgenders: Those who want to pass as the opposite sex, and those who simply do not. Maybe they just have better things to do. A lot of trans people have made their entire identities revolve around the fact that they're victims of an Agenda 21 depopulation PSYOP. They cannot allow themselves to pass at that point. If they passed, nobody would know that they were trans. If nobody knew that they were trans, then they would have to get a real job. That's why so many of these transgender people are just dudes in wigs. They put in just enough effort, such as putting on a dress in the morning, to distinguish themselves from the crowd. Not only have they reduced their sexuality to a commodity, but it's also the minimum viable product.

They want to cause confusion. They want to be the center of attention when they go out. They want people to stare. If you were going to go around proclaiming to everyone that you're a Templar Knight from ancient Jerusalem, then you'd better damn well be wearing platemail. Otherwise, people might think you were crazy. You can't brush trans people off as if you don't care one way or the other how they present themselves. They do not care about your mere tolerance. They demand you kneel, like God commanding Iblis to bow. They expect you to both actively engage in and support their delusions.

If you don't, there will be repercussions. The gay mafia will find your name, your address, your place of employment, your child's elementary school. They will call your boss, protest outside your house, and talk on Twitter about how you're a transphobic supporter of the Fourth Reich. Maybe you bumped into someone and said, "Excuse me, sir," only to notice you collided with a man in a dress. Maybe you just don't give a fuck. The crime you committed? Irrelevant. What matters is what they say you said and what they say you did.

Trans people are golems of identity politics. Eunuchs have always been used by kings and pharaohs to administrate their empires since they pose no threat to the royal line. Once you slip up and these androids detect that you don't unquestioningly support transgenderism, you become an alt-right gamergater, a Fascist, and a White supremacist. They label you as one of their political opponents and punch the Nazi. It might be such that you yourself are a leftist, or a centrist, or a high priestess of Demeter, or an Aryan Jew, but that won't matter. Their asinine labels have such a bad connotation that simply being branded is enough to get you fired, assuming you weren't already let go by companies violating the Nuremberg Code.

Your best bet is to simply avoid any interactions at all with any trans people, but trannies just can't let you be. They force themselves into every space where they aren't wanted and then demand that those spaces make accommodations for them, accommodations which always agree with whatever the British monarchy demands. They're on the news, in your videogames, in your radio ads, in your libraries, in your schools, at your workplaces, and they will continue cropping up in every aspect of your life. You cannot escape these people any more than you can escape the IRS.

Dear reader, you are not the only one dealing with trannies invading your spaces. Transgender people force themselves upon their "allies," even if said allies want no dealings with them. The initialism "LGBT" pegs transgenders as members of the same club as the rest of the gays, "one of the girls." On the other hand, people from that community have expressed that they would like to be separated from transgender people, with some going so far as to try and cut down the movement's name to just "LGB."

Trans people will not allow any atomization of their group. They know that they would be much weaker on their own, and so they do not allow anyone to separate from them. They know that their ideology is self-hanging. Therefore, they force themselves into leftist groups that don't even want them there and then claim the transphobes don't belong. That is why trans people ally themselves with Black Lives Matter, Antifa, and the Tavistock Institute. The only intersectionality between these groups is that they make this world a worse place to live. Ironically, African Americans are by far the most homophobic and transphobic race in the United States. This never comes up, of course, because if people were capable of detecting such logical inconsistencies, they would not be members of the transgender movement.

If you go around inserting yourself everywhere, all night long, you are bound to find that there are certain places where you aren't wanted. That's what consent means. There will always be certain places, certain groups, that do not want you around. Most people just move on and find someplace else to go, but trans people won't have any of that. They claim that nobody should be excluded from anything. Well, they claim that until they hear that you belong to a group they don't like, and then they have no qualms with forcing you out of their spaces or invading your spaces with the intention of forcing you out. Someone once remarked that the LGBT movement is like the Island of Misfit Toys. It resembles an alien normalization program run by Indian subcontractors. Thank you, come again.

You know who's tired of trannies being in their spaces? Lesbians. Lesbian safe spaces, dating sites, and forums have been completely invaded by guys wearing wigs who try to proselytize about the new religion of "girl dick." Some former darlings of the gay rights movement, such as J.K. Rowling, have been decried as "TERFs," or trans-exclusionary radical feminists. The term bandied at these otherwise innocent lesbians is "sexual racists." ¹⁰⁴ These lesbians consider themselves to be "true lesbians," in that they only want to sleep with biological females. Somehow, this is the only group of gays that most people are allowed to openly criticize. They must not be on the payroll.

Trannies despise these lesbians because they won't sleep with them. One might remark that the dusty old insult "incel" is just projection from people who are literally biologically incapable of having sex.

Troons try multiple tactics to fool lesbians into sleeping with them. First, they accuse the lesbian of being a transphobe, in an attempt to guilt them into unwanted sexual activity. Were anyone else to do this, it would be considered rape. Then, they try to gaslight the lesbian into thinking that their penis is somehow feminine in nature by using language such as "girl cock." If all else fails, and it does, they outright attempt to convince a lesbian to try getting railed out by them by likening their ghoulish surgical wound to a strap-on, an artificial penis employed in ritualistic sex acts. Lesbos—named after Lesbos, an island in Greece known for its bushes—say they don't like dick. Even the most steadfast lesbian, however, will sleep with a 10/10 guy. Sadly, trannies are not 10/10 guys. Trannies are often fat, unhygienic, and unsightly. Buddy, the reason that women don't want to sleep with you is because you are gross. You smell like the inside of a *Magic: The Gathering* card shop. Take a shower. Append to that fact that you're mentally ill and wearing a wig, and you have zero chance of sleeping with a woman. Rather than trying to improve themselves, these freaks find it easier to label any lesbian that won't sleep with them as a transphobe. As Maimonides discoursed in the Rambam (paraphrasing), "If you want to have a sex life, don't chop off your genitals."

Are you aware that public schools will call your child by whatever names and pronouns they want without telling you? Are you aware that public schools can conceal your child's pronouns from you by claiming that it would be a violation of the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act, a federal law, to tell you? Are you aware that the National Education Association outright states that "Educational institutions should accept requests to refer to a student by their chosen name for day-to-day activities without altering the official record"?¹⁰⁵ Abigail Shrier, the author of *Irreversible Damage*, wrote about this in her book:

One might consider these to be aspects of a child's life a parent retains the right to know. But as fifth grade public school teacher C. Scott Miller explained to me, parents can't always get what they want. "Even parents that come in and say, 'I don't want my kid to be called that.' That's nice, but their parental right ended when those children were enrolled in public school."¹⁰⁶

Public schools invite transgender speakers to come into your child's class and preach their demiurge worship to them, and if your child then decides to identify as transgender, the school will make active attempts to prevent that knowledge from reaching you. Your kids are being brainwashed at school. In fact, that is all school ever was: A mechanism by which the state indoctrinates its slaves. A lot of this nonsense at school was birthed from anti-bullying campaigns that contended that kids were bullied for being gay. That just isn't the case. People get bullied for being different. But gays are no longer different: They are the standard by which everyone else is measured. Today, it's straight, functional people who are the exception to the rule. Anti-bullying campaigns have made it so that dysfunctional behaviors like wearing a choker or reading Lenin are not ridiculed, and the lack of ridicule has made it so that there is no social consequence for being cringe. Now, bullying in schools is all but eliminated. The natural regulation of the social organism is disrupted.

At my college, I frequently see people with furry tails, women wearing clothes suited for a LaVeyan wedding, and freak anime cosplays. Nothing is done to discourage these things. We need bullying to come back now more than ever. Not only that, but there are constant reminders and classes on things that I have no taste for. I'm tired of hearing professors and textbooks paint Karl Marx in a positive light, I'm tired of hearing about the Holocaust, and I'm really tired of hearing about transgenderism. Recently, I was in a criminal justice class, a farce because this nation has neither justice nor class, and I had to sit through a thirty-minute lecture on the correct use of pronouns! Now that is true injustice.

My friend Paul Sauer's college sent him an email encouraging him to attend "gender comprehensive training." This email had a graphic of the Noahide Law flag and read, "During this two-part training, we will take an in-depth look at the trans community's evolving terminology, gender theory, how to ask for pronouns, health disparities facing the community, resiliency factors, gender transition paths and support needs, and targeted best practices." How to ask for pronouns? Health disparities? Gender transition paths? Man, I should not have to ask for someone's pronouns.

It's bad enough that these creatures are walking around doing blasphemous imitations of the opposite sex, but they can't care enough to look like one sex or the other to save me the trouble of asking. Does anyone need a lecture on the health disparities that trannies face? We all know that they suffer from mental illnesses already, and any physical problems they have from pursuing a gender transition are not my problem. I have enough problems of my own: I don't want yours. College is full of this nonsense, and you are better off lifting weights and reading the Vedas while listening to the soundtrack from *Highlander*.

Trans people expect you not only to tolerate their delusions, but to feed into them. Your tolerance is not enough, and I have to stress something: Tolerating the delusions of these people should be more than enough. The fact that grown men are able to put on a wig and piss in the same bathroom as your young daughter is already more than enough. Societies that were less—or, alternatively, more—civilized than ours stoned people to death in the streets for that. We don't stone anyone for that because we're conditioned to remain domesticated, but our tolerance has been rewarded with betrayal.

The question of whether or not transgender people should be allowed to serve in the U.S. military has been raised several times, but I would suggest taking military bans a step further. I would argue that women shouldn't be allowed in the military in the first place. I guarantee that if women were booted out of the military today, there would be celebrations tomorrow. The vast majority of people in the military are well aware of the fact that women are worse soldiers than men.

They are worse soldiers in every regard. They are less suited to do physically demanding work, they are less capable of dealing with the mental stress of the job, they are lazier, they will accomplish less work than their male counterparts. A lot of women in the military constantly receive medical exemptions from certain things, such as participating in physical fitness tests or going to the field, and as such aren't even present at many work functions a lot of the time. Unit cohesion is hurt by the integration of women into the armed forces. Units are forced to lower their standards, lower their expectations, and make special exceptions when women are introduced into the equation.

Women complicate the military process, and the battlefield is no place for complications. The process goes from being standardized to being complicated. Instead of everyone just shitting in one slit trench, the men must now build a special covered slit trench just for the women. Instead of everyone being held to the same uniform standards—you know, like the equality we are all supposedly striving for—there must now be separate uniform standards for men and women. Instead of housing everyone in one bay, there must now be gender-segregated spaces for women. Instead of everyone being the same, some people have to be different, and uniformity is a big deal in the military (or at least it's supposed to be). With all that in mind, how do you think I feel about transgender people in the military?

Are you aware that being transgender in the military is just a free welfare ticket? Are you aware that transgender soldiers get special exceptions in the military, are protected by the military's anti-discrimination policies, and have their transgender surgeries paid for by taxpayer dollars? Are you aware that many transgender "soldiers" are nondeployable? Any reading material on the subject you find will no doubt tell you the exact opposite of what I'm saying. News articles, think tank studies, and top military officials will claim that trans soldiers are no less effective at their jobs than regular soldiers, but these are blatant lies that any real soldier could see through in an instant. I have four main points regarding why letting transgender people in the military is a bad idea.

Number one: Transgender soldiers become non-deployable for some amount of time after receiving a sex reassignment surgery. What does non-deployable mean? Generally, a "deployment" is a scheduled time away from the soldier's normal duty station, usually outside of the United States. Therefore, being "non-deployable" would mean that you aren't fit to perform your job outside of your normal duty station. Sex reassignment surgery puts soldiers out of the fight for weeks if not months, at the minimum. You are of extremely limited use to the military if you cannot deploy, and anyone would be a fool to deny that fact.

Number two: Many transgender soldiers never regain deployable status after transitioning. You must be physically and mentally fit to deploy. Transgender soldiers, for many reasons I've already outlined, are not mentally well to begin with. It's too easy for them to get the surgery, report to behavioral health the next day, and then say whatever they have to say in order to be deemed mentally unfit for deployment. What's more, transitioning often leads to them not being physically fit to deploy. Think about this: A biological male, like Hercules and Achilles and all history's men of renown, is the ideal

soldier. A male does not have to worry so much about any medical complications due to prolonged stay in unsanitary field environments, at least in regard to his genital region, whereas a female would. Now imagine that a male soldier transitions to a "female" soldier. Instead of simply being able to exist in harsh conditions with nothing but food and water, now he must also be using hormones and dilating in the field. What is dilation, you ask? When a male-to-female transgender person gets a vaginoplasty, the surgery where they convert the penis to a "vagina," they then have to ensure that the "vagina" doesn't heal itself closed. The "vagina" is essentially an open wound, and it can and will close up if dilation isn't practiced. Dilation is the painful process of inserting a phallic object into the "vagina," forcing it to remain open. After a male has transitioned to a "female," dilation must be done for the rest of their disappointing lives. Knowing this, do you expect that someone like that would be fit to fight a war? War has always been fought by dirty people, in dirty places, in dirty conditions. How do you think open wounds fare in conditions like those? It could easily be argued that a person with such a wound is already a casualty.

Number three: Unit cohesion is harmed by the integration of transgender soldiers. The integration of women has hurt the armed forces and required the construction of gender-segregated spaces in the military, but now those segregated places aren't even sacred. Women, if they had any sense at all, would vehemently oppose transgender "women" invading their spaces. However, women are generally short-sighted and cannot see the long-term consequences of their actions. The military doesn't create spaces for men, women, and trannies. They only create spaces for men and women. Now, men who are labeled as "women" in DEERS (Defense Enrollment Eligibility Reporting System) shower in the women's showers, bunk in the women's bays, and are completely integrated into women's spaces. I might also add that a soldier does not have to have had sex reassignment surgery to be labeled as "female" in DEERS, which means that even a guy with a penis can shower with biological women. How do you think that makes these women feel? Safe? Secure? Surely not. As you may recall from part two of this book, I made the decision to avoid that gay soldier in AIT because he would report anyone who offended him to command. It seemed easier, in my mind, to just straight up not talk with people like that. Do you think I was the only soldier who came to that conclusion? Trannies in the military have diplomatic immunity because they are always perceived as victims, and do you know what happens when a tranny decides that you have victimized them in some way? You will be reported to command, and in the military you are guilty until proven innocent. Soldiers know this, and because of that many of them (correctly) make the decision to avoid interactions with fags and trannies in uniform. How do you think this shakes out in military units? Do you think this creates unit cohesion, or does it destroy it?

Number four: The cost of employing transgender soldiers is not worth what they bring to the table. Transgender soldiers cost more than regular soldiers. Sex reassignment

surgeries, hormone prescriptions, and constant visits to behavioral health mean that these people, pound for pound, cost more than the average soldier. What do trans soldiers bring to the table to offset the costs associated with them? Nothing that any regular soldier does not also bring, surely. So, why are we making all these concessions? Why are we spending all this money? Some military officials and media outlets might try to say that all of this is a good thing because it increases the pool of people from which the military may recruit. Remember earlier, in part three of this book, when I quoted the poll from 2020 that found that LGBT people accounted for 5.6% of the adult population in the United States? That same poll found that transgender adults accounted for 0.6% of the population. Yeah, we're doing all of this shit for **0.6%** of the population. Even if allowing transgender people in the military drastically increased the pool of people from which the military could potentially recruit, **which it does not**, you would be recruiting from the absolute bottom of the barrel.

What I want you to take away from all of that is simply that trans people do not make good soldiers, and that anyone telling you otherwise is being delusional or disingenuous. If you put the military aside and focus on civilian jobs, these people are still bad candidates for employment. If you hire a trans person, then you are hiring someone who is mentally unwell. And if you do not, you are inviting a discrimination lawsuit. The United States government extends our anti-discrimination laws to this 0.6% of the population, which means that you will be successfully taken to court if you deny them jobs at your business.

Did you know that your tax dollars are also paying for transgender surgeries through Medicare and Medicaid? According to the National Center for Transgender Equality, "It is illegal for Medicare to deny coverage for medically necessary transition-related care", and "It is illegal for Medicaid plans to deny coverage for medically necessary transition-related care."¹⁰⁷ Your tax dollars are already paying for trans people's hormones, sex reassignment surgeries, and therapy sessions. As it stands right now, these things have to be deemed "medically necessary" in order for Medicare or Medicaid to cover them. Medically necessary? According to whom? The same doctors profiting from these procedures?

The law is on their side. The public is on their side. The school system is on their side. The news media is on their side. The government is on their side. The corporations are on their side. The medical system is on their side. The "science" is on their side. So why is it that they still complain about gay rights and trans rights? You'd be hard pressed to name one right that straight people have that these people do not.

What is it, exactly, that we have that LGBT people do not? The United States government forces us to employ and do business with them, forces us to teach their doctrines in our institutions, recognizes pride days on 151 calendar days out of the year. The media forces these people into your television shows, your news articles, your video games, your homes. LGBT propaganda is being rammed down our throats, and I'm sick of the taste. What do we have that these poor oppressed people do not? What rights are they always complaining about not having? It's all semantics. In the absence of real oppression, they have imagined oppression so that they still have something for which to fight.

The fact is, we are not equal in the eyes of the law. The law affords them all the same rights we have, and then some. There are no anti-discrimination laws that protect straight White men, the explorers who built this country, in the same way that they protect minorities. LGBT people hate individual rights. You might think that that's strange since they're always going on about trans rights, but they detest individual rights. They detest individual rights because they don't want any individuals opposing them. They already have the right to dress how they want, the right to call themselves whatever names they want, the right to pay for whatever surgeries they want, but it's just not enough. They want you to be forced to call them by their chosen names, they want you to be forced to believe in their gender ideology, and they want you to be forced to pay for their surgeries (which you are already paying for via Medicare and Medicaid). There are no brakes on this train.

Trans people want you to accept them, but they cannot even accept themselves. They are massively insecure about their decision to become a court jester, and they cannot tolerate any criticism of that decision. Positive reinforcement is the only thing that these trannies allow in their lives. One bigoted remark and they cut their families loose. They do this because they cannot have the illusion challenged. The illusion is paper-thin, shattering like glass upon a single touch, and so they will remove anyone from their lives who poses a threat to it. They do this without a second thought because their online support groups actively encourage this very behavior.

In fact, that's the first thing these deranged miscreants will recommend when someone's family is unaccepting of castration: Cut them off. They are willing to cut off their own family, their own flesh and blood. They are selfish in this regard, robbing their parents of the opportunity to have their bloodlines continue. They are, in a sense, murdering themselves. These people deny their parents the opportunity to ever have grandchildren, they bring about the end of their family names, and then they expect their families to just get over it as if it was no big deal in the first place.

It is perfectly reasonable for their families to oppose this decision just from this perspective, but it goes beyond that. Trans people are committing a form of social suicide, a precursor to their physical suicide. They want their parents to act as though they've always had a son instead of the daughter they've raised for eighteen years. They want their

parents to pretend that their eighteen-year-old daughter just dropped dead and then some estranged son comes into the picture to take her place. Not only that, but these people often expect their families to pay for these transitions as well. How much can you possibly ask of your mother and father? This reckless destruction of families has been downplayed time and time again, and there's a good quote about this from an anonymous imageboard:

You have no idea the damage that you inflict on others or your society as a whole. The very bedrock of nations is the family and the building block of the family is the man and woman. You are fighting nature, you are fighting yourself, you are fighting everything good. You cannot imagine the pain you cause others because you block it out. You immerse yourself in corners of the internet and society that are too ignorant or cowardly to tell you the truth. The truth is that a man must be a lot of things, a man must live up to his calling as a male. This is objective, it exists whether or not you choose to believe in it. To be a masculine man is to be a good man. The Greeks would say that a good shovel is that shovel which digs well. To be a good man you must be a masculine man. You have rejected all that is normal and good. There is no greater isolation than to reject one's own self. You are male, one day you will realize this inescapable fact and it will ruin you. You cannot live the lie forever.¹⁰⁸

As previously noted, it's extremely obvious that trannies do not actually see themselves as the opposite sex. Ever notice how transgender people are always prattling on about representation? They demand representation in workplaces, schools, media, and so on, but this alone proves that they don't even think of themselves as truly being the opposite sex. If they actually saw themselves as being the opposite sex, then they would identify with that sex instead of identifying as transgender. For instance, a male-to-female transgender person will complain about there not being enough transgender representation in a movie even if that movie contains both men and women in it. This is because he does not actually identify as a man or a woman, so he cannot identify with the men and women on screen. It's surprising they even identify as human beings.

It would be one thing if these trans people just stuck to their own and left us out of it, but that's just not the case. These perverts are always trying to get with regular people. Like everybody else, they don't desire other trans people. They want normal, soft, fertile people. I argue that this is self-evident because they never shut up about being excluded from straight dating.

Look around and you will see that trannies are often trying to date people who are not trans, and in a lot of cases I've noticed that they specifically try to date heterosexual men and women. In 2018, a study found that "Across a sample of heterosexual, lesbian, gay, bisexual, queer, and trans individuals, 87.5% indicated that they would not consider

dating a trans person".¹⁰⁹ They probably don't want to date a horse, either. The study involved mostly straight people. So, what did the straights have to say about this? Only about 3% of the straight men and women surveyed said that they would date transgender people. Shocker, heterosexual men and women aren't gay. The reason that this bothers me so much is that it's just another case of trannies coming somewhere where they aren't wanted and demanding entry. It's extremely egocentric to think that you're entitled to a relationship with someone else. They don't just move on when a straight person rejects them. Instead, they feel as if straight people somehow owe them the privilege of dating them. Why is it the responsibility or obligation of a straight person to change their sexual preferences to fit in line with someone else's sexual preferences? Couldn't that same line of thought be used to say that gays and lesbians should change their sexual preferences to fit in line with a straight person's preferences?

These people constantly use the word "incel," a word invented by affirmative action intelligence agents to demonize young and alienated American men. *De facto*, trannies are the biggest incels out there. Following the publication of the 2018 study we just covered, it was reported that the authors of that study stated that government intervention was necessary to include trans people in straight dating.¹¹⁰ Are trannies really so deprived that they have to beg the fucking government to provide them with a cure to their sexless lives? These are the people that will call you an incel for being transphobic. It's as though our civilization is being run by a hostile artificial intelligence. Maybe I wouldn't care so much about the existence of these people if they just kept to themselves, but they just can't do that. This becomes my problem because they make it my problem. They are the ones who won't leave people like me alone. It shouldn't be my responsibility to change my sexual preferences just because some mentally ill faggot cuts off his dick.

Trans people just don't seem to understand that attraction is a biological thing. Height, figure, pheromones, personality, attitude: You are either attracted to someone biologically or you are not. They think that attraction can be taught, that people could look at them as attractive if only we conditioned them to, but that's not how we're wired. I remember being a kid watching *Scooby-Doo, Where Are You!*, prior to having "The Talk," and staring at Daphne Blake's fat milkers. Nobody had to tell me that I should be attracted to women. I just was. My natural urges were just that: Natural.

Trannies have rejected everything natural, and so it is no wonder to me how they fail to understand this as well. They simply cannot understand that the vast majority of all human beings are naturally straight, they cannot understand that people are not attracted to men that pretend to be women or women that pretend to be men, and they also cannot understand the fact that they will never actually be able to convince the average person to view them without extreme disgust. The extreme disgust that people feel when looking at trannies is perfectly merited because these people are walking freakshows. They hit on teenagers, wear outlandish clothes, engage in theatrics as inorganic as their genitals, and then they act surprised when you look at them in revulsion. You sought attention. You got it. No refunds.

These people are so insecure about the fact that they're trans that they have developed the term "stealth sex" for when they try to fool a man into thinking that they're really a woman. Trannies have a hard time seducing straight men because straight men don't want to have sexual relations with men, so instead they just lie. They lie and say they're a real woman, not a trans "woman." Someone on Reddit asked for advice on stealth sex and user Jungle_Julia01, who has since had their comment deleted by unpaid moderators who work for free, had this to say:

Oh my god here we goes.

1 be sure to lubricate first, use a fragrance free one and be sure to dilate 1 h prior since ull be very tight at 4 month. 2 Do not, and I repeat, do not let him go down or he will clock u. I had plenty of stealth sex and I can assure u men want to go down. Also no fingering. Say u had an irritation or something. 3 depth. I don't know your depth but usually men can right away sense something is off, like a wall at the end. If this is your case, tell u have a diaphragm. 4 position. Since u didn't had sex u probably don't know your best position yet. From behind is usually the best but if u comfortable at him looking close at u go missionary. 5 most important. Take command. Since it's your first time u probably don't know but men are really pushy. He will want to touch u there, he will want to finger u and rest sure he will bang the out of u, so take command, control the whole thing and NEVER let him go crazy. Keep a pepper spay in your bag every time. 6 if things go down hill, never disclose who u are. NEVER. if he notice something is wrong say u had surgery to fix some kind of problem down there.

Now, I know it's a lot. I know most of the other girls will tell u to hesitate, but if thats want U want to do go for it. Check your nerve first, take a xan and have a good time girl.¹¹¹

And these people wonder why they are murdered. This is what actually goes through their minds. They are too insecure to say, "I'm clinically insane," because they are afraid of the rejection that would surely follow. They also do not want to sleep with other trans people. So, their solution is to go through this great lie of being a real woman.

As a side note, there is basically no way for a transgender "man" (a woman with an artificial penis) to practice stealth sex because their dicks don't actually work. Imagine playing life on recruit difficulty, deciding you don't like it, and switching to Dante Must

Die. I could go on about how much easier women have it in life than men, but I have already written an entire book on that subject: *The Modern Female Condition*.

In short, these women are really screwing themselves over. Trans "men" are laughing stocks among men and women alike, and I have noticed that trans "men" typically want to date women. They would have had much better luck just being lesbians, if that were the case.

Height plays a big factor for men in dating. Women are typically much shorter than men, so a lot of these trans "men" are about five-foot-nothing. Having a functional penis is also a big factor for men in dating, as I'm sure you already know. Good luck getting women if you're five feet tall and your dick doesn't work.

There's a whole bunch of nonsense that falls under the transgender umbrella that I haven't covered, but there's just not much to say about a lot of it. For example, you don't have to be Sherlock-fucking-Holmes to see the similarities between genderfluidity and bipolarism. The whole non-binary thing? It creates a binary system wherein you are either binary or not, thus defeating its own purpose. The list of terms goes on, but who really cares?

This whole notion of transgenderism is so superficial and fake. A trans "woman" has no concept of what it really means to be a woman. He has no understanding of how women conduct themselves in their daily lives. I have noticed that trans "women" obsess about their "womanly appearance" and "womanly mannerisms" in laughably inaccurate manners. I've seen trans "women" make posts on social media about how they don't understand how regular women can go five minutes without groping their own breasts, and this is because they have no notion of how a real woman actually thinks. Likewise, I've seen hilarious attempts from trans "men" to come across as real men when they simply have no idea how a real man conducts himself. I once saw a trans "man" post an image of herself "grilling with the boys," except that there was no meat on the grill, no empty cans of shitty beer, and no actual fucking boys in the photo. All of it is just superficial. Their imitations of the opposite sex are pitifully bad, like a comedian who showed up to work sober. Maybe these trans people are more comparable to actors and actresses because everything they do is just for show. Unfortunately for them, nobody wants to buy a ticket.

Transgenderism is the new punk rock phase where disaffected youth seek attention by changing their appearance. Except, unlike putting on black eyeliner or listening to *My Chemical Romance*, once you grow out of it, your life is permanently ruined. These people screwed themselves, but it's not like anybody else will. A lot of them have underlying mental problems that are never addressed. Their mental problems are attributed to

gender dysphoria, they are told that transitioning will fix their problems, and their names are blotted out of the Book of Life.

They've been fooled and that foolishness haunts them daily, but they cannot admit it. They cannot admit the mistake. To admit the mistake is to admit that they were wrong, that the medical institutions were wrong, and that the "science" was wrong. After transitioning, whether medically or socially, it would take an enormous amount of courage and humility to be able to say, "I was wrong." Who would? "I will never have greater respect than for the man that realizes he was wrong and graciously admits it without a single excuse."

- Dan Pearce

PART FIVE: THE VICTIMS

If you've read this far, you might think that I am full of nothing but hatred. I wouldn't blame you. After all, I have plenty of it to spare. The thing is, life is about contrasts. According to the Hermetic principle of polarity, opposites are the same thing in different amounts. What is day without night? What is dry without wet? What is love without hate? I hate the things I hate, the people I hate, because they are a threat to the things I love. If you don't hate your enemies then your love isn't worth a damn to your friends. I hate alcoholics, but I bear no ill will to any man who has overcome his addiction. I hate journalists that spew lies, but I hold no animosity for the few that speak the truth. I hate many LGBT people, perhaps even the vast majority of them, but I genuinely believe that a lot of it stems from mental illness. Some of these people are just poor souls who have been sold a terrible lie by our twisted society. They have been sold the lie that mental illness can be cured by embracing the delusion. I have no hate in my heart for anyone who has accepted this disease for what it is and has made changes in their life to cure it.

Trans people are simply too entrenched in the hole. They have dedicated their entire lives to being trans, made their personalities entirely center around being trans, and they have too arrogantly heralded "science" as the only truth, the rapacious god we must appease through self-castration. They are so deep into the lie of changing their sex that they cannot possibly admit that they are wrong. To admit fault now would be to admit that their entire lives were a mistake. And maybe they were.

All they can do is close their eyes, cover their ears, and repeatedly scream their lies to drown out the painful reality: They have fallen victim to a gigantic scam, and the damage is permanent and there is no hope of ever fully recovering. Nevertheless, there are people who have flown too close to the sun and lived to tell the tale.

There are people who started the walk down the path of transgenderism and turned around. These people are known as "detransitioners" or "desisters." A detransitioner is someone who has tried to medically transition to the opposite sex, but stopped. A desister is someone who identified as the opposite sex, without ever medically transitioning, but stopped. The detrans crowd are some of the biggest victims in all of this. They are hated by many transgender people, they are sometimes shunned by straight people, and they are often outcasts from any group. They are the people that the trans community, the media, and Big Pharma want you to forget about. I sought out some of these detransitioners while I was writing this book to let them tell their side of the story. The names of the following individuals have been changed to protect their privacy.

One woman I interviewed, Beth, had detransitioned after being on testosterone for a year and a half. She was born in 1995, and she had been a tomboy for most of her life. She

said that she had grown up with a lot of male energy because she was raised alongside two brothers by her tomboy mother. "In my teen logic brain, I knew I wasn't like other girls. I found myself to be more 'practical' and 'focused.' I'd look at other girls and think they were weak because they cared more about, say, beauty, boys, and being seen as attractive. Taking those actions to be beautiful, I thought that was ridiculous," she recalled. Beth told me that she had viewed masculine traits as superior to feminine traits, and that part of the reason she had initially transitioned was to distance herself from girls her age whom she regarded as inferior to men. She said, "I had been bullied by a childhood 'friend' of mine and I grew to not trust girls after that. So, everything she was, I projected onto every other girl and assumed ill intent in all of them. I didn't want to be associated with those connotations, so being a boy was more appealing." She had wanted to be closer to boys her age, and, when trying to act like a boy didn't get her closer, she found another solution. She began identifying as a male: "After I identified as male, I started making more and more guy friends and that made me really happy."

She had been detransitioned for seven years when I talked to her. I asked if the transition was something that had been pre-planned, a long time in the making. Beth said it wasn't planned out much beforehand, and that some circles might consider her dysphoria to be "rapid-onset gender dysphoria." She said that she started to consider transitioning at age fifteen, which was when she discovered that there was such a thing as being transgender. I remarked that the rise of the transgender population seemed to coincide with the declining population of tomboys, to which she replied, "Tomboys are going extinct. A lot of girls have never even heard of tomboys as a concept. They only know non-binary, demiboys, or other denominations of trans people."

Beth had seen a therapist back during the transition, but she expressed that she wasn't really happy with the service she had received. She said that therapists weren't allowed to question any patient who brought up gender, and, as such, Beth's therapist would only affirm her gender dysphoria. She even told me that when her mother had tried to intervene, her therapist adamantly refused to entertain any of her mother's requests to question Beth's issues stemming from her parent's divorce or her poor relationship with her father. Instead, Beth's therapist insisted that all of her issues stemmed entirely from gender dysphoria. To her recollection, her insurance had covered the cost of her hormone therapy entirely after her doctor lied about her having a hormonal imbalance. She started hormone therapy at age seventeen and stayed on it for about eighteen months.

I asked what made her decide to detransition. She answered that it was no singular thing. She said that the idea of the testosterone injections had become unnatural to her: "I've always been someone who's cared for being 'all natural' and not using pills or meds. Never mind the irony of taking testosterone from a little jar, I thought 'real men don't take testosterone." She then seemed concerned that her statement might devalue biological

men who take hormones and clarified that she meant "real men who don't have any medical condition that warrants the need for exogenous hormones." While she was still taking the hormones, Beth had been working a job that called her in only when clients specifically requested her or when her boss needed extra staff. It was because of that that Beth would frequently not have to go into work for several days at a time, and sometimes she would go an entire week without being called in. This downtime brought about some introspection: "While I had all this free time, I started to notice that I wouldn't put as much effort into passing as male. Like, I wouldn't wear my binder at home, why would I if no one's seeing me? It eventually clicked that I was presenting as male for other people, not for myself."

She still wanted to be with men, sexually, so she identified as a "gay man," but she learned that it was something of a hard sell. Although she viewed herself as a gay man, other people may have described her as a "gay trans man," and this is a pretty big distinction for gay men who are looking for a partner. A "gay trans man" is a doubly hard sell to a straight man who is looking for a woman. Beth said that all of her crushes were on men, but that every man she approached rejected her. After so many rejections, it came to a boiling point. "At my job, I developed a crush on my male coworker. He rejected me, and that was the straw that broke the camel's back. Identifying as male was making my dating life suffer. I couldn't do this anymore," she recounted. I asked her what the longterm effects of that year and a half's worth of transitioning were. She told me that she was lucky to stop testosterone when she did. Despite being off of it for seven years, she said she had a visible larynx, "bottom growth" (the enlargement of the clitoris), a deep voice (even though she'd done voice training to offset this), loss of forehead hairs, facial hair growth, and she speculated that the testosterone may have even contributed to her development of osteoarthritis in her left hip. She said she's unsure if her fertility was affected.

I asked if she wished that the medical system would've tried to dissuade her from transitioning in the first place. She laughed and said:

At the time, as a teenager, no. I loved getting such easy access to hormone therapy. If I had been born a little later when universities and schools were paying for sex reassignment surgeries, I would have taken them in a heartbeat. Retrospectively, of course, I wish that my therapist could have actually done her job and helped heal my childhood wounds instead of just listening to me and wasting my time. Doctors aren't here to dissuade or anything, so I really don't blame my doctor for just going with the system and following the money. Ultimately, I wish affirmative care didn't exist.

Despite some lingering issues, Beth is still able to live a normal life. Although she had regretted transitioning, she didn't seem depressed about the situation. Instead, she spends her time helping other detransitioners by sharing her story where she can.

While I was looking for members of the detrans community to speak out, word spread of what I was doing. A seventeen-year-old girl, Cathy, sought me out. She seemed eager to tell her story under my offer of anonymity. She outright stated that she was afraid of publicly speaking out against transitioning because of how "wild" the LGBT community had become. She told me of her rocky relationship with her father, something she suspected was due to her various mental conditions, which seemed to fit a pattern I had noticed among the detrans community.

Born in 2004, Cathy had been off testosterone for just three months when we spoke. She explained that she had started hormone therapy at the young age of thirteen. "What made you initially want to transition?" I asked. "Well, as I progressed through puberty, I became increasingly self-conscious of myself as a girl. I was a tomboy, and I grew up with this weird shameful feeling around being 'girly' despite being quite feminine deep down. I think I had undiagnosed body dysmorphic disorder, which I was only recently diagnosed with this year," she responded. She went on to say that she had had many insecurities with her body, and that she had found the answer to her problems online: "Official medical sources, biased studies, and other trans people's experiences and anecdotes made me believe this was all gender dysphoria and that I'd be happiest as a boy."

I asked if she had seen a therapist during that time, but she had actually seen multiple therapists. She said that some of them were "gender therapists" and some of them were general therapists who had some training for dealing with trans patients. Cathy said that each and every therapist was quick to attribute all of her underlying issues to gender dysphoria. She specifically said that after the transition, when they had to find something other than gender dysphoria to explain her mental status, they then used much more rigorous and specific screening methods to diagnose her with ADHD and clinical depression. "Well, what was the metric they used to diagnose you with gender dysphoria?" I asked. She said that they simply went off of her preconceived notion of gender dysphoria: "I don't remember in-depth screening or anything like that. I was just affirmed, affirmed." Beyond that, she said that she had a whole team of medical personnel on the case. "Therapists, an endocrinologist who wrote my prescription for blockers and testosterone, a gender specialist who I actually didn't see too often until after I detransitioned," she listed off. At this point, I had to stop and ask, "How much did all of this cost?" She said that she had no idea, but that it had all been covered under her parent's insurance policy.

Unfortunately, Cathy did not make it out unscathed. At age fifteen, she was allowed to get a double mastectomy and had both of her breasts removed. I was sure it was a sore spot, but I pressed the issue and asked, "Have you had any lasting medical problems from being on testosterone?" Unfazed, she responded:

I think I'm a bit of a lucky case, actually. I had a period about two or three months after going off of hormone therapy, which was a relief because I was very concerned about my cycle. I started hormonal treatments and puberty blockers at a time when I was so young that my menstrual cycle had not even regulated itself yet. I'm still a bit worried about my reproductive system, and I'm currently scheduling a visit with a gynecologist to hopefully get a bigger picture of what is happening with my body.

If this is a lucky case, I'd hate to see an unfortunate one. Cathy described how the use of testosterone had negative impacts on her body: "I don't have curves like other girls my age do. Testosterone took away my chances to develop, and I can only hope that my body has some more room to grow and become more feminine." Worse still, she had developed vaginal atrophy. That's a condition in which the vaginal walls become thin, dry, and inflamed, typically associated with women who have gone through menopause. Cathy, a teenager, had to be prescribed topical estrogen to combat the condition.

I then asked, "After being three years into the process, what made you want to detransition?" "It was a slow burn," she said. "What lit the fuse?" I asked. "Last summer, after my top surgery, I inexplicably started wanting to present more femininely, buy dresses, wear makeup, grow my hair out, and I was yet again insecure about my body. I yearned to have a curvier shape. These feelings started getting stronger and eventually," she trailed off. After a few seconds, she went on to the heart of the issue:

I started to realize I wasn't actually a boy. Initially, I just rationalized the feelings by telling myself I was non-binary, but over time the painful feelings about my body, my deep voice, and the chiseled features of my face started to really weigh down on me. The feelings reached their climax one night when I just broke down crying to my mom and boyfriend over text that I regretted everything, that I wanted my breasts and my old body back.

The remorse was palpable. "Do you wish that the medical system had made a greater effort to dissuade you from transitioning in the first place?" I asked. Her answer held nothing back:

Absolutely. I was never really told that I had a choice not to transition or told that I'd be healthier without unnecessary medical interventions. What bothers me the most is the mastectomy. I felt pressured. I thought that my breasts were permanently damaged from binding and going on testosterone, and that it would be better to just get rid of them. I was fifteen for God's sake! Why in the world was I, a child, allowed to make this decision?

I responded by asking, "In your opinion, how old should someone have to be to make these kinds of life-altering decisions?" Her answer was straightforward:

Ideally, I think people shouldn't be doing this to themselves at all, but, at the very least, minors under the age of eighteen should not be able to undergo these treatments. Even eighteen isn't some magical age where a person is developed and mentally sound enough to make these decisions, though.

I asked what she would say to another teenager that was going to transition, but she didn't have a universal answer. She said it depended on the person, that she would like to warn them, but that there are some people she feared would cut her off entirely for doing so. She said that others might even go so far as to start some sort of modern witch hunt if she spoke out against transitioning.

Cathy is still trying to get her life back together. She hopes to get breast reconstruction surgery and facial refeminization surgery to undo some of the damage she's incurred from transitioning. I wish her the best of luck in those endeavors.

Another individual, a male-to-female detransitioner (biological male), contacted me to share his story as well. His name was Dan. Born in 1993, he had transitioned when he was nineteen years old. He spent six months presenting as female before starting hormone therapy, which he stayed on for another year and a half. He did not receive any transgender surgeries during that time. His story shared many of the same commonalities on which you have no doubt already picked up. His parents divorced before his transition, he had a complicated relationship with his father, his therapist affirmed his gender dysphoria, his insurance footed the bill for the hormone therapy, and he regretted his transition.

There are several interesting facts about Dan's case, however. Something I thought was noteworthy is that he claimed to have almost zero lasting medical complications from hormone therapy: "Thankfully, I can notice almost no permanent bodily changes, except maybe that my nipples are a bit feminized." Some sources claim that the use of estrogen in hormone therapy can cause an increased risk of certain medical conditions, such as: Heart disease, strokes, breast cancer, and blood clots. I should note that it seems to me, based on the research I've done, that male-to-female detrans people (biological males) generally have less lasting medical problems from hormone therapy than female-to-male detrans people (biological females) do. Nonetheless, it was a different story when Dan was still using female hormones: "I experienced changes from estrogen, emotionally and physically. My libido went way down, and I had some fat redistribution and small breast development." On top of that, Dan said the transition did nothing to help his gender dysphoria in the long run. He said that initially his dysphoria was slightly alleviated by the transition, but that before long the whole ordeal had actually worsened his mental state: "It quickly did prove to only make me feel more alienated from society and from myself."

"Prior to transitioning, were you attracted to men or women?" I asked. Dan told me that he had identified as bisexual or pansexual, but that he was "really overwhelmingly attracted to women." He said that his attraction to men was more "optional." "Did that change after you started transitioning?" I asked. "Yes, I wanted to date men when I was transitioning," he said. I was curious about this and asked where those feelings came from. He said, "While I still found women more physically attractive, I'd always felt uncomfortable with the idea of being with a woman. I always felt I was disgusting compared to them and would feel like I was violating a woman if I got too close to her. So, the idea of being the woman in a relationship with a man was more romantically appealing." After hearing that, I asked, "So, would it be fair to say that this change in your sexuality at that time was due, in large part, to your insecurities in dealing with and being around women?" His reply was short, "Yes." I continued, "Do you believe that the same insecurities played a part in the want to transition itself?" His response confirmed my theory:

Yes, I saw women as good and men as bad. Maybe that's too simple, but I think, at the bottom of it, that's how I saw things; Men are the problems with the world, they're the perverts, they're the ones that make good people feel uncomfortable and at risk. I equated being lovable with being a woman. As long as I was a man, I had to feel like I was a burden and made everyone uncomfortable. However, if I was a woman, I could be around people without being part of the male problem.

I mentioned the sunk cost fallacy, in which someone cannot admit a mistake because the thought of the perceived loss would be too great, and asked what made him decide to detransition after being twenty-two months into the mistake. He explained his reasoning and spoke of the differences between men and women:

It is a hard thing to turn back on and admit that kind of mistake. I decided to detransition because of my negative experience with the whole thing. Transitioning only increased my dysphoria and made all the things that bother me about being male that much more vivid. The transition also highlighted the insurmountable difference between being male and being female. I believe that men and women have more similarities than differences, on account of our shared humanity, but they are still different. A person's sex is a very significant thing, and it isn't "just having different genitals," as some people try to trivialize it. It's not just me who isn't trans. No. No one is trans, no one at all. Everyone treats people according to their sex, and the people who say they don't just aren't aware they're doing it. People can be deceived about someone's sex and treat them according to their perceived sex, but that is really a deception. It's immoral. There are moral reasons,

reasons that all decent people are aware of, as to why there must be recognizable distinctions between men and women.

He went on to explain that another big factor in this decision was his newfound faith in Catholicism. He explained that he had been raised in a Catholic home but had never really bought into the religion. Although, after exploring spirituality and tripping on some psychedelics, he ultimately started to believe in God. He said that the Virgin Mary helped him overcome his fear of the masculine imagery of God, and that that made it easier for him to accept Catholicism as his one true religion. He said that some people disagreed with his newfound religious stances against abortion and same-sex marriage, and that some of his friends cut off contact entirely with him after that. Not only that, but this also caused some issues with his relationships with his relatives as well. Here's an important takeaway from this: Those friends and family were only supportive of his life decisions while they were politically correct. Aside from that, he said that the response to his detransition and religion was mostly positive. Ironically, he stated that a lot of the support he got was from the very same people who had been supporters of his transition in the first place, which makes a man wonder if they hold any true convictions at all. Something important to clarify here is that Dan did not ever publicly state his anti-trans views. He only publicly stated that transitioning was not right for him, as he was certain that decrying transgenderism itself would result in a great amount of public backlash.

Despite the mistake to transition, the friends and family members who cut off communication with him, and the seemingly impossibly long road to recovery, Dan told me that he had made great strides in the past two years toward accepting his male body. Maybe he just woke up on the right side of the bed one day, maybe he tripped on just the right amount of psychedelics one morning, or perhaps God himself showed him the way. In any case, Dan said that he's moved on with his life and is looking forward to marrying a woman and starting a family. It's incredibly rare to see someone make this kind of miraculous recovery, and so I say this to Dan: Great work.

I have looked into other people's stories from the detrans community and found that many of them share more than a few similarities. In most cases, the father figure was weak or altogether absent, the medical system did nothing to dissuade the transition and did everything to encourage it, underlying psychological issues were outright ignored or otherwise falsely attributed to gender dysphoria by the medical system, tomboys were suckered into being trans "men," effeminate men were suckered into being trans "women," the medical costs were astronomical and generally paid for by insurance companies, the idea of being trans in the first place was planted by an outside force, permanent bodily damage was incurred during the transition, the individual comes to deeply regret what they have done, and many cases involve child abuse (sexual or otherwise). These are the commonalities I have observed just from the individuals that are willing to actually admit these things, and I have no doubt that there are many more people with similar stories who remain silent. Something to note is that this is an issue that affects both sexes. Many people get an image of a male-to-female transgender person in their mind when they think of transgenderism, but a recent study found that the number of male-to-female transgender people is roughly equivalent to the number of female-to-male transgender people.¹¹² There are other studies with similar results, and I find that these results seem to align pretty much with what I've observed in my life and my research: There's a lot of trans people from both sexes. In any case, male or female, these people are being wronged by society.

How are they being wronged? They are being kept quiet about their experiences. Many transgender people are unable to admit that they have made a bad investment and stop what they're doing because that would make the investment a waste. Due to this, they cannot and will not allow public discussion of the idea of detransitioning, much less the idea that transitioning in the first place is wrong. They must drag others down into the dilation station with them. You will not see the plights of detrans people widely publicized.

Instead, detransitioners are regulated to containment boards and containment groups on many websites specifically to keep their views isolated from the public, which supposedly denies them the right to claim that they are being censored. However, they are immediately banned from LGBT spaces if they in any way, shape, or form publish the idea that people do not have to transition. The LGB community has been forced into association and alliance with the T community, and this intersectionality means that the whole of the LGBT crowd does not want you to hear from any of these detransitioners or desisters for fear that you (or people like you) might start to think that transitioning is a bad idea.

Where are these "morally righteous" LGBT advocates when these people are suffering? Nowhere to be found. Look how people suffer from these reckless medical procedures, this mad science. The people that fall for the propaganda and transition are robbed. They are robbed not just of their money, but also of their public voices, their health, and, sometimes, their very lives. Where are their former LGBT and leftist compatriots? They have abandoned the detransitioners. The very same people who encouraged them to mutilate their body in the first place are entirely absent when it comes time for someone to answer for these crimes. Not only do LGBT people make themselves scarce around detransitioners, but they actively try to silence them.

You might say that the LGBT community itself could not possibly have the power to suppress this information on their own, and you would be right. So, if they are not the only ones using their power to suppress this information, who else is? Have you ever seen a detransitioner on TV? Ever seen one on the news? Do you see many detransitioners on the front page of YouTube, Facebook, or Twitter? Of course not, that'd be a bad look for the entire practice, and so the only possible explanation is that the corporations that own these enterprises are the ones responsible. The establishment, the medical corporations and those who fund them, would lose money if they let the detrans crowd tell their stories.

The medical corporations selling hormones and surgeries do not want you to hear from the people who have figured out the transgender scam. The medical institutions have a vested monetary interest in creating as many trans people as possible. A detransitioner's money has already been collected, they are no longer advertising these surgeries for free, and so they are kicked to the curb. It is disgusting and it has to stop. It's not just the trannies, the gays, and the corporations that want you to ignore this issue; Society as a whole wants you to forget about them.

We cannot rightfully ignore the tragedies that have befallen them at the hands of our failed medical system, our sick political system, and our perverted society. I can only provide you with this steppingstone. If you want to investigate further, then you must go seek out these detrans communities and see what they have to say for yourself. Not only that, but you must investigate these corporations that push transgenderism upon us and look toward the top of the pyramid. From where is the funding coming? This cannot be the work of unrelated individuals.

No, there is a group of people pouring money into astroturfing transgenderism. How else could almost every major corporation promote transgenderism, censor dissenting opinions, and just somehow happen to profit from this? It would be impossible for it to be a mere coincidence. Who are they? What pirates are pushing this on us? Surely it's a group of rich people, but what binds them together? You must look for yourself, and, when you find the answer, you cannot ignore the truth.

These detransitioners and desisters are suffering, but from this point on, now that you know about their plights, you must ensure you never contribute to their suffering. Perhaps these people were once our enemies, maybe they brought this suffering upon themselves, but none of that matters. What does matter is that detransitioners and desisters have accepted and admitted their mistakes. They have moved on, and we've moved on as well. Their past mistakes are forgiven. These people deserve a chance to go back to living normal lives.

Unfortunately, some of these people never even had a chance. David Reimer never had a chance. He was doomed from the start. Reimer and his twin brother, Brian, were born in 1965. Reimer's birth name was Bruce, but he didn't get to keep that name. Reimer was just a baby when his parents decided to get him circumcised (a practice that I consider widely unnecessary and inhumane). Dr. Jean-Marie Huot performed the circumcision using electrocauterization, which is an unconventional method for such operations. Huot botched the surgery. Reimer's penis was burned beyond surgical repair. Understandably, Reimer's parents were concerned for his future. A man faces so many struggles in life as it is, but a man without a functioning penis? He never even has a chance. His innate need to procreate is damned, his relationships with women are damned, his life is damned.

In 1967, Reimer's parents took him to the Johns Hopkins hospital in Baltimore. There, they met with aptly named psychologist John Money. Money was known for his expertise in gender identity and sexual development. Money had an idea: They could surgically create a functional vagina to replace Reimer's burned penis. Not only that, but his idea was to then raise Reimer as a girl and change his name to Brenda without ever telling him the truth about what had happened. John Money and the war criminals that worked at the Johns Hopkins hospital were able to convince Reimer's parents to let them perform the sex reassignment surgery on baby Reimer. He was only twenty-two months old when they surgically removed his testicles and tried to create a "vagina" for him. After this, John Money oversaw Reimer's case and met him annually. Much later, Reimer was able to publicize his story. What he said was damning. Reimer said that John Money forced him and his brother Brian take off their clothes as children for "genital inspections."¹¹³ Reimer said that Money photographed these acts as well.

By the time Reimer was a teenager, he had suicidal depression. He told his parents that he would commit suicide if they made him see John Money again. Imagine what life must've been like for him. In 1980, Reimer's parents told him about the sex reassignment surgery that had taken place. Reimer then started calling himself David, and he did what he could to be the man he was born to be. He stopped seeing John Money, he had testosterone injected into his body, he had his "breasts" cut off with a double mastectomy, and he underwent surgery for a phalloplasty (the construction or reconstruction of a penis). Eventually, he married a woman and adopted her three children. It looked like he might have actually made it, like he had somehow come out on top after this nightmare, but it didn't last. His struggle wasn't over. The relationship with his parents was strained by their complicity in the atrocities that befell his childhood. Reimer's twin brother, Brian, developed schizophrenia and died in 2002 from an overdose of antidepressants. Reimer struggled with unemployment. Finally, things came to a boiling point when Reimer's wife said she was going to leave him. Two days after that, on the 4th of May, 2004, Reimer had enough. He blew his brains out with a sawed-off shotgun in a grocery store parking lot.

Remember what caused this whole story? A botched circumcision. It was a doctor that burned baby Reimer's penis beyond surgical repair, a so-called "medical expert." Then, John Money, another so-called "medical expert," came up with this insane plan to turn this baby boy into a "girl." We can't really say that David Reimer killed himself. No, he was killed long before by "medical experts." These "experts" had no moral quandary with ruining this child's life. Where were they when this man pulled the trigger? Cashing checks.

Who suffers the most as a result of all this nonsense? The everyman. The everyman just wants to go about his business and live in peace, but he simply cannot do so. He is hounded by LGBT fanatics at every turn. He is not safe from their reach at his school, his job, or whenever he ventures outside his home. Even at his home he can be bombarded with their propaganda through the internet, TV, and sometimes just by looking out the window. The faggotry that infects this country knows no bounds, and it cannot even content itself to stay within this country. Did you know that the U.S. Embassy in Kabul flew the gay pride flag in 2021?¹¹⁴

We are forcing the acceptance of homosexuality upon people who don't want anything to do with it, sometimes at gunpoint. The everyman cannot even think aloud that there is a problem with this faggotry for (merited) fear of repercussion. The everyman is not allowed true freedom of speech because he faces consequences for saying the wrong things and even thinking the wrong thoughts. The everyman is programmed at school to not only tolerate this degeneracy, but also to embrace it. After school, he is funneled into the workforce where human resources departments check to make sure his programming is not broken. He is canceled from society if he even dares to question this programming. How long is this sustainable? How long until the everyman can take no more? How long until you've had enough? You cannot think about the fact that LGBT people pass around sexually transmitted diseases all the time. You cannot think about the fact that there are LGBT people openly trying to normalize pedophilia or otherwise act as pedophile apologists. You cannot think about how every major corporation supports the interests of LGBT people. You cannot think about how there's an abnormally huge representation of LGBT people in media. You cannot think about how cutting your dick off might be fundamentally insane. You cannot think.

"Those who make peaceful revolution impossible will make violent revolution inevitable."

- John F. Kennedy

CONCLUSION

I don't want to waste your time by sitting here and repeating myself ad nauseam. Here's the bottom line: LGBT dissidents are brainwashing children, raping children, and they're getting away with it. I feel like I've gone insane, or rather that the world has gone insane around me. The widespread acceptance of this degeneracy blows my mind. These people, these fags and trannies, are a disease. No, they are a cancer. They are an inorganic cancer that spreads throughout the body that is our society, and that cancer must be cut out. They account for an impossibly large number of sexually transmitted diseases, they poison the minds of our youth, they demand censorship of their political opponents, they openly proclaim their hatred for all that is good and natural in the straightness of nature itself, and what is the response expected from us? Are we simply expected to repeatedly turn the cheek until the end of time? Are we just supposed to sit around and let this happen? I say no. I say not anymore. I say that this tolerance of evil must come to an end.

Our society caters to people that hate us. You are surrounded on all fronts by people who wish for the complete annihilation of straight men and women, and this is doubly true if those straight men and women are fair-skinned. You are bombarded by media reports, government-sanctioned school programs, jobless protestors, gay pride parades, anti-discrimination laws, and public officials that want you to just "accept" LGBT people. Your acceptance is not merely a suggestion, it's a requirement. The worst of it is that we have legitimately tried to be accommodating. We have tried to coexist with these people, tried to "accept" these people, and tried to pretend like we don't notice the blatant assault that they repay our altruism with. We have tried to no avail.

Unfortunately, there is no political solution. There is no appeasing the LGBT crowd. This has been made perfectly clear time and time again. Our society has bent over backwards on every issue, but that has only opened the door to more demands. The demands will never cease, they will only continue to increase in volume. What is an unthinkable request today will become a fierce demand in a few short years. They will not stop even when they have the age of consent lowered to twelve. They want nothing to do with consent. They want your children forcibly raped without your knowing. This is apparent from their shape-shifting terminology, terminology which changes hard-hitting verbiage like "pedophiles" into softer terms like "minor attracted persons" to normalize more extreme levels of social collapse.

No concession you make will be enough. The demands will not stop until these beliefs are eradicated, the earth that they came from is burned and salted, and these ideas fade into distant memories and eventually fall from the mind of anyone alive. It would be preferable if there was some solution that didn't involve the use of violence. Regrettably, the simple reality is that someone, somewhere, is eventually going to snap. Someone is going to run out of patience, and that someone is going to commit extreme violence. Someone is going to show up to a pride parade with a malicious intent the likes of which has never been seen, and some people are going to get hurt.

After seeing that, someone else will take up a rifle, too. The cycle will repeat until many, many, many people are wiped off the face of the planet. I'm not suggesting this as a course of action. I'm merely stating the inevitable result of this degeneracy. It's not a threat, it's a certainty that this will happen. Great purges have happened before, and they will happen again.

If you want to combat this degeneracy, this is the least you can do: Shut these people out from your lives. If you can be asked to do nothing else, shut them out. Do not engage these people in debate, do not entertain their plights, and do not allow your children to be subjected to their teachings. Turn off the TV. Do not provide these creeps with your sympathy. Do not bend your knee to their demands.

If you can do nothing else, then you must procreate. If you cannot be asked to subtract from the degenerate population, then you must add to the next generation of strong traditional men and women. Homeschool your children. Do not encourage your child's gender dysphoria. You must instill values in your children to prevent this degeneracy from destroying whatever's left of Western civilization, or, if you believe Western civilization is already dead, then you must instill those values with the intent of having your children forge a new civilization. You must do something.

And that's why I'm homophobic.

ENDNOTES

 Villarreal, Daniel. "Can We Please Just Start Admitting That We Do Actually Want to Indoctrinate Kids?" *Queerty*, 12 May 2011, www.queerty.com/can-we-pleasejust-start-admitting-that-we-do-actually-want-to-indoctrinate-kids-20110512. Accessed 05 Aug. 2021.

Archive:

https://web.archive.org/web/20210805213106/https://www.queerty.com/canwe-please-just-start-admitting-that-we-do-actually-want-to-indoctrinate-kids-20110512

2. Keene, Houston. "Judge Who Headed 'Drag QUEEN Story Hour' SPONSOR Arrested on Child Porn Charges." *Fox News*, 18 Mar. 2021, www.foxnews.com/politics/milwaukee-brett-blomme-arrested-drag-queenstory-hour-sponsor?fbclid=IwARoYPVCu2ZDQk7hZbE6hWS3T-7ux5V7xnKpEJgoa-cCAm6pHuufv86fCusY. Accessed 05 Aug. 2021.

Archive:

https://web.archive.org/web/20210805213507/https://www.foxnews.com/politics/milwaukee-brett-blomme-arrested-drag-queen-story-hour-sponsor

3. "Library Quietly Removes Photos of Children Lying on a Drag Queen at Story Time after Massive Backlash." *Elizabeth Johnston*, 9 July 2019, elizabethjohnston.org/library-quietly-removes-photos-of-children-lying-on-a-drag-queen-at-story-time-after-massive-backlash/. Accessed 05 Aug. 2021.

Archive:

https://web.archive.org/web/20210805213326/https://elizabethjohnston.org/li brary-quietly-removes-photos-of-children-lying-on-a-drag-queen-at-story-timeafter-massive-backlash/

4. "Transgender Contestant Beats Out 21 Women to Win Miss Nevada USA." *Elizabeth Johnston*, 2 July 2021, elizabethjohnston.org/transgender-contestant-beats-out-21-women-to-win-miss-nevada-usa/. Accessed 05 Aug. 2021.

Archive:

https://web.archive.org/web/20210805230950/https://elizabethjohnston.org/t ransgender-contestant-beats-out-21-women-to-win-miss-nevada-usa/

5. "Houston MassResistance Exposes 'Drag Queen Story Hour' Cross-Dresser as Registered Child Sex Offender! Library Officials Forced to Apologize to Public." *MassResistance*, 16 Mar. 2019, www.massresistance.org/docs/gen3/19a/MR-TX-Houston-DQSH-battle/expose-sex-offender.html. Accessed 05 Aug. 2021.

Archive: https://web.archive.org/web/20210805225952/https://www.massresistance.org /docs/gen3/19a/MR-TX-Houston-DQSH-battle/expose-sex-offender.html

6. "Houston MassResistance Exposes More Horror: 2nd Public Library 'Drag Queen' Is Convicted Child Sex Offender – and a Transgender Prostitute Specializing in BDSM." *MassResistance*, 22 Apr. 2019, www.massresistance.org/docs/gen3/19a/MR-TX-Houston-DQSH-battle/expose-2nd-sex-offender.html. Accessed 05 Aug. 2021.

Archive:

https://web.archive.org/web/20210805230049/https://www.massresistance.org/docs/gen3/19a/MR-TX-Houston-DQSH-battle/expose-2nd-sex-offender.html

7. "MassResistance." *Wikipedia*, 2 Aug. 2021, en.wikipedia.org/wiki/MassResistance. Accessed 10 Aug. 2021.

Archive: https://web.archive.org/web/20210810101230/https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/M assResistance

8. Purohit, Bhavesh. "When Transgender Fighter Fallon Fox Broke Her Opponent's Skull in MMA Fight." *Sportskeeda*, 5 Sept. 2021, www.sportskeeda.com/mma/news-when-transgender-fighter-fallon-fox-brokeopponent-s-skull-mma-fight. Accessed 27 Mar. 2022.

Archive: https://archive.ph/JI2c7

9. Mintun, Dennis. "My Gay Prison Gang Fights Neo-Nazis." *The Marshall Project*, 6 Sept. 2018, www.themarshallproject.org/2018/09/06/my-gay-prison-gangfights-neo-nazis. Accessed 05 Aug. 2021.

Archive:

https://web.archive.org/web/20210805233047/https://www.themarshallproject .org/2018/09/06/my-gay-prison-gang-fights-neo-nazis 10. Zeisloft, Ben. "California Gives Female Inmates Condoms, Plan B After State Forces Them To Stay With Transgenders." *The Daily Wire*, 22 July 2021, www.dailywire.com/news/california-gives-female-inmates-condoms-plan-bafter-state-forces-them-to-stay-with-transgenders. Accessed 05 Aug. 2021.

Archive:

https://web.archive.org/web/20210805214336/https://www.dailywire.com/new s/california-gives-female-inmates-condoms-plan-b-after-state-forces-them-tostay-with-transgenders

11. "Is It True That Gay Sex Has a Higher Risk of STDs?" *Planned Parenthood*, 13 Oct. 2010, www.plannedparenthood.org/learn/teens/ask-experts/is-it-true-that-if-you-have-gay-sex-you-have-more-of-a-chance-of-contracting-an-std. Accessed 05 Aug. 2021.

Archive:

https://web.archive.org/web/20210805213759/https://www.plannedparenthoo d.org/learn/teens/ask-experts/is-it-true-that-if-you-have-gay-sex-you-havemore-of-a-chance-of-contracting-an-std

12. "Sexually Transmitted Diseases." *Centers for Disease Control and Prevention*, 9 Mar. 2016, www.cdc.gov/msmhealth/STD.htm#Related. Accessed 05 Aug. 2021.

Archive: https://web.archive.org/save/https://www.cdc.gov/msmhealth/STD.htm#Relat ed

13. Purcell, David W., et al. "Estimating the Population Size of Men Who Have Sex with Men in the United States to Obtain HIV and Syphilis Rates." National Center for Biotechnology Information, Bentham Open, 7 Sept. 2012, www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3462414/#!po=0.847458. Accessed 05 Aug. 2021.

Archive:

https://web.archive.org/web/20210805222028/https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3462414/

14. "Supporting LGBTQ+ Youth: A Guide for Foster Parents." Child Welfare Information Gateway, 2021, www.childwelfare.gov/pubPDFs/lgbtqyouth.pdf. Accessed 07 Aug. 2021. Archive:

https://web.archive.org/web/20210703171656/https://www.childwelfare.gov/pubPDFs/lgbtqyouth.pdf

15. Steed, Jessica Jones, and Donald I. Templer. "Gay Men and Lesbian Women with Molestation History: Impact on Sexual Orientation and Experience of Pleasure." *The Open Psychology Journal*, 2010, openpsychologyjournal.com/contents/volumes/V3/TOPSYJ-3-36/TOPSYJ-3-36.pdf. Accessed 05 Aug. 2021.

Archive:

https://web.archive.org/web/20210721004420/https://openpsychologyjournal. com/contents/volumes/V3/TOPSYJ-3-36/TOPSYJ-3-36.pdf

16. Manno, Adam, and Emily Crane. "Florida Veterinarian, 40, Pleads Guilty to Sexually Abusing Dogs in His Care, Possession of More than 1,600 Files of Child Porn and Making 'Crush Videos' Where He Tortured and Trampled Small Animals to Death." *Daily Mail*, 5 Aug. 2021, www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-9865493/Florida-veterinarian-40-pleads-guilty-sexually-abusing-dogs-makingcrush-videos.html. Accessed 05 Aug. 2021.

Archive:

https://web.archive.org/web/20210805215733/https://www.dailymail.co.uk/ne ws/article-9865493/Florida-veterinarian-40-pleads-guilty-sexually-abusingdogs-making-crush-videos.html

17. Murphy, Jack. "Progressive Belgian Poet: 'People Must Accept That Pedophilia Resides in Each of Us'." *Neon Nettle*, 13 Aug. 2021, neonnettle.com/news/16299progressive-belgian-poet-people-must-accept-that-pedophilia-resides-in-eachof-us-. Accessed 14 Aug. 2021.

Archive: https://archive.vn/3nQuf

 18. "Two Dads Are Better Than One: Gay Man Gets 40-Year Sentence for Molesting Boy He Adopted From Russia." *Internet Archive*, 2013, archive.org/details/TwoDadsAreBetterThanOne. Accessed 10 Aug 2021.

Archive: https://archive.vn/4VXC2

19. Meldrum-Hanna, Caro, and Deb Masters. "Boy with Henna Tattoo: How Australian Peter Truong Groomed Son to Be Exploited by Global Paedophile Network." *ABC*

News, 10 Mar. 2014, www.abc.net.au/news/2014-03-10/boy-with-henna-tattoo-network-exposed/5310812. Accessed 10 Aug. 2021.

Archive:

https://web.archive.org/web/20210810100154/https://www.abc.net.au/news/2 014-03-10/boy-with-henna-tattoo-network-exposed/5310812

 20. Turban, Jack, and Jules Gill-Peterson. "Attacks on Trans People Are Also Attacks on Science Itself." CNN, 24 June 2021, www.cnn.com/2021/06/24/opinions/attacking-transgender-people-is-attackingscience-turban-gill-peterson/index.html. Accessed 14 Aug. 2021.

Archive:

https://web.archive.org/web/20210726180416/https://www.cnn.com/2021/06/24/opinions/attacking-transgender-people-is-attacking-science-turban-gill-peterson/index.html

21. Greenberg, Jay. "TEDx Speaker Calls on Public to Accept Pedophiles, Normalize Pedophilia." *Neon Nettle*, 21 June 2018, neonnettle.com/features/1402-tedxspeaker-calls-on-public-to-accept-pedophiles-normalize-pedophilia. Accessed 14 Aug. 2021.

Archive: https://archive.vn/dcost

22. Greenberg, Jay. "Mother Arrested in Police Raid at Home for Calling Transgender 'Man' on Twitter." *Neon Nettle*, 10 Feb. 2019, neonnettle.com/news/6467mother-arrested-in-police-raid-at-home-for-calling-transgender-man-on-twitter. Accessed 14 Aug. 2021.

Archive: https://archive.vn/omW4n

23. "Ohio State Conducting Seminar for Students on How to Get Started in 'Sex Work' Using OnlyFans." *The Villanova Law Institute to Address Commercial Sexual Exploitation*, 9 Apr. 2021, cseinstitute.org/ohio-state-conducting-seminar-for-students-on-how-to-get-started-in-sex-work-using-onlyfans/. Accessed 25 Aug. 2021.

Archive: https://archive.vn/OSxvs

24. Mcbride, Aoibhinn. "'You Can Just Do You': Boy, 10, Founds a Drag Club for KIDS so They Can Express Themselves in a 'Positive, Encouraging, and Safe' Space."

Daily Mail, 2 Jan. 2018, www.dailymail.co.uk/femail/article-5228857/A-10year-old-drag-queen-founded-drag-club-kids.html. Accessed 14 Aug. 2021.

Archive:

https://web.archive.org/web/20210814163607/https://www.dailymail.co.uk/fe mail/article-5228857/A-10-year-old-drag-queen-founded-drag-club-kids.html

25. "Transgender Has Child Pornography Conviction Overturned Because He Was 'Struggling' With His 'Identity.'" *Elizabeth Johnston*, 29 Oct. 2019, elizabethjohnston.org/transgender-has-child-pornography-convictionoverturned-because-he-was-struggling-with-his-identity/. Accessed 14 Aug. 2021.

Archive:

https://web.archive.org/web/20210814165635/https://elizabethjohnston.org/tr ansgender-has-child-pornography-conviction-overturned-because-he-wasstruggling-with-his-identity/

26. "45-Year-Old Sex Offender Identifies As 8-Year-Old Girl, Declares Child Pornography 'Free Speech.'" *Elizabeth Johnston*, 13 Jan. 2020, elizabethjohnston.org/45-year-old-sex-offender-identifies-as-8-year-old-girldeclares-child-pornography-free-speech/. Accessed 14 Aug. 2021.

Archive:

https://web.archive.org/web/20210814164745/https://elizabethjohnston.org/45 -year-old-sex-offender-identifies-as-8-year-old-girl-declares-child-pornographyfree-speech/

27. "FLASHBACK: Transgender Ax Attacker Blamed His 'Transition' Drugs for Horrific Attack." *Elizabeth Johnston*, 28 June 2019, elizabethjohnston.org/flashback-transgender-ax-attacker-blamed-his-transition-drugs-for-horrific-attack/. Accessed 14 Aug. 2021.

Archive:

https://web.archive.org/web/20210814170721/https://elizabethjohnston.org/fla shback-transgender-ax-attacker-blamed-his-transition-drugs-for-horrific-attack/

28. "UPDATE: Transgender Ax Attacker Involved In Prison Fight Due To 'De-Transitioning.'" *Elizabeth Johnston*, 12 Aug. 2019, elizabethjohnston.org/updatetransgender-ax-attacker-involved-in-prison-fight-due-to-de-transitioning/. Accessed 14 Aug. 2021. Archive:

https://web.archive.org/web/20210814170805/https://elizabethjohnston.org/update-transgender-ax-attacker-involved-in-prison-fight-due-to-de-transitioning/

29. Monroe, Pippa. "First Transgender Family Reveals Son, 5, Is Undergoing Sex Change." *Neon Nettle*, 11 Feb. 2019, neonnettle.com/news/6479-firsttransgender-family-reveals-son-5-is-undergoing-sex-change. Accessed 11 Aug. 2021.

Archive: https://archive.vn/yYNa5

30. Richardson, Valerie. "Academy of Breastfeeding Medicine Suggests 'Chestfeeding,' 'Father's Milk' in New Guidelines." *The Washington Times*, 13 Aug. 2021, www.washingtontimes.com/news/2021/aug/13/academy-breastfeedingmedicine-suggests-chestfeedi/. Accessed 15 Aug. 2021.

Archive:

https://web.archive.org/web/20210815191116/https://www.washingtontimes.co m/news/2021/aug/13/academy-breastfeeding-medicine-suggests-chestfeedi/

31. Hedges, Chris. *Goodreads*, www.goodreads.com/quotes/825055-we-now-live-in-a-nation-where-doctors-destroy-health. Accessed 07 Apr. 2022.

Archive: https://archive.ph/aacf2

32. Johnston, Harriet. "Transgender Father Refuses to Share Baby's Sex so They 'Can Discover Their Own Gender' - and Slams 'Weirdos' Who Ask If His Children Are Boys or Girls." *Daily Mail*, 15 Aug. 2021, www.dailymail.co.uk/femail/article-9895433/Transgender-father-refuses-share-babys-sex-discover-gender.html. Accessed 15 Aug. 2021.

Archive:

https://web.archive.org/web/20210815191930/https://www.dailymail.co.uk/fe mail/article-9895433/Transgender-father-refuses-share-babys-sex-discover-gender.html

33. Odling, George. "'Paedophiles Should NOT Face Criminal Charges for Looking at Child Porn': Britain's Top Child Protection Cop Sparks Fury after Saying They Should Only Be Prosecuted If They're a Physical Threat to Children." *Daily Mail*, 27 Feb. 2017, www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-4266056/Paedophiles-shouldn-t-face-charges-child-porn.html. Accessed 18 Aug. 2021.

Archive:

https://web.archive.org/web/20210818225259/https://www.dailymail.co.uk/ne ws/article-4266056/Paedophiles-shouldn-t-face-charges-child-porn.html

34. Zindulka, Kurt. "BBC Woman's Hour Asks 'Should There Be Age-Appropriate Porn' for Children?" *Breitbart*, 5 Aug. 2021, www.breitbart.com/europe/2021/08/05/bbc-asks-should-there-be-age-appropriate-porn-for-children/. Accessed 18 Aug. 2021.

Archive:

https://web.archive.org/web/20210810074955/https://www.breitbart.com/euro pe/2021/08/05/bbc-asks-should-there-be-age-appropriate-porn-for-children/

35. Munro, Neil. "Transgender Men in Women's Showers Must Get 'Dignity and Respect,' Says U.S. Army." *Breitbart*, 7 July 2017, www.breitbart.com/politics/2017/07/07/transgender-men-womens-showers-must-get-dignity-respect-u-s-army/?utm_source=facebook&utm_medium=social. Accessed 25 Aug. 2021.

Archive:

https://web.archive.org/web/20201203231736/https://www.breitbart.com/polit ics/2017/07/07/transgender-men-womens-showers-must-get-dignity-respect-us-army/?utm_source=facebook&utm_medium=social

36. Rowello, Lauren. "Yes, Kink Belongs at Pride. And I Want My Kids to See It." *The Washington Post*, 29 June 2021, www.washingtonpost.com/outlook/2021/06/29/pride-month-kink-consent/. Accessed 18 Aug. 2021.

Archive:

https://web.archive.org/web/20210818224927/https://www.washingtonpost.co m/outlook/2021/06/29/pride-month-kink-consent/

37. Ennis, Dawn. "Study: Transgender Children Recognize Their Authentic Gender At Early Age, Just Like Other Kids." *Forbes*, 29 Dec. 2019, www.forbes.com/sites/dawnstaceyennis/2020/12/29/study-transgenderchildren-recognize-their-authentic-gender-at-early-age-just-like-otherkids/?sh=2c57fcc3526b. Accessed 26 Aug. 2021. Archive: https://archive.vn/8zHio

38. Snow, Kate. "Jacob's Journey: Life as a Transgender 5-Year-Old." *MSNBC*, 21 Apr. 2015, www.msnbc.com/msnbc/jacobs-journey-life-transgender-5-year-old-msna578491. Accessed 25 Aug. 2021.

Archive: https://archive.vn/1vxwa

39. Frizzell, Nell. "The Men Who Live as Dogs: 'We're Just the Same as Any Person on the High Street'." *The Guardian*, 25 May 2016, www.theguardian.com/tv-and-radio/2016/may/25/secret-life-of-the-human-pups-the-men-who-live-as-dogs. Accessed 25 Aug. 2021.

Archive: https://archive.vn/xFNAW

40. Sullivan, Harvey. "DEADLY FETISH Man Dies after Injecting Silicone into His BALLS for 'Sex Cult' Game." *The Sun*, 6 Nov. 2018, www.thesun.co.uk/news/7676493/silicone-injection-balls-man-dies/. Accessed 25 Aug. 2021.

Archive: https://archive.vn/8aWZd

41. Prestigiacomo, Amanda. "Doctors Are Now Giving 8-Year-Old Girls Testosterone, Claiming They're 'Transgender.'" *The Daily Wire*, 4 Apr. 2019, www.dailywire.com/news/doctors-are-giving-trans-8-year-old-girls-amandaprestigiacomo. Accessed 25 Aug. 2021.

Archive: https://archive.vn/rXKJi

42. Greenberg, Jay. "America's First Legally Recognized Transgender 'Regrets' Transitioning." *Neon Nettle*, 13 Mar. 2019, neonnettle.com/news/6781-americas-first-legally-recognized-transgender-regrets-transitioning. Accessed 25 Aug. 2021.

Archive: https://archive.vn/LtAR7

43. Mazziotta, Julie. "Transgender Man Navigates Chest-Feeding and Fatherhood After Giving Birth to Baby Boy." *Yahoo! Sports*, 2 Sept. 2016, sports.yahoo.com/news/transgender-man-navigates-chest-feeding-174739013.html. Accessed 25 Aug. 2021. Archive: https://archive.vn/vWn2f

44. "UK: LGBTQ 'TRAINING SCHOOL TEACHERS TO COMPLETELY SMASH HETERONORMATIVITY'." *Britain First*, 2019, www.britainfirst.org/uk_lgbtq_training_school_teachers_to_completely_smash __heteronormativity. Accessed 25 Aug. 2021.

Archive: https://archive.vn/8BOjl

45. Roberts, Darryl. "Sexualized Culture Is Creating Mental Health Issues in Our Youth." *HuffPost*, 20 Oct. 2014, www.huffpost.com/entry/sexualized-culture-iscreating-mental-health-issues-in-our-youth_b_5994148. Accessed 25 Aug. 2021.

Archive: https://archive.vn/sr8ZC

46. Nichols, James Michael. "The Future Is Queer." *HuffPost*, 1 June 2018, www.huffpost.com/entry/the-future-is-queer_n_5bod96e1e4bofdb2aa575889. Accessed 25 Aug. 2021.

Archive: https://archive.vn/DVp7f

47. Brooks, Jon. "Is Three Too Young for Children to Know They're a Different Gender? Transgender Researchers Disagree." *KQED*, 26 Aug. 2018, www.kqed.org/futureofyou/440851/can-you-really-know-that-a-3-year-old-istransgender. Accessed 25 Aug. 2021.

Archive: https://archive.vn/IaPZi

48. Sidwell, Julia. "Transgender Girl Tried to Cut off Penis Aged 3 and Now Wears Skirt to School." *Mirror*, 30 Aug. 2021, www.mirror.co.uk/news/uk-news/transgender-girl-tried-cut-penis-24867367. Accessed 14 Sep. 2021.

Archive: https://archive.vn/02R00

49. Taylor, Sarah. "Teen with Asperger's Fined, Placed under House Arrest after Questioning Trans Police Officer's Gender." *Blaze Media*, 17 Feb. 2020, www.theblaze.com/news/teen-with-aspergers-fined-placed-under-house-arrestafter-questioning-trans-police-officers-gender. Accessed 20 Sep. 2021.

Archive: https://archive.vn/QPtxR

50. "Magnus Hirschfeld." Wikipedia,

en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Magnus_Hirschfeld?fbclid=IwARomFVabDGoYI_KOQ ngjpwOucVd7HOhXohuB5zuU8y9Aj7q5bsyrgENbyT8. Accessed 29 Sep. 2021.

Archive: https://archive.vn/281Ix

51. Howe, Caroline. "Berlin Was a Liberal Hotbed of Homosexuality and a Mecca for Cross Dressers and Transsexuals Where the First Male-to-Female Surgery Was Performed - until the Nazis Came to Power, New Book Reveals." *Daily Mail Online*, 25 Nov. 2014, www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-2847643/Berlinliberal-hotbed-homosexuality-mecca-cross-dressers-transsexuals-male-femalesurgery-performed-Nazis-came-power-new-bookreveals.html?fbclid=IwAR3Bx2lMeoAs1UrFfkhDeQovy9xHn4Es-1IWllyfQSLD2VCioMaNPxpvUWQ. Accessed 29 Sep. 2021.

Archive: https://archive.vn/iTFdt

52. Hewitt. "17 Reasons Why Germany's Weimar Republic Was a Party-Lovers Paradise." *History Collection*, 18 Oct. 2018, historycollection.com/17-reasonswhy-germanys-weimar-republic-was-a-party-loversparadise/9/?fbclid=IwAR3GnuHyzJWaYvj9h3XXEuoNj7RMnlbP1qiy4xbMAI6L vAGhZ2Xjyl4w5cc. Accessed 29 Sep. 2021.

Archive: https://archive.vn/SnU39

53. Lemons, Stephen. "Paradise Regained." *Salon*, 22 Nov. 2000, www.salon.com/2000/11/22/weimar/?fbclid=IwAR3_YLgyN7OuDgpU9TAFyW p6i6jVeiZ-vVLiDfZzL3YRaVQ6EgD6e9Db1nI. Accessed 29 Sep. 2021.

Archive: https://archive.vn/fuIz8

54. "List of LGBT Awareness Periods." Wikipedia, 31 July 2021, en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_LGBT_awareness_periods. Accessed 07 Aug. 2021.

Archive: https://web.archive.org/web/20210807100152/https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Li st_of_LGBT_awareness_periods

55. Fields, Edward R. "Is Homosexual Activity Normal?"

This publication, as far as I can tell, has been scrubbed from the internet. There are many articles that cite this publication and offer quotes from it, but this is the best citation I can offer for this specific quote.

56. Kuznec, Rafaela. "We're Raising Our Kids With No Gender." *YouTube*, Truly (Formerly Barcroft TV), 23 Dec. 2020, www.youtube.com/watch?v=CTTYwhZ7948. Accessed 10 Aug. 2021.

Archive:

https://web.archive.org/web/20210730203026if_/https://www.youtube.com/w atch?v=CTTYwhZ7948

57. Kohn, Sally. "I'm Gay. And I Want My Kid to Be Gay, Too." *The Washington Post*, 20 Feb. 2015, www.washingtonpost.com/opinions/im-gay-i-want-my-kid-to-be-gay-too/2015/02/19/eba697c2-b847-11e4-aa05-1ce812b3fdd2_story.html?fbclid=IwAR0f6m8bcv8rENdwg6G3CTp4aID1E5v9_p ulZowfu9t___Nb4b_FUatDilAQ. Accessed 01 Jan. 2022.

Archive: https://archive.vn/hssdU

58. "Munchausen Syndrome by Proxy." *University of Michigan Health*, 2020, www.uofmhealth.org/health-library/hw180537. Accessed 13 Sep. 2021.

Archive: https://archive.vn/JzEPV

59. Cameron, Paul. "Are over a Third of Foster Parent Molestations Homosexual?" *National Center for Biotechnology Information*, 1 Apr. 2005, pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/15941101/. Accessed 07 Aug. 2021.

Archive: https://web.archive.org/web/20210808031925/https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.go v/15941101/

60. Bialik, Carl. "Debate Over Gay Foster Parents Shines Light on a Dubious Stat." *The Wall Street Journal*, 28 Apr. 2005, www.wsj.com/articles/SB111461604615918400. Accessed 07 Aug. 2021.

Archive: https://archive.vn/rSCwD

61. Gates, Gary J. "How Many People Are Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, and Transgender?" *Williams Institute*, Apr. 2011, williamsinstitute.law.ucla.edu/wpcontent/uploads/How-Many-People-LGBT-Apr-2011.pdf. Accessed 07 Aug. 2021.

Archive:

https://web.archive.org/web/20210720220016/https://williamsinstitute.law.ucl a.edu/wp-content/uploads/How-Many-People-LGBT-Apr-2011.pdf

62. Glick, Sara N., et al. "A Comparison of Sexual Behavior Patterns among Men Who Have Sex with Men and Heterosexual Men and Women." *National Center for Biotechnology Information*, 1 May 2012, www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3334840/. Accessed 07 Nov. 2021.

Archive: https://archive.vn/NzkZK

63. Jones, Jeffrey M. "LGBT Identification Rises to 5.6% in Latest U.S. Estimate." *Gallup*, 24 Feb. 2021, news.gallup.com/poll/329708/lgbt-identification-riseslatest-estimate.aspx. Accessed 10 Aug. 2021.

Archive:

https://web.archive.org/web/20210810092048/https://news.gallup.com/poll/3 29708/lgbt-identification-rises-latest-estimate.aspx

64. Dolan, Eric W. "Straight Men's Physiological Stress Response to Seeing Two Men Kissing Is the Same as Seeing Maggots." *PsyPost*, 29 June 2017, www.psypost.org/2017/06/straight-mens-physiological-stress-response-seeingtwo-men-kissing-seeing-maggots-49217. Accessed 25 Aug. 2021.

Archive: https://archive.vn/a3Pdh

65. "Court of Appeals No. 14CA1351 ." *SCOTUSblog*, Colorado Court of Appeals, 13 Aug. 2015, www.scotusblog.com/wp-content/uploads/2016/08/16-111-op-bel-colo-app.pdf. Accessed 27 Dec. 2021.

Archive:

https://web.archive.org/web/20211127015951/https://www.scotusblog.com/wp-content/uploads/2016/08/16-111-op-bel-colo-app.pdf

66. "CRAIG AND MULLINS V. MASTERPIECE CAKESHOP - COMMISSION'S FINAL ORDER." *American Civil Liberties Union*, Colorado Civil Rights Commission, 30

May 2014, www.aclu.org/legal-document/craig-and-mullins-v-masterpiece-cakeshop-commissions-final-order. Accessed 27 Dec. 2021.

Archive: https://archive.vn/DNOgZ

67. Roberts, Roxanne. "Wedding Cakes Can Be Stunning Creations. But Do They Qualify as Art?" *The Washington Post*, 30 Nov. 2017, www.washingtonpost.com/lifestyle/style/wedding-cakes-can-be-stunningcreations-but-do-they-qualify-as-art/2017/11/29/7df64684-cb2a-11e7-aa96-54417592cf72_story.html. Accessed 27 Dec. 2021.

Archive: https://archive.vn/PWRRo

68. Tedesco, Jeremy D., et al. "PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI." *SCOTUSblog*, Alliance Defending Freedom, 22 July 2016, www.scotusblog.com/wp-content/uploads/2016/08/16-111-cert-petition.pdf. Accessed 27 Dec. 2021.

Archive:

https://web.archive.org/web/20210909232750/https://www.scotusblog.com/wp-content/uploads/2016/08/16-111-cert-petition.pdf

69. Pavlich, Katie. "BREAKING: Supreme Court Overwhelmingly Rules in Favor of Colorado Baker in Wedding Cake Case." *Townhall*, 4 June 2018, townhall.com/tipsheet/katiepavlich/2018/06/04/scotus-rules-bakers-opposedto-gay-marriage-cant-be-forced-to-bake-cakes-n2487137. Accessed 27 Dec. 2021.

Archive: https://archive.vn/bVZnx

70. Slevin, Colleen. "Jack Phillips Of Masterpiece Cakeshop Ordered To Pay Fine For Refusing Transgender Cake Order." *CBS Denver*, Associated Press, 16 June 2021, denver.cbslocal.com/2021/06/16/jack-phillips-transgender-cake/. Accessed 27 Dec. 2021.

Archive: https://archive.vn/vtSB4

71. Potter, Amanda. "Masterpiece Cakeshop Review." *Google*, 2021, www.google.com/maps/contrib/117022065479004358724/place/ChIJ9cWOfNK Ba4cRSx2OWpXM1ic/@37.5674043,-102.5373613,4z/data=!4m6!1m5!8m4!1e1!2s117022065479004358724!3m1!1e1? hl=en-US. Accessed 27 Dec. 2021. Archive: https://archive.vn/zyWcj

72. Nelson, April. "Masterpiece Cakeshop Review." *Google*, 2021, www.google.com/maps/contrib/106333359499950268875/place/ChIJ9cWOfN KBa4cRSx2OWpXM1ic/@42.278492,-100.8525586,6z/data=!4m6!1m5!8m4!1e1!2s106333359499950268875!3m1!1e1 ?hl=en-US. Accessed 27 Dec. 2021.

Archive: https://archive.vn/1INu2

73. Diehl, Scoop. "Masterpiece Cakeshop Review." *Google*, 2021, www.google.com/maps/contrib/104707343005651430440/place/ChIJ9cWOfN KBa4cRSx2OWpXM1ic/@39.6546678,-109.5645861,6z/data=!4m4!1m3!8m2!1e1!2s104707343005651430440?hl=en-US. Accessed 27 Dec. 2021.

Archive: https://archive.vn/vd2SO

74. Donnelly, Matthew. "Masterpiece Cakeshop Review." *Google*, 2021, www.google.com/maps/contrib/101079076611588889070/place/ChIJ9cWOfNK Ba4cRSx2OWpXM1ic/@41.1583411,-103.2991422,5z/data=!4m6!1m5!8m4!1e1!2s101079076611588889070!3m1!1e1? hl=en-US. Accessed 27 Dec. 2021.

Archive: https://archive.vn/ruBH1

75. Hamilton, Erin. "Masterpiece Cakeshop Review." *Google*, 2019, www.google.com/maps/contrib/110243860521754053368/place/ChIJ9cWOfNK Ba4cRSx2OWpXM1ic/@39.6675197,-105.5574808,9z/data=!4m4!1m3!8m2!1e1!2s110243860521754053368?hl=en-US. Accessed 27 Dec. 2021.

Archive: https://archive.vn/GPNN4

76. Young, Kristin. "Masterpiece Cakeshop Review." *Google*, 2021, www.google.com/maps/contrib/108521233116732110417/place/ChIJ9cWOfNKB a4cRSx2OWpXM1ic/@50.3617166,-134.9592465,4z/data=!4m6!1m5!8m4!1e1!2s108521233116732110417!3m1!1e1?hl =en-US. Accessed 27 Dec. 2021. Archive: https://archive.vn/5HqNZ

77. Kitzman, Kevin. "Masterpiece Cakeshop Review." *Google*, 2021, www.google.com/maps/contrib/115494800352813639779/place/ChIJ9cWOfNK Ba4cRSx2OWpXM1ic/@38.8884555,-104.8637124,8z/data=!4m6!1m5!8m4!1e1!2s115494800352813639779!3m1!1e1? hl=en-US. Accessed 27 Dec. 2021.

Archive: https://archive.vn/9zlMp

78. Barry, C. M. "Who Sharpened Occam's Razor?" *Irish Philosophy*, 27 May 2014, www.irishphilosophy.com/2014/05/27/who-sharpened-occams-razor/. Accessed 05 Aug. 2021.

Archive:

https://web.archive.org/web/20210807100440/https://www.irishphilosophy.com/2014/05/27/who-sharpened-occams-razor/

79. Sun, Simón(e) D. "Stop Using Phony Science to Justify Transphobia." *Scientific American*, 13 June 2019, blogs.scientificamerican.com/voices/stop-using-phony-science-to-justify-transphobia/. Accessed 07 Aug. 2021.

Archive: https://web.archive.org/web/20210807100558/https://blogs.scientificamerican. com/voices/stop-using-phony-science-to-justify-transphobia/

80. Scott, Janny. "Postmodern Gravity Deconstructed, Slyly." *The New York Times*, 18 May 1996, www.nytimes.com/1996/05/18/nyregion/postmodern-gravitydeconstructed-slyly.html. Accessed 11 Mar. 2022.

Archive: https://archive.ph/Zw47B

81. Sokal, Alan. "A Physicist Experiments With Cultural Studies." *Lingua Franca*, 1996, linguafranca.mirror.theinfo.org/9605/sokal.html. Accessed 11 Mar. 2022.

Archive:

https://web.archive.org/web/20071005011354/http://linguafranca.mirror.thein fo.org/9605/sokal.html

82. Lindsay, James A., et al. "Academic Grievance Studies and the Corruption of Scholarship." *Areo Magazine*, 2 Oct. 2018,

areomagazine.com/2018/10/02/academic-grievance-studies-and-thecorruption-of-scholarship/. Accessed 11 Mar. 2022.

Archive: https://archive.ph/1aHoW

83. Schuessler, Jennifer. "Hoaxers Slip Breastaurants and Dog-Park Sex Into Journals." *The New York Times*, 4 Oct. 2018, www.nytimes.com/2018/10/04/arts/academic-journals-hoax.html. Accessed 11 Mar. 2022.

Archive: https://archive.ph/2epJ8

84. "Piltdown Man." *Encyclopædia Britannica*, www.britannica.com/topic/Piltdownman. Accessed 11 Mar. 2022.

Archive: https://archive.ph/4H3lj

85. "End As a Man." *Time*, 30 Nov. 1953, web.archive.org/web/20081222124815/www.time.com/time/magazine/article/0 ,9171,823171,00.html. Accessed 11 Mar. 2022.

Archive: https://archive.ph/vMRwX

86. "Half of Transgender Male Teens Have Attempted Suicide, Study Finds." *NBC News*, 13 Sept. 2018, www.nbcnews.com/feature/nbc-out/half-transgendermale-teens-have-attempted-suicide-study-finds-n909261. Accessed 07 Aug. 2021.

Archive:

https://web.archive.org/web/20210807100639/https://www.nbcnews.com/feat ure/nbc-out/half-transgender-male-teens-have-attempted-suicide-study-findsn909261

 87. Müller, Sabine. "Body Integrity Identity Disorder (BIID)--Is the Amputation of Healthy Limbs Ethically Justified?" *National Center for Biotechnology Information*, Jan. 2009, pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/19132621/. Accessed 07 Aug. 2021.

Archive:

https://web.archive.org/web/20210807100800/https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.g ov/19132621/

88. "Body Integrity Dysphoria." *Wikipedia*, 3 July 2021,

en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Body_integrity_dysphoria. Accessed 07 Aug. 2021.

Archive:

https://web.archive.org/web/20210807100847/https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/B ody_integrity_dysphoria

89. "Guidelines for Psychological Practice With Transgender and Gender Nonconforming People." *American Psychological Association*, Dec. 2015, www.apa.org/practice/guidelines/transgender.pdf. Accessed 07 Aug. 2021.

Archive:

https://web.archive.org/web/20210719012631/https://www.apa.org/practice/gu idelines/transgender.pdf

90. Kim, Tae. "Goldman Sachs Asks in Biotech Research Report: 'Is Curing Patients a Sustainable Business Model?'" *CNBC*, 11 Apr. 2018, https://www.cnbc.com/2018/04/11/goldman-asks-is-curing-patients-a-sustainable-business-model.html. Accessed 28 Nov. 2021.

Archive: https://archive.vn/LOqNS

91. Wright, Alyssa. "Trans-Tech Is A Budding Industry: So Why Is No One Investing In It?" *Forbes*, 8 Dec. 2020, www.forbes.com/sites/alyssawright/2020/12/08/trans-tech-is-a-budding-industry-so-why-is-no-one-investing/?sh=2433d06ae3c3. Accessed 28 Aug. 2021.

Archive: https://archive.ph/jnooX

92. "My Partner May Be Trans and Idk What to Do." *Reddit*, 7 Aug. 2021, www.reddit.com/r/mypartneristrans/comments/ozl2z7/my_partner_may_be_t rans_and_idk_what_to_do/. Accessed 07 Aug. 2021.

Archive:

https://web.archive.org/web/20210807062902/https://www.reddit.com/r/myp artneristrans/comments/ozl2z7/my_partner_may_be_trans_and_idk_what_to _do/ **93.** "42 CIS Female Needs Advice/Help Coping with Husband 38 AMAB but Is Non-Binary Wanting Female Hormones Only and Still Identifies as Mostly Male." *Reddit*, 6 Aug. 2021,

www.reddit.com/r/mypartneristrans/comments/oz3kel/42_cis_female_needs_ advicehelp_coping_with/. Accessed 07 Aug. 2021.

Archive:

https://web.archive.org/web/20210807102516/https://www.reddit.com/r/mypa rtneristrans/comments/oz3kel/42_cis_female_needs_advicehelp_coping_with/

94. "My Wife Is Afraid Strangers Will Think She Is Gay since She's Married to a Woman Now." *Reddit*, 5 Aug. 2021,

www.reddit.com/r/mypartneristrans/comments/oym78b/my_wife_is_afraid_st rangers_will_think_she_is_gay/. Accessed 07 Aug. 2021.

Archive:

https://web.archive.org/web/20210807104354/https://www.reddit.com/r/myp artneristrans/comments/oym78b/my_wife_is_afraid_strangers_will_think_she _is_gay/

95. "No Makeup, 17 Months on Hrt - How Am I Doing?" *Reddit*, 3 Aug. 2021, www.reddit.com/r/transpassing/comments/0x6qq0/no_makeup_17_months_0 n_hrt_how_am_i_doing/. Accessed 07 Aug. 2021.

Archive:

https://web.archive.org/web/20210807104933/https://www.reddit.com/r/trans passing/comments/ox6qq0/no_makeup_17_months_on_hrt_how_am_i_doing /

96. "Planning on Coming out to My Wife Soon. We Just Had a Baby so I'm Waiting for Things to Settle a Bit before Having This Conversation. Looking for General Advice for the Best Way to Approach This - I'm Terrified." *Reddit*, 5 Aug. 2021, www.reddit.com/r/mypartneristrans/comments/oycw8p/planning_on_coming _out_to_my_wife_soon_we_just/. Accessed 07 Aug. 2021.

Archive:

https://web.archive.org/web/20210807110654/https://www.reddit.com/r/mypa rtneristrans/comments/oycw8p/planning_on_coming_out_to_my_wife_soon_ we_just/ **97.** "CMV: Gender Dysphoria Is a Cureable Mental Illness, We've Stopped Looking for the Cure Because Society Is Now Forced into Accepting Transgenders." *Reddit*, 2020,

www.reddit.com/r/changemyview/comments/f2ol3f/cmv_gender_dysphoria_is _a_cureable_mental_illness/?sort=top. Accessed 14 Aug. 2021.

Archive: https://archive.ph/aA2KQ

98. mikeman7918. "I Have Gotten a Lot of Requests to Cite My Sources." *Reddit*, 2020, www.reddit.com/r/changemyview/comments/f20l3f/cmv_gender_dysphoria_is _a_cureable_mental_illness/fhg4cyh/?utm_source=share&utm_medium= web2x. Accessed 07 Nov. 2021.

Archive: https://archive.vn/AL4Zw

99. Zeluf, Galit, et al. "Targeted Victimization and Suicidality Among Trans People: A Web-Based Survey." *National Center for Biotechnology Information*, 1 Apr. 2018, www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5905855/. Accessed 07 Nov. 2021.

Archive: https://archive.vn/EkrJR

100. Herman, Jody L., et al. "Suicide Thoughts and Attempts Among Transgender Adults in the US." Williams Institute, Sept. 2019, williamsinstitute.law.ucla.edu/wp-content/uploads/Suicidality-Transgender-Sep-2019.pdf. Accessed 07 Nov. 2021.

Archive:

https://web.archive.org/web/20220330224907/http://williamsinstitute.law.ucl a.edu/wp-content/uploads/Suicidality-Transgender-Sep-2019.pdf

101. "What Are Puberty Blockers?" Planned Parenthood, www.plannedparenthood.org/learn/teens/puberty/what-are-puberty-blockers. Accessed 06 Nov. 2021.

Archive: https://archive.ph/Y3x3R

102. "Puberty Blockers." *St. Louis Children's Hospital*, www.stlouischildrens.org/conditions-treatments/transgender-center/pubertyblockers. Accessed 06 Nov. 2021. Archive: https://archive.vn/izltR

103. "Gender Dysphoria." Wikipedia,

en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Gender_dysphoria#Comorbidities. Accessed 08 Aug. 2021.

Archive:

https://web.archive.org/web/20210816212702/https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/G ender_dysphoria

104. Bartosch, Josephine. "Trans Lobby Group Stonewall Brands Lesbians 'Sexual Racists' for Raising Concerns about Being Pressured into Having Sex with Transgender Women Who Still Have Male Genitals." *Daily Mail*, 20 Nov. 2021, www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-10225111/Stonewall-brands-lesbians-sexualracists-raising-concerns-sex-transgender-women.html. Accessed 27 Dec. 2021.

Archive: https://archive.vn/ubnc5

 105. "Legal Guidance on Transgender Students' Rights." National Education Association, June 2016, https://www.nea.org/sites/default/files/2020-07/2018_Legal%20Guidance_Transgender%20Student%20Rights.pdf. Accessed 08 Aug. 2021.

Archive: https://web.archive.org/web/20220130063614/https://www.nea.org/sites/defa ult/files/2020-07/2018_Legal%20Guidance_Transgender%20Student%20Rights.pdf

106. Shrier, Abigail. *Irreversible Damage: The Transgender Craze Seducing Our Daughters*. Regnery Publishing, a Division of Salem Media Group, 2020.

Page 75.

107. "Know Your Rights in Health Care." *National Center for Transgender Equality*, transequality.org/know-your-rights/health-care. Accessed 27 Dec. 2021.

Archive: https://archive.vn/njB70

108. "Anonymous Post On a Cambodian Cave Drawing Forum." 4chan, 30 Oct. 2021, https://archive.4plebs.org/pol/thread/345425826/#q345433565. Accessed 30 Oct. 2021. Archive: https://archive.ph/ZmVlz

109. Blair, Karen L., and Rhea A. Hoskin. "Transgender Exclusion from the World of Dating: Patterns of Acceptance and Rejection of Hypothetical Trans Dating Partners as a Function of Sexual and Gender Identity." SAGE Journals, 31 May 2018, journals.sagepub.com/doi/abs/10.1177/0265407518779139. Accessed 31 Aug. 2021.

Archive: https://archive.vn/1Cb4V

110. Greenberg, Jay. "Straight People Are Rejecting Transgenders: Activists Demand Government Intervenes." *Neon Nettle*, 8 Sept. 2019, neonnettle.com/news/8718straight-people-are-rejecting-transgenders-activists-demand-governmentintervenes. Accessed 25 Aug. 2021.

Archive: https://archive.vn/33tw7

111. "Stealth Sex POST-OP Tips." *Reddit*, 2020, www.reddit.com/r/Transgender_Surgeries/comments/jrOmbx/stealth_sex_post op_tips/. Accessed 23 Aug. 2021.

Archive: https://archive.vn/NKzIz

112. Leinung, Matthew C., and Jalaja Joseph. "Changing Demographics in Transgender Individuals Seeking Hormonal Therapy: Are Trans Women More Common Than Trans Men?" *Mary Ann Liebert, Inc.*, 11 Dec. 2020, www.liebertpub.com/doi/abs/10.1089/trgh.2019.0070?journalCode=trgh. Accessed 20 Aug. 2021.

Archive:

https://web.archive.org/web/20210821021747/https://www.liebertpub.com/doi/abs/10.1089/trgh.2019.0070?journalCode=trgh&

113. "David Reimer." *Wikipedia*, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/David_Reimer. Accessed 20 Aug. 2021.

Archive: https://archive.vn/JAjkn

114. Browning, Bil. "U.S. Embassies Have Flown the Pride Flag in Some of the Most Anti-LGBTQ Countries on Earth This Year." *LGBTQ Nation*, 30 June 2021,

www.lgbtqnation.com/2021/06/u-s-embassies-flown-pride-flag-anti-lgbtqcountries-earth-year/. Accessed 27 Dec. 2021.

Archive: https://archive.vn/wz2jE

ABOUT THE AUTHOR

Joel F. Carberry was born in Houston, Texas, in 1994. He was homeschooled at first, but was eventually enrolled in online school. He got his high school diploma from Insight School of Colorado. Joel became an FAA certified Private Pilot at age seventeen, and was certified as an Emergency Medical Technician the following year. He spent a year and a half working on an ambulance as an EMT, and he later went on to enlist in the U.S. Army at age twenty-one as a Combat Medic. During his time in the military, he was deployed to Kosovo (a peacekeeping mission that involved zero combat). He was honorably discharged at age twenty-five at the rank of SPC (E-4) after completing his contract. Joel does not align himself to any particular political party, as no party completely represents his interests, but he makes no secret of his hatred for democracy. This is the second book written by Joel, the first being *The Modern Female Condition*.

Joel can be contacted at: Joel.F.Carberry@gmail.com

NOTICE OF DISTRIBUTION

If you enjoyed this book and want to support the message, the best way you can do that is by passing this book along to another reader. The author gives his express written permission to distribute this book to anyone, so long as it is distributed for free.

This book is available for free on the internet. It can be downloaded from Internet Archive:

https://archive.org/details/iygagtw-ebook-review-copy/

The source files for printing a physical copy can be downloaded from Google Drive:

https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/1Euaxyb2ITKYN1Z6wuNuw9J1XPukAJv3H? usp=sharing